

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION	(X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER:  065299	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. Building B. Wing	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED  01/28/2026
NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER  Parkview Care Center		STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE  3105 W Arkansas Ave Denver, CO 80219	

For information on the nursing home's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the nursing home or the state survey agency.

(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (Each deficiency must be preceded by full regulatory or LSC identifying information)
<p>F 0610</p> <p>Level of Harm - Minimal harm or potential for actual harm</p> <p>Residents Affected - Few</p>	<p>Respond appropriately to all alleged violations.</p> <p>Findings include: Record review and interviews confirmed the facility corrected the deficient practice prior to the onsite investigation on 1/27/26 to 1/28/26, resulting in the deficiency being cited as past noncompliance, with a correction date of 12/25/25.I. Facility plan of corrective actionMeasure to address systemic concernsThe facility provided immediate staff education to all nursing staff to:-Document all resident monitoring activities when the resident was on a one to one or line of sight supervision;-Cover units to provide supervision for resident to ensure health and safety of the residents in need, when staff are on break;-Be knowledgeable on identifying types of abuse, reporting requirements and timelines for reporting allegations of abuse; and -Understand the importance and steps to document initial statements form the residents involved and witnesses to gather all possible preinvestigation information to support the facility investigation. Plan to monitor for sustained compliance-The facility chief executive office (CEO)/designee will review all allegations of abuse and the interview packets to ensure that allegations are reported timely, interventions to prevent abuse are followed and care planned and the investigation packet completion was timely; and include detailed interviews, preventative measures, and any education needed. -The CEO/designee will report the findings from the audits or the quality assurance performance improvement committed monthly for 90 days. The QAPI committee was to identify any trend and take corrective actions. II. Facility policy and procedureThe Abuse Reporting and Investigating Policy, dated 2/6/23, was provided by the nursing home administrator (NHA) on 1/28/26 at 8:42 a.m. It revealed in pertinent part, All allegations of abuse or occurrences will be thoroughly investigated. The administrator/designee initiates the investigations.-The administrator/designee provides supporting documents and evidence related to the alleged incident to the individual in charge of the investigation.-Any evidence that may be needed for a criminal investigation is sealed, labeled and protected from tampering or destruction.-The administrator/designee is responsible for keeping the resident and his/her responsible party informed of the progress of the investigation.-Reviews all events leading up to the alleged incident; and-Documents the investigation completely and thoroughly. Witness statements are obtained in writing, signed and dated. The witness may write his/her statement, or the investigator may obtain a statement.-The investigator will record the findings of the investigation and provide the completed documentation to the administrator.III. Allegation of sexual abuse between Resident #5 and Resident #4 on 12/15/25A. Facility investigationThe facility investigation, dated 12/17/25, documented on 12/15/25 at approximately 10:30 p.m., a certified nurse aide (CNA) #1 heard Resident #4 yelling from inside her room. CNA #1 entered Resident #4's room and found Resident #5 standing near Resident #4's bed. CNA #1 immediately intervened and redirected Resident #5 out of the room. Resident #5 was placed on one-to-one supervision. The investigation report documented CNA #1 first said she was not sure if Resident #5 placed his hands on Resident #4 or not. Upon further investigation and follow-up interviews with CNA #1, it was clarified that Resident #5 placed his hands over Resident #4's clothing and bedding in the area of her chest and abdomen. After</p> <p>(continued on next page)</p>

Any deficiency statement ending with an asterisk (\*) denotes a deficiency which the institution may be excused from correcting providing it is determined that other safeguards provide sufficient protection to the patients. (See instructions.) Except for nursing homes, the findings stated above are disclosable 90 days following the date of survey whether or not a plan of correction is provided. For nursing homes, the above findings and plans of correction are disclosable 14 days following the date these documents are made available to the facility. If deficiencies are cited, an approved plan of correction is requisite to continued program participation.

LABORATORY DIRECTOR'S OR PROVIDER/SUPPLIER REPRESENTATIVE'S SIGNATURE	TITLE	(X6) DATE
FORM CMS-2567 (02/99) Previous Versions Obsolete	Event ID:	Facility ID: 065299
		If continuation sheet Page 1 of 4

