

Department of Health & Human Services
Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services

Printed: 07/18/2026
Form Approved OMB
No. 0938-0391

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION	(X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: 065227	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. Building B. Wing	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED 12/04/2025
NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER Good Samaritan Society -- Fort Collins Village		STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE 508 W Trilby Rd Fort Collins, CO 80525	
For information on the nursing home's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the nursing home or the state survey agency.			
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (Each deficiency must be preceded by full regulatory or LSC identifying information)		
F 0688 Level of Harm - Minimal harm or potential for actual harm Residents Affected - Few	Provide appropriate care for a resident to maintain and/or improve range of motion (ROM), limited ROM and/or mobility, unless a decline is for a medical reason. **NOTE- TERMS IN BRACKETS HAVE BEEN EDITED TO PROTECT CONFIDENTIALITY** Based on record review and interviews, the facility failed to ensure residents with limited range of motion (ROM) received appropriate treatment and services to increase ROM and/or prevent further decrease in ROM for one (#43) of three residents reviewed for restorative services out of 29 sample residents. Specifically, the facility failed to ensure Resident #43 was provided with a splint or palm guard in her left hand to prevent potential worsening of her hand contracture (a condition of shortening and hardening of muscles, tendons or other tissue, often leading to deformity and rigidity of joints). Findings include: I. Facility policy and procedure The Range of Motion (ROM) [NAME] policy, revised 8/5/25 was received from the nursing home administrator (NHA) on 9/26/25 at 12:36 p.m. It read in pertinent part, Based on the resident's comprehensive assessment, the location will ensure that a resident entering without a limited range of motion will not experience reduction of motion unless the resident's clinical condition demonstrates that a reduction in range of motion is unavoidable. In addition, the location will ensure that a resident with a limited range of motion receives appropriate treatment and services to increase range of motion as much as possible and to prevent further decrease in range of motion. II. Resident #43A. Resident status Resident #43, age [AGE], was admitted on [DATE]. According to the September 2025 computerized physician orders (CPO), diagnoses included type two diabetes, blindness in one eye, fibromyalgia (musculoskeletal pain and fatigue) and chronic congestive heart failure (weakened heart muscle). The 8/6/25 minimum data set (MDS) assessment identified Resident #43 was cognitively intact with a brief interview for mental status (BIMS) score of 15 out of 15. The assessment documented Resident #43 was dependent on staff for toileting hygiene, lower body dressing, putting on/taking off footwear, and transferring to/from the bed to chair. B. Resident interview and observation Resident #43 was interviewed on 9/25/25 at 9:20 a.m. Resident's left hand was contracted and she was unable to extend all her fingers. The resident said she sometimes had her brace. She said her brace disappeared the other night but therapy was working on finding her a new one. C. Observations On 9/22/25 at 2:30 p.m. Resident #43 was not wearing her palm protector on left hand (a device used to prevent finger contractures). On 9/23/25 at 2:20 p.m. Resident #43 was wearing her palm protector on her left hand. On 9/25/25 at 9:10 a.m. Resident #43 was not wearing her palm protector. It was on her table in her room. D. Record review Review of Resident #43's comprehensive care plan did reveal documentation that Resident #43 had a contracture. The care plan did not list any interventions for the management of the contracture. Review of Resident #43's September 2025 CPO did not reveal a physician's order for palm protector to prevent contractures. Review of Resident #43's occupational therapy notes revealed the first palm protector was given to Resident #43 on 7/7/25. Resident #43 complained of left hand pain 8 out of 10 and did not bump it on anything. The nurse compared the right and left hand and the left looked slightly darker than the right. The OT placed a palm guard on Resident #43's left hand to protect it and keep the hand in a better position. The nurse said they would keep an eye on it. However, her care plan did not indicate how often and for how long the palm protector should be on. Review of Resident #43's Kardex (staff directive tool) did not include (continued on next page)		

Any deficiency statement ending with an asterisk (*) denotes a deficiency which the institution may be excused from correcting providing it is determined that other safeguards provide sufficient protection to the patients. (See instructions.) Except for nursing homes, the findings stated above are disclosable 90 days following the date of survey whether or not a plan of correction is provided. For nursing homes, the above findings and plans of correction are disclosable 14 days following the date these documents are made available to the facility. If deficiencies are cited, an approved plan of correction is requisite to continued program participation.