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION	(X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER:  065299	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. Building B. Wing	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED  01/28/2026
NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER  Parkview Care Center		STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE  3105 W Arkansas Ave Denver, CO 80219	
For information on the nursing home's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the nursing home or the state survey agency.			
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (Each deficiency must be preceded by full regulatory or LSC identifying information)		
<p>F 0610</p> <p>Level of Harm - Minimal harm or potential for actual harm</p> <p>Residents Affected - Few</p>	<p>clarification of the witness statement that Resident #5 had touched Resident #4 on her chest area, the facility started an internal investigation. -However, based on interviews (see below) and record review (of the facility investigation), no effort was made to start the investigation into the allegation of sexual abuse immediately following the incident, while the resident, both with dementia, would have had better recall of the incident. The resident interviews and Resident #4 was not examined for injuries until the next morning. The facility investigation revealed the facility's investigator interviewed the alleged victim, the alleged assailant, the alleged victim's roommates, twelve additional residents, and five staff members who were working at the time of the incident. -Both Resident #4 and Resident #5 had severely impaired cognition and neither was able to report details of the incident the next morning when they were interviewed. The investigation documented that the facility investigator reviewed the facility hallway video surveillance footage from the hallway outside the resident rooms. The investigation documented review of video surveillance (with audio capability) from 10:04 p.m. to 10:24 p.m. revealed Resident #5 was observed coming out of his room and standing outside Resident #4's room before standing in her doorway and then going into the room. Resident #5 was not in the room long before he was seen peeking out down the hallway. He did this a couple of times. Then Resident #5 walked all the way down the hallway, stopped in front of a door that CNA #1 went in and continued walking back towards his room. Resident #5 then went in his room and then back out into the hallways into Resident #4's room. The CNA was in a room next door answering the call light when she appeared back into the hallway. CNA #1 looked towards Resident #4's room and went into the room. At 10:25 p.m., Resident #5 was observed walking out of Resident #4's room back into his own room. At 11:25 p.m. CNA #1 was observed walking out of Resident #4's room into Resident #5's room and then exiting a minute later. -The findings of the video surveillance failed to document the exact time Resident #5 entered Resident #4's room, for how long he was in her room before CNA #1 responded to Resident #4's yelling. According to the facility investigation, Resident #4 was not interviewed until 10:30 a.m., 12 hours after the incident occurred and per the facility investigation, Resident #4 did not remember anything that happened the night prior; she did not remember anyone coming into her room, nor did she remember anyone touching her. Resident #5 was not interviewed until 12:30 p.m., 14 hours after the incident occurred and per the facility investigation, he did not remember going into another resident's room last night and does not remember hearing anyone calling out for help. As a part of the facility investigation, Resident #17 was interviewed on 12/17/25 at 11:25 a.m. Resident #17 lived in the room next door to Resident #4. Resident #17 told the facility investigator he was in his room in bed and he heard Resident #4 calling out for help for about 10-15 minutes, next he heard the CNA telling someone they could not be going into other people's rooms and had to leave. That was when Resident #4's yelling stopped. -There was no document of further investigation to determine the root cause of resident #4's yelling out for help and CNA#1's witness statement failed to provide details of what the resident was yelling about or saying when she heard the resident yelling (see CNA's interview statement below). The facility investigator interviewed Resident #4's roommate on 12/16/25. The roommate gave answers that were not relevant to the questions asked and was unable to follow the conversation. CNA #1 was interviewed on 12/16/25. CNA #1 said she heard yelling coming from Resident #4's and observed Resident #5 standing over Resident #4's bed with his hand resting on her chest and abdomen area (on top of her clothes and the blanket). She said she immediately told Resident #5 to leave the room and go to his room. Resident #5 immediately responded and left the room and did not return. -CNA #1's witness statement failed to provide any detail about the type of touch or a description of either resident's demeanor. The witness statement failed to document what</p> <p>(continued on next page)</p>		