LABORATORY DIRECTOR'S OR PROVIDER/SUPPLIER REPRESENTATIVE'S SIGNATURE	TITLE	(X6) DATE
--	-------	-----------

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION	(X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: 065227	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. Building B. Wing	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED 12/04/2025
NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER Good Samaritan Society -- Fort Collins Village		STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE 508 W Trilby Rd Fort Collins, CO 80525	
For information on the nursing home's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the nursing home or the state survey agency.			
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (Each deficiency must be preceded by full regulatory or LSC identifying information)		
F 0688 Level of Harm - Minimal harm or potential for actual harm Residents Affected - Few	information regarding a hand brace or palm protector.D. Staff interviews Registered nurse (RN) #3 was interviewed on 9/25/25 at 9:15 a.m. RN #3 said the RNs or the certified nurse aides (CNA) could put braces or palm protectors on residents. She said information on how and when to apply equipment should be in the treatment administration record (TAR). CNA #1 was interviewed on 9/25/25 at approximately 9:30 a.m. CNA #1 said Resident #43 should have her palm protector put on in the morning and taken off at night when she went to bed. CNA #1 said information on Resident #43's brace and placement could be found on the care plan, physician's orders or the Kardex. CNA #1 said usually there were pop ups (prompts) that the CNAs followed to make sure tasks were completed. CNA #1 said there were currently not pop ups for Resident #43's palm protector.RN #2 was interviewed on 9/25/25 at approximately 9:30 a.m. RN #2 said there was not a physician's order for a brace or palm protector for Resident #43. Occupational Therapist (OT) #1 was interviewed on 9/25/25 at 2:50 p.m. OT #1 said Resident #43 was admitted with a contracted index finger. She said that upon admission, best practice was to take measurements of resident's contractures. She said she was not sure whether or not the therapy department took measurements. OT #1 said when the resident was admitted to the facility, the therapy team offered different things for her to wear to prevent contractures but she did not want them. OT #1 said that should have been documented. The interim director of nursing (DON) was interviewed on 9/25/25 at 4:27 p.m. The interim DON said when a resident was initially admitted to the facility and had contractures a care plan should have been created. The interim DON said the nursing staff should be updating the care plan and there should be a physician's order for the brace or palm protector. The interim DON said if there was a physician's order, a range of motion task would pop up on the CNA tasks and the CNAs would acknowledge it. The interim DON said this information should be on the Kardex sheet to reference. The interim DON said the therapy team should also evaluate the resident. The interim DON said once the therapy team had evaluated the resident, therapy should be educating nursing staff on the brace and then education should be passed on through verbal reports for the nursing staff. The interim DON said communication tools the staff used included white boards in the resident's room, communication tabs in the electronic charting system and communication questions with the nurses or charge nurse. The interim DON said the charge nurse should verify the brace was on. The interim DON said there was not a restorative program at the facility currently. The interim DON did not know why the resident did not have a physician's order for a palm protector and why her care plan was not updated with a palm protector intervention.		

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION	(X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: 065227	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. Building B. Wing	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED 12/04/2025
NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER Good Samaritan Society -- Fort Collins Village		STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE 508 W Trilby Rd Fort Collins, CO 80525	
For information on the nursing home's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the nursing home or the state survey agency.			
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (Each deficiency must be preceded by full regulatory or LSC identifying information)		
F 0742 Level of Harm - Minimal harm or potential for actual harm Residents Affected - Few	Provide the appropriate treatment and services to a resident who displays or is diagnosed with mental disorder or psychosocial adjustment difficulty, or who has a history of trauma and/or post-traumatic stress disorder. **NOTE- TERMS IN BRACKETS HAVE BEEN EDITED TO PROTECT CONFIDENTIALITY** Based on observations, record review and interviews, the facility failed to ensure residents diagnosed with a mental disorder or psychosocial adjustment difficulty received appropriate treatment and services to attain the highest practicable mental and psychosocial well-being for two (#70 and #10) of five residents out of 29 sample residents. Specifically, the facility failed to: -Identify Resident #70 had a history of suicidal ideation in order to monitor for worsening signs and symptoms of depression or suicidal ideation; -Identify and implement effective interventions for Resident #10's yelling behavior; -Ensure hours of sleep were monitored for Resident #10 while she was on antidepressant medications known to cause drowsiness; and, -Ensure Resident #70 and Resident #10 were offered mental health services. Findings include: I. Resident #70 A. Resident status Resident #70, age greater than 65, was admitted on [DATE]. According to the September 2025 computerized physician orders (CPO), diagnoses included dementia, chronic myeloid leukemia (cancer of the blood and bone), depression and insomnia. The 9/17/25 minimum data set (MDS) assessment revealed the resident was severely cognitively impaired with a brief interview for mental status (BIMS) score of three out of 15. He used a wheelchair and had an impairment on both the upper and lower extremities. He required supervision with eating and he required substantial assistance with showering, oral hygiene and personal hygiene. The MDS assessment revealed Resident #70 had little interest or pleasure in doing things, felt down, depressed or hopeless, and felt tired or had little energy on seven to 11 days during the assessment look-back period. The MDS assessment revealed the resident had thoughts he would be better off dead or hurt himself on two to six days during the assessment look-back period. The MDS assessment indicated the resident's patient health questionnaire (PHQ-9 - a multi-purpose tool used for screening, diagnosing, monitoring and measuring the severity of depression) was seven out of 27, which indicated mild depression. B. Resident interview Resident #70 was interviewed on 9/25/25 at 10:28 a.m. Resident #70 said he was not happy at the facility. He said none of his relatives visited him. He said he would talk to a professional about how he felt about living at the new facility if he was given the chance. C. Record review Resident #70's suicide statement care plan, initiated and revised on 9/25/25 (during the survey), revealed the resident made statements he was ready to die and felt he would be better off if he was gone. He denied suicidal ideation, intent and plan. Interventions included giving the resident adequate rest periods per the resident's requests, discussing with the family and resident any concerns, fears and issues, attempting non-pharmacological interventions for depression, enjoying one-on-one conversations, going outside, watching history and nature television programs. -However, despite the resident's expressions of not wanting to be here anymore and feeling bad about himself from the 9/17/25 MDS assessment the facility failed to assess and monitor the resident for signs and symptoms of depression and suicidal ideation. The 9/2/25 physician's progress note revealed Resident #70 was seen for worsening depression. The resident expressed feeling not worthy and wanting to get out of here. He reported feeling sad and stating he was in a nursing home and nobody visited him except for his wife and she could only come so often. He reported difficulty finding enjoyment in activities at the nursing home. He reported he had fleeting suicidal thoughts including thinking about jumping in front of traffic or cutting his artery with a hacksaw. He mentioned sleep saved him and he fell asleep before he was able to act on any of these thoughts. The depression and suicidal ideation plan was discussion of antidepressant medication therapy. The medical power of attorney (MPOA) declined medication initiation at the visit. The physician encouraged frequent mood reassessment once the resident moved to a new facility. The physician recommended implementing increased safety precautions and added orders for more frequent safety checks by staff every two hours and staff removed sharp (continued on next page)		

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION	(X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: 065227	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. Building B. Wing	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED 12/04/2025
NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER Good Samaritan Society -- Fort Collins Village		STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE 508 W Trilby Rd Fort Collins, CO 80525	
For information on the nursing home's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the nursing home or the state survey agency.			
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (Each deficiency must be preceded by full regulatory or LSC identifying information)		
F 0742 Level of Harm - Minimal harm or potential for actual harm Residents Affected - Few	objects and potential self harm tools from the resident's room and coordinating with facility staff to encourage resident engagement in daily activities. The 9/9/25 referral discharge physician orders revealed Resident #70v may benefit from behavioral health consultation if his mood did not improve following the move. Send last progress notes so the future provider was aware. The 9/13/25 PHQ-9 score revealed a score of nine out of 27 which indicated mild depression. He had little interest or pleasure in doing things on seven to 11 days, felt down, depressed or hopeless on two to six days, felt tired or had little energy on 12 to 14 days, trouble concentrating on things seven to 11 days and moving or speaking so slowly that other people could have noticed occurred on two to six days during the assessment look back period. -Review of Resident #70's electronic medical record (EMR) failed to identify that a suicide lethality assessment was completed after the 9/17/25 PHQ-9 assessment revealed the resident had thoughts he would be better off dead and the facility failed to document that the resident was scheduled to be seen for his depression and suicidal ideation. II. Resident #10 A. Facility policy and procedure The Psychotropic Medications policy and procedure, revised 5/12/25, was provided by the nursing home administrator (NHA) on 9/26/25 at 12:36 p.m. It read in pertinent part, Based on a comprehensive assessment of a resident, the location must ensure that residents who use psychotropic drugs receive behavioral interventions, unless clinically contraindicated, in an effort to discontinue these drugs. Throughout the administration of the psychotropic medications, the following must be completed: mood and behavior documentation must continue in order to monitor the effect the medication has on the behavior. Monitor for effectiveness and potential adverse consequences. The reduction committee will review the need for psychotropic medications at least every three months and document the rationale for continuing the medication. The committee also will need to evaluate the resident's target symptoms and the effect of the medication on the severity, frequency and other characteristics; any changes in the resident's function during the last quarter; this can be done by reviewing the minimum data set (MDS) and whether the resident experienced any medication-related adverse consequences during the previous quarter. B. Resident status Resident #10, age [AGE], was admitted on [DATE]. According to the September 2025 CPO, diagnoses included dementia, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, aortic stenosis, morbid obesity, lymphedema, disorder with mixed anxiety and depressed mood, insomnia and major depressive disorder. The 9/24/25 MDS assessment revealed the resident was cognitively impaired with a BIMS score of 12 out of 15. She had one impairment on her upper extremity and used a wheelchair. She required supervision with eating. She required substantial assistance with oral hygiene and personal hygiene. She was dependent on staff for toileting and showering. The assessment revealed the resident received an antipsychotic medication and an antidepressant medication. The assessment revealed the resident did not exhibit any behaviors and did not reject care. C. Resident interview Resident #10 was interviewed on 9/23/25 at 9:39 a.m. Resident #10 said the staff said she asked for help too much. She said staff said she needed too much personal care. She said staff did not always say that when she asked for help. She said staff said she asked for help too much off and on. Resident #10 was observed in her wheelchair next to her bed in her room. D. Resident observations During a continuous observation on 9/23/25, beginning at 1:00 p.m. and ending at 1:49 p.m. Resident #10 was observed in her wheelchair next to her bed in her room. From 1:09 p.m. to 1:21 p.m. Resident #10 said please help three times, help me three times and help over ten times. -However, no staff members went into the resident's room to see what the resident needed. At 1:24 p.m. an unknown certified nurse aide (CNA) walked in and walked out at 1:25 p.m. At 1:26 p.m. Resident #10 said help two times. At 1:29 p.m. the same unknown CNA went into Resident #10's room with CNA #2. At 1:32 p.m. Resident #10 was observed in her bed. At 1:44 p.m. Resident #10 had two visitors enter her room. At 1:49 p.m. Resident #10's visitor asked an unknown CNA who was in the hallway outside of Resident #10's room if the resident could get up in her wheelchair. The CNA got the resident. During a continuous observation on 9/24/25, beginning at 3:00 p.m. and ending at 4:00 p.m. Resident #10 was observed in her bed with both of her eyes open. At 3:12 p.m. Resident #10 said hello two times. -However, no staff members (continued on next page)		