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION	(X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER:  065299	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. Building B. Wing	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED  01/28/2026
NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER  Parkview Care Center		STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE  3105 W Arkansas Ave Denver, CO 80219	
For information on the nursing home's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the nursing home or the state survey agency.			
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (Each deficiency must be preceded by full regulatory or LSC identifying information)		
<p>F 0610</p> <p>Level of Harm - Minimal harm or potential for actual harm</p> <p>Residents Affected - Few</p>	<p>Resident #4 was saying or yelling about. Additionally, there was no explanation of why the witness first reported that Resident #5's hands were hovering over Resident #5 and then said he was touching her. The investigation documented none of the other four nursing staff on duty that evening said they witnessed the incident or heard Resident #4 yelling. B. Record reviewReview of Resident #4's electronic medical record (EMR) revealed one entry of an increase in distressing behaviors occurring on 12/14/25.A behavior progress note, dated 12/14/25 at 12:39 p.m., documented Resident #4 was noted to be having behaviors that day. She was calling out asking for help and when the staff attempted to help her she was becoming upset, yelling, cursing, name-calling and even pinching staff. Resident #4 checked on frequently and interventions initiated as needed. A progress note dated 12/15/25 at 5:17 p.m. documented a call was placed to the resident's power of attorney (POA) to notify her that the resident was experiencing behaviors and the physician ordered a blood draw for lab work and a urine analysis. The physician also recommended Resident #4 to restart Risperidone (antipsychotic medication) oral tablet at 1mg per day. The POA agreed to the orders. -However, there was no documentation of staff monitoring the resident for continued behavior and or of the resident calling out for help that evening (12/15/25). There was no documentation of the staffs actions to monitor and provide interventions on the evening of the alleged allegation of sexual abuse. C. Other resident interviewResident #17 was interviewed on 1/28/26 at 6:00 p.m. Resident #17 said around the change of shift on the evening of 1/15/26, he clearly heard Resident #4 in the next room yelling out loudly in Spanish for about 20 minutes before staff responded to her yelling. Resident #17 said the yelling out was unusual behavior for Resident #4Resident #17 said he could not remember the exact time she started yelling out or when he heard a female nursing staff tell a male resident, you cannot be going into other residents' rooms. Resident #17 said later that same night he heard the female CNA and the nurse on duty talking about the incident and saying that a male resident was in Resident #4's room and was touching and groping her.-This degree of detail was not documented in Resident #4's initial interview with the facility investigator. Resident #17's initial witness statement was very brief and did not expand upon any details. Additionally, when the resident initially reported that the resident was yelling for several minutes, the investigator did not inquire why the staff did not hear the resident yelling when she was in a nearby room helping another resident. IV. Staff interviewsCNA #4 was interviewed on 1/28/26 at 1:00 p.m. CNA #4 said that when a resident-to-resident abuse was observed, staff were expected to break up the situation and call for nursing to do an assessment and then report the incident to administration. CNA #4 said after that there was nothing else to report. CNA #5 was interviewed on 1/28/26 at 3:45 p.m. CNA #5 said she not have any information about the incident between Resident #4 and Resident #5. She said Resident #4 was bossy but social. She said Resident #4 would approach other residents to interact or try to communicate with them but her ability to communicate was limited due to her cognitive and hearing deficits.CNA #5 said Resident #4 was not physically aggressive with other residents or staff. CNA #5 said she would report any observed instance of abuse to the director of nursing (DON) immediately after making sure the resident was safeThe NHA, the social services director (SSD), the director of nursing (DON), the nurse consultant and the regional consultant were interviewed on 1/28/26 at 4:15 p.m. The regional consultant said the CNA's initial report was that Resident #5's hand was hovering over Resident #4 but when administration talked to the CNA in more detail the CNA reported that she did observe Resident #5 with his hands on Resident #4 on her chest and abdomen. Initially the incident was not considered sexual abuse. -However, the administrative staff were not able to demonstrate that the facility's investigator interviewed the CNA for details of the exact location of his hands on what part of her chest or if he was rubbing her or</p> <p>(continued on next page)</p>		

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION	(X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER:  065299	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. Building B. Wing	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED  01/28/2026
NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER  Parkview Care Center		STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE  3105 W Arkansas Ave Denver, CO 80219	
For information on the nursing home's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the nursing home or the state survey agency.			
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (Each deficiency must be preceded by full regulatory or LSC identifying information)		
<p>F 0610</p> <p>Level of Harm - Minimal harm or potential for actual harm</p> <p>Residents Affected - Few</p>	<p>just laying his hands on Resident #4. Additionally, no one was able to explain what the CNA heard the resident yelling about. The corporate nurse consultant said the resident initially denied anything happened. The corporate nurse consultant said when interviewed some of the night staff members thought Resident #5 might have have been going into Resident #4's room to see if she needed help. The corporate nurse consultant said hovering does not mean a lot until the figured out the situation.-However, only one staff observed and reported hearing Resident #4 yelling and non of this was documented in the facility investigation as a part of the investigation. There was no documentation to indicate that this latest assessment of what might have occurred was a part of the investigation or post incident and investigation review. The social services director (SSD) said she reached out to Resident #5's family and they said laying hands on a person in distress was a cultural thing and they did not believe it was inappropriate. The SSD said the resident's son said Resident #5 used to be an energy healer. The NHA said following this incident she implemented the expectation for the night time and weekend charge nurse to start the interview process with the staff and residents involved to gain immediate information that could be forgotten at a later time. The NHA said she also educated staff report alleged incidents to administration immediately and to provide a more detailed description of the incident and what they observed.The NHA said Resident #5 was no longer in the facility and was moved to an all male secured unit.</p>		