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION	(X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: 065227	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. Building B. Wing	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED 12/04/2025
NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER Good Samaritan Society -- Fort Collins Village		STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE 508 W Trilby Rd Fort Collins, CO 80525	
For information on the nursing home's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the nursing home or the state survey agency.			
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (Each deficiency must be preceded by full regulatory or LSC identifying information)		
F 0742 Level of Harm - Minimal harm or potential for actual harm Residents Affected - Few	went into the resident's room to see what the resident needed. At 3:22 p.m. Resident #10 said help me three times. -However, no staff members went into the resident's room to see what the resident needed. From 3:28 p.m. to 3:34 p.m. Resident #10 said help eight times. -However, no staff members went into the resident's room to see what the resident needed. At 3:36 p.m. CNA #3 went into Resident #10's room. At 3:46 p.m. Resident #10 was observed being escorted by CNA #3 to the common area. E. Record review Resident #10's psychopharmacological medication care plan, initiated 8/26/21 and revised 6/13/25, revealed the resident used psychopharmacological medication related to major depressive disorder, dementia with behavior episodes and adjustment disorder with mixed anxiety. Interventions included consulting with pharmacy and healthcare providers to consider dosage reduction, discussing with healthcare providers and family the ongoing need for the use of the medication and monitoring the resident's condition based on clinical practice guidelines or clinical standards of practice related to the use of psychotropic medications. Resident #10's behavior symptom care plan, initiated 1/17/25 and revised 3/4/25, revealed the resident's behavior included yelling out, banging on the wall, inappropriate comments to the staff during care. She denied care was given and stated nobody ever helped her when staff was recently in her room. Interventions included intervening as necessary to protect the rights and safety of others, approaching in a calm manner, diverting attention, removing the resident from the situation and taking her to alternate locations as needed, providing opportunities for positive interaction and attention, offering a warm blanket for comfort as needed, offering a weighted blanket for comfort as needed, repositioning as needed, assessing for pain, thirst, hunger and constipation and offering one on one reassurance and emotional support as needed. Resident #10's cognitive deficit care plan, initiated 4/7/21 and revised 9/12/25, revealed Resident #10 exhibited forgetfulness and became upset and agitated with reminders. She had difficulty with timelines, she was repetitive with her concerns, and brought up past concerns after they had been resolved. She was unaware of current reality at times, such as saying she needed to be changed when staff just assisted her. She called out for help and then did not know what she needed help with. Interventions included enjoying one on one conversation especially about astrology, enjoying the presence of others, understanding consistent, simple and direct sentences, providing her with necessary cues, communicating with the resident regarding her capabilities and needs, asking yes or no questions, presenting just one thought, idea, question or command at a time and break tasks into one step at a time. Review of Resident #10's September 2025 CPO revealed the following physician's orders: Zoloft (antidepressant medication) 50 milligram (mg) tablet. Take one tablet by mouth one time a day for depression, ordered 3/1/25. Zyprexa (antipsychotic medication) 7.5 mg tablet. Take one tablet by mouth at bedtime for dementia with behaviors, ordered 6/13/24. Depakote 250 mg delayed release tablet. Take two tablets by mouth two times a day for dementia with behaviors, ordered 4/9/25. Mood monitoring: tearful, change in sleep habits, refusing medication, decrease in appetite, easily irritated with staff. Complete every shift for mood monitoring, ordered 6/9/24. Mood and behavior monitoring: calling out, tearful, accusations she is not being assisted, refusing medications or meals, irritable with staff, demanding behaviors, change in sleeping habits or self isolation. Complete every shift for mood and behavior monitoring, ordered 7/17/23. -A review of Resident #10's EMR failed to reveal the resident's hours of sleep were monitored. The 8/31/25 nurse progress note revealed Resident #10 had minimal yelling out. The 9/1/25 nurse progress note revealed the resident yelled out help throughout the night. The staff were attentive and responded quickly. The resident was forgetful what time it was during the day and thought it was daytime. Staff reassured the resident and she went back to sleep. The 9/2/25 nurse progress note revealed Resident #10 was in the family room with other residents calling out to go to bed after dinner and at shift change. The nurse told the resident many residents were requesting assistance to go to bed and everyone would have to wait until the CNAs were available to assist her. The resident acknowledged the information and she stopped calling out. The 9/10/25 nurse progress note revealed the resident was in the family room calling out for help. When asked how the nurse could help, the resident said (continued on next page)		

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION	(X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: 065227	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. Building B. Wing	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED 12/04/2025
NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER Good Samaritan Society -- Fort Collins Village		STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE 508 W Trilby Rd Fort Collins, CO 80525	
For information on the nursing home's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the nursing home or the state survey agency.			
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (Each deficiency must be preceded by full regulatory or LSC identifying information)		
F 0742 Level of Harm - Minimal harm or potential for actual harm Residents Affected - Few	she did not know. The resident was given a snack and a drink with a positive effect for 15 minutes. She continued to call out for help after the snack was consumed. The resident went to her room per her request. The resident was provided an additional blanket per her request. The resident had an illogical flow of ideas, changing subject, per baseline. Reassurance and emotional support were provided. The 9/16/25 care conference note revealed Resident #10 continued to call out, was unable to make needs known when approached and sometimes did not know why she was calling out. The 9/17/25 nurse progress note revealed the resident had minimal yelling during the shift. -There was no consistent documentation in Resident #10's EMR regarding what interventions were attempted when the resident exhibited the identified behaviors and if the interventions were effective. -A review of Resident #10's EMR failed to reveal the interdisciplinary (IDT) team met to review the resident's psychotropic medications, what behaviors were being monitored, what non-pharmacological interventions were used to help manage the resident's psychiatric behavior symptoms and the resident's response to the interventions attempted. IV. Staff interviews CNA #2 was interviewed on 9/25/25 at 5:36 p.m. CNA #2 said she was familiar with Resident #70. CNA #2 said Resident #70 did not have any behaviors. CNA #2 said she was not aware of any signs or symptoms of depression or a history of suicidal ideation or attempts for the resident. Licensed practical nurse (LPN) #1 was interviewed on 9/25/25 at 4:21p.m. LPN #1 said Resident #70 did not have any behaviors. LPN #1 said he was not aware of any signs or symptoms of depression or a history of suicidal ideation or attempts for the resident. The social services director (SSD) was interviewed on 9/25/25 at 3:52 p.m. The SSD said she started working at the facility in February 2025 and this was her first nursing facility social worker position. She said she had a consultant she collaborated with as needed. The SSD said she was responsible for completing the social services section of the MDS assessment. She said she recently hired a part-time social services assistant. The SSD said if the assistant completed the assessment, the SSD reviewed the section with her assistant. The SSD said if a resident answered yes to feeling better off dead or had little interest or pleasure in doing things, felt down, depressed or hopeless, she talked to the resident further to learn more about why the resident had those feelings. She said she would document the conversation as a progress note. The SSD said during the conversation she would complete a suicide ideation assessment to determine if the resident had a suicide plan. The SSD said after she talked to the resident, she would implement interventions to help the resident and it was documented in the resident's care plan. She said mental health services were one intervention. The SSD said she would have a physician's order for mental health services. The SSD was familiar with Resident #70. She said she completed the MDS social services assessment for Resident #70. She said when the resident answered yes to feeling better off dead, she talked to him for an hour to learn why he answered yes. The SSD said he did not feel better off dead, he said he hated being in a new facility, he missed his wife and he talked about how he missed his independence. The SSD said she thought she documented the conversation as a progress note. The SSD reviewed Resident #70's progress notes during the interview and she said did not see a progress note that documented her conversation with the resident about his suicidal statements. The SSD said based on her conversation with Resident #70 and reviewing his chart, the resident had depression. The SSD said she should arrange for Resident #70 to see mental health services and she should collaborate with nursing staff so the nursing staff could monitor his depression. The SSD said nursing staff monitored depression through a physician's order and documented the depression monitoring on the resident's treatment administration record (TAR). The SSD said she collaborated with the IDT regarding what resident behaviors needed to be monitored. The SSD said the IDT reviewed residents who were on psychotropic medications during the psychotropic pharmacy meeting. The SSD said she was responsible for the psychotropic pharmacy meetings. She said residents were reviewed prior to the residents starting a psychotropic medication, quarterly and as needed. She said she took handwritten notes at the meetings and she did not document the meeting notes in the individual resident's EMR. The SSD said typical interventions were resident specific and (continued on next page)		

Department of Health & Human Services
Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services

Printed: 07/18/2026
Form Approved OMB
No. 0938-0391

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION	(X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: 065227	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. Building B. Wing	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED 12/04/2025
NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER Good Samaritan Society -- Fort Collins Village		STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE 508 W Trilby Rd Fort Collins, CO 80525	
For information on the nursing home's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the nursing home or the state survey agency.			
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (Each deficiency must be preceded by full regulatory or LSC identifying information)		
F 0742 Level of Harm - Minimal harm or potential for actual harm Residents Affected - Few	personalized based on what interventions were effective for the resident. The SSD said sitting with the resident, holding the resident's hand, talking with the resident, repositioning, music, changing the music, a stress ball, a teddy bear, aromatherapy, a warm blanket and sitting in the sunshine were examples of interventions for residents. The SSD said interventions should be offered whenever the resident wanted and needed interventions. The SSD said she was familiar with Resident #10. The SSD said Resident #10 called out for help repetitively and if staff did not respond, she called out louder. The SSD said she had not determined effective interventions to reduce Resident #10's behavior of calling out for help. She said saw the physician's orders for Resident #10 to be seen for individual counseling and mental health services. The SSD said she was unable to determine when Resident #10 was seen for individual counseling and mental health services.		

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION	(X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: 065227	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. Building B. Wing	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED 12/04/2025
NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER Good Samaritan Society -- Fort Collins Village		STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE 508 W Trilby Rd Fort Collins, CO 80525	
For information on the nursing home's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the nursing home or the state survey agency.			
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (Each deficiency must be preceded by full regulatory or LSC identifying information)		
F 0849 Level of Harm - Minimal harm or potential for actual harm Residents Affected - Few	Arrange for the provision of hospice services or assist the resident in transferring to a facility that will arrange for the provision of hospice services. **NOTE- TERMS IN BRACKETS HAVE BEEN EDITED TO PROTECT CONFIDENTIALITY** Based on record review and interviews, the facility failed to ensure the hospice services provided met professional standards and principles that applied to individuals providing services in the facility for one (#8) of three residents reviewed for hospice services out of 29 sample residents. Specifically, the facility failed to:-Establish an effective communication process, including how the communication would be documented between the facility and the hospice services provider for Resident #8; and,-Ensure the hospice agency's care notes were easily accessible to the facility staff and included consistent documentation of the hospice care visits in Resident #8's electronic medical record (EMR). Findings include:I. Facility policy and procedureThe Hospice Care policy and procedure, revised 11/1/24, was received from the nursing home administrator (NHA) on 9/26/25 at 12:36 p.m. It read in pertinent part, Hospice care addresses symptom management, coordination of care, communication and decision making, clarification of goals of care, and quality of life for the dying resident and their family. Hospice care is provided by an interdisciplinary team (IDT) approach to address the physical, psychosocial, spiritual, and medical care to help someone with a terminal illness live as well as possible for as long as possible, increasing quality of life.II. Facility-Hospice contractReview of Resident #8's EMR revealed a contract between the facility and the hospice services company, which was signed by the resident's representative on 2/21/25. It read in pertinent part, A coordinated comprehensive plan of care shall be jointly developed by the facility and hospice. Hospice participation in the care plan conference and input from the hospice representative are required.III. Resident #8A. Resident statusResident #8, age greater than 65, was admitted on [DATE]. According to the September 2025 computerized physician orders (CPO), diagnoses included dementia, Parkinson's disease, cerebral ischemia (not enough blood flow to the brain leading to brain cell damage), cervical disc degeneration, osteoporosis, anxiety, anemia and hypertension.The 8/13/25 minimum data set (MDS) assessment revealed the resident had severe cognitive impairment with a brief interview for mental status (BIMS) score of three out of 15. The resident required maximum assistance with activities of daily living (ADL) and had a performance deficit related to incontinence, activity intolerance, aggressive behavior, confusion, dementia, and limited mobility.The assessment documented that the resident was receiving hospice services.B. Record reviewThe September 2025 CPO revealed a physician's order for Resident #8 to be admitted to hospice care services on 2/7/25 due to cerebral ischemia (not enough blood flow to the brain, causing brain cell damage). Review of Resident #8's end-of-life care plan, initiated 9/5/25, revealed the resident was receiving hospice services for end-of-life comfort care. The hospice agency's phone number was included in the care plan.-However, the care plan did not include interventions related to the coordination of the resident's specific needs with hospice staff. -The care plan did not include documentation related to the specific care needs the hospice services company would be providing to the resident.Review of Resident #8's EMR failed to reveal documentation of the hospice service company's visits with the resident and what care was provided to the resident during the visits. IV. Staff interviewsCertified nurse aide (CNA) #3 was interviewed on 9/24/25 at 3:45 pm. CNA #3 said the hospice provider would perform some ADL care with Resident #8. CNA #3 said he did not know when hospice would come to the facility and provide care to the resident. CNA #3 said he did not keep track of the hospice care provided to the resident. He said if it was a bath day and the resident had not had a bath, the hospice agency's bath team would give Resident #8 a bath. Licensed practical nurse (LPN) #2 was interviewed on 9/23/24 at 10:20 a.m. LPN #2 said the Resident #8 was receiving hospice services. LPN #2 said Resident #8 would sometimes get music therapy from the hospice provider. LPN #2 said she would need to call the hospice agency's phone number if she had questions or concerns regarding the resident. LPN #2 said she would call the hospice agency for a change in the condition of the (continued on next page)		

Department of Health & Human Services
Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services

Printed: 07/18/2026
Form Approved OMB
No. 0938-0391

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION	(X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: 065227	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. Building B. Wing	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED 12/04/2025
NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER Good Samaritan Society -- Fort Collins Village		STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE 508 W Trilby Rd Fort Collins, CO 80525	
For information on the nursing home's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the nursing home or the state survey agency.			
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (Each deficiency must be preceded by full regulatory or LSC identifying information)		
F 0849 Level of Harm - Minimal harm or potential for actual harm Residents Affected - Few	resident. LPN #2 said she when she called the hospice agency she could request that the hospice nurse come and see Resident #8 to evaluate the resident due to a change in condition. She said she did not know how often the hospice nurses or hospice CNAs came to see the resident. LPN #2 said she did not have a reference form to refer to for information on who and when hospice provided care for the resident. LPN #2 said she did not have access to the hospice agency's notes in order to see what hospice services were provided during each hospice visit. The hospice registered nurse (RN) was interviewed on 9/24/25 at 3:10 p.m. The hospice RN said she documented her notes in her iPad, and then the notes were sent to the hospice services agency. The hospice RN said the facility would contact the hospice nurse for medication refills. The hospice RN said she did not share her visit notes with the facility; the notes were only documented in the hospice agency's EMR. The hospice RN said she would get a call from the facility for falls, comfort care questions or if the resident was combative with personal care. The hospice RN said Resident #8 had impulsive behavior at times. The interim director of nursing (DON) was interviewed on 9/25/25 at 4:45 p.m. The interim DON said Resident #8 was receiving hospice services. The interim DON said the hospice contract indicated what services the hospice company provided to residents. The interim DON did not know the exact services that were provided by the hospice agency, what discipline within the hospice agency provided them or how often specific services were provided to Resident #8. The interim DON said in order for the facility staff to communicate with the hospice agency, a phone call would be made to the agency. The interim DON said when the hospice agency had a question or concern with a resident, they would call the facility.		

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION	(X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: 065227	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. Building B. Wing	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED 12/04/2025
NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER Good Samaritan Society -- Fort Collins Village		STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE 508 W Trilby Rd Fort Collins, CO 80525	
For information on the nursing home's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the nursing home or the state survey agency.			
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (Each deficiency must be preceded by full regulatory or LSC identifying information)		
F 0881 Level of Harm - Minimal harm or potential for actual harm Residents Affected - Few	Implement a program that monitors antibiotic use. **NOTE- TERMS IN BRACKETS HAVE BEEN EDITED TO PROTECT CONFIDENTIALITY** Based on record review and interviews, the facility failed to establish an effective antibiotic stewardship program that included antibiotic use protocols and a system to monitor antibiotic use for two (#16 and #48) of the five residents reviewed for antibiotic use out of 29 sample residents. Specifically, the facility failed to track and monitor the use of long-term antibiotics for Resident #16 and Resident #48. Findings include:I. Professional reference According to The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) Core Elements of Antibiotic Stewardship for Nursing Homes (2025), retrieved on 9/29/25 from https://www.cdc.gov/antibiotic-use/hcp/core-elements/nursing-homes-antibiotic-stewardship.html?CDC_A track how and why antibiotics are prescribed, providers perform reviews on resident medical records for new antibiotics started to determine whether the clinical assessment, prescription documentation, and antibiotic selection were in accordance with facility antibiotic use policies and practices. When conducted over time, monitoring process measures can assess whether antibiotic prescribing policies are being followed by staff and clinicians.II. Facility policy and procedureThe Antibiotic Stewardship policy, dated 7/8/25, was received from the nursing home administrator (NHA) on 9/25/25 at 11:04 am. It read in pertinent part, To guide Good Samaritan Society-Fort [NAME] Village locations for antibiotic stewardship plans. To decrease the incidence of multidrug-resistant organisms (MDRO). Promote appropriate use while optimizing the treatment of infections and reducing the possible adverse events associated with antibiotic use. To provide standard definitions to be used as guidelines when initiating antibiotics.III. Resident #16A. Resident status Resident #16, age greater than 65, was admitted on [DATE]. According to the September 2025 computerized physician order (CPO), diagnoses included congestive heart failure, atrial flutter, long-term anticoagulant use, chronic kidney disease, hyponatremia, urgency of urination and overactive bladder. The 9/3/25 minimum data set (MDS) assessment revealed the resident had moderate cognitive impairments with a brief interview for mental status (BIMS) score of ten out of 15. The assessment revealed the resident was on an antibiotic. B. Resident interview Resident #16 was interviewed on 9/24/25 at 10:03 a.m. She said she did not currently have a urinary tract infection (UTI). The resident said she was not sure the last time she had a UTI. She said when she had a UTI in the past, it made her feel uncomfortable. She said she was not on any antibiotics currently.C. Record review Review of Resident #16's September 2025 CPO revealed the following physician's order:Cephalexin (antibiotic) tablet 250 milligram (mg). Give 1 tablet by mouth one time a day for UTI prophylaxis (to prevent an infection from occurring), ordered 12/9/22.The physician's order for the Cephalexin failed to indicate a stop date for the use of the antibiotic. The antibiotic therapy care plan, revised 12/7/23, revealed the resident was on antibiotic therapy related to UTI prevention. Pertinent interventions included monitoring/documenting signs or symptoms of UTI.Review of Resident's #16 EMR did not reveal documentation regarding the physician's justification for the long-term use of an antibiotic. Review of Resident #16's EMR did not reveal documentation to indicate the facility's infection preventionist (IP) completed an antibiotic use assessment or documented the McGeer's criteria met to justify the physician's order for Cephalexin. Review of the IP infection surveillance documents from 6/25/25 to 8/25/25 revealed that the facility identified residents with active infections. -However, Resident #16 was not included on the infection surveillance documents.The 6/20/25 pharmacist note documented Resident #16 had received an antimicrobial agent, Cephalexin 250 mg once a day, since December 2022 for the prevention of UTI. The note documented prolonged use of antibiotics for infection prophylaxis increased the risk of resistance and the development of C. difficile infection.-However, review of the resident's EMR did not reveal documentation that the facility took action to clarify the antibiotic use for Resident #16. IV. Resident #48A. Resident status Resident #48, age greater than 65, was admitted on [DATE]. According to the September 2025 CPO, diagnoses included vascular dementia, atrial fibrillation, (continued on next page)		

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION	(X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: 065227	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. Building B. Wing	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED 12/04/2025
NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER Good Samaritan Society -- Fort Collins Village		STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE 508 W Trilby Rd Fort Collins, CO 80525	
For information on the nursing home's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the nursing home or the state survey agency.			
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (Each deficiency must be preceded by full regulatory or LSC identifying information)		
F 0881 Level of Harm - Minimal harm or potential for actual harm Residents Affected - Few	prediabetes, muscle weakness and lack of coordination. The 9/10/25 MDS assessment revealed the resident had severe cognitive impairments with a BIMS score of one out of 15. The assessment revealed the resident was on an antibiotic. B. Record review Review of Resident #48's September 2025 CPO revealed the following physician's order; Cipro Oral Tablet 250 MG (Ciprofloxacin HCl): Give 250 mg by mouth in the evening for UTI prophylaxis, ordered 2/18/24. The physician's order for the Cipro failed to indicate the duration for the use of the antibiotic. The antibiotic therapy care plan, revised 8/6/23, revealed the resident was on antibiotic therapy related to UTI prevention. Pertinent interventions included encouraging fluid intake and monitoring/documenting/reporting signs or symptoms of UTI. Review of the Resident #48's EMR did not reveal documentation regarding the physician's justification for the long-term use of an antibiotic. Review of Resident #48's EMR did not reveal documentation to indicate the facility's IP completed an antibiotic use assessment or documented that McGeer's criteria were met to justify the physician's order for Cipro. The 6/21/25 pharmacist note documented that Cipro was started in February 2024 and was a long-term antibiotic. The note documented the evidence supporting prophylactic antibiotic use for recurrent UTI in older adults was lacking and the harms of long-term use may outweigh the benefits in older adults. However, there was documentation that the facility reviewed the use of the long-term use of Cipro for Resident #48. V. Staff interviews Licensed practical nurse (LPN) #1 was interviewed on 9/25/25 at 10:40 a.m. LPN #1 said Resident #16 had been on an antibiotic since she started working at the facility. LPN #1 said there was a question as to why the antibiotic was prescribed prophylactically for UTI, without an end date. LPN #1 said Resident #16 had not experienced a UTI while at the facility. LPN #1 said she was not aware of Resident #16 ever having a UTI. The IP and the NHA were interviewed together on 9/25/25 at 4:42 p.m. The IP said the facility's process for monitoring and tracking infections and antibiotic use included mapping of current infections and outbreaks. The IP said the medical director reviewed antibiotic use as needed. The IP said if the resident's family refused to stop antibiotic use, it would continue prophylactically per family request. The IP said the process for prophylactic antibiotic use was continued by the family of the resident, even though the facility had an antibiotic stewardship program.		