STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION	(X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: 155329	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. Building B. Wing	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED 03/13/2025
NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER: Rosewalk Village		STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE: 1302 N Lesley Ave Indianapolis, IN 46219	

For information on the nursing home's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the nursing home or the state survey agency.

(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (Each deficiency must be preceded by full regulatory or LSC identifying information)
F 0550 Level of Harm - Minimal harm or potential for actual harm Residents Affected - Few	Honor the resident's right to a dignified existence, self-determination, communication, and to exercise his or her rights. 34850 Based on interview and record review, the facility failed to ensure residents' dignity was respected for 3 of 4 residents reviewed for abuse. (Residents' J, K, and L) Findings include: 1. The clinical record for Resident K was reviewed on 3/10/25 at 10:00 a.m. The diagnoses included, but were not limited to, heart disease. A Quarterly Minimum Data Set (MDS) assessment, dated 1/8/25, indicated Resident K was cognitively intact. An interview was conducted with Resident K on 3/10/25 at 10:09 a.m. She indicated she had reported Licensed Practical Nurse (LPN) 22 to the Executive Director (ED). He was always hateful and rude towards her. LPN 22 was changing her humidifier for her oxygen, and during that time, the interaction between LPN 22 and Resident K was not respectful. LPN 22 had made rude statements to her and stuck up his middle finger. She had stated to him, you're not my daddy, and he responded, you're not my mama. She expected LPN 22 to apologize to Resident K for being treated that way, but she had not received an apology. LPN 22 no longer worked at the facility. A reportable incident to the Indiana Department of Health with an investigation file was provided, on 3/10/25 at 3:38 p.m., from the ED. The incident report, dated 2/4/25, indicated Resident K had reported customer care concerns with a staff member (LPN 22) on 2/3/25. The follow up completed indicated the other staff person, Certified Nurse Aide (CNA) 6, had been in the resident's room at the time of the incident and did not witness LPN 22 being rude to Resident K. The investigation file of the incident between LPN 22 and Resident K included, but was not limited to, the following documents: An e-mailed statement by CNA 6, dated 2/6/25, indicating she had asked LPN 22 to assist her with Resident K's roommate. During that time, she observed LPN 22 and Resident K bickering back-and-forth with each other. She did not hear any cussing during the interaction between LPN 22 and Resident K. She did hear Resident K request for LPN 22 not to speak to her. LPN 22's response was Okay. You don't want to talk to me. After, CNA 6 had exited the room first with LPN 22 coming from behind, so she had not observed LPN 22 sticking up his middle finger at Resident K prior to exiting the room. (continued on next page)

Any deficiency statement ending with an asterisk (*) denotes a deficiency which the institution may be excused from correcting providing it is determined that other safeguards provide sufficient protection to the patients. (See instructions.) Except for nursing homes, the findings stated above are disclosable 90 days following the date of survey whether or not a plan of correction is provided. For nursing homes, the above findings and plans of correction are disclosable 14 days following the date these documents are made available to the facility. If deficiencies are cited, an approved plan of correction is requisite to continued program participation.

LABORATORY DIRECTOR'S OR PROVIDER/SUPPLIER REPRESENTATIVE'S SIGNATURE	TITLE	(X6) DATE

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION	(X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: 155329	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. Building B. Wing	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED 03/13/2025
NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER Rosewalk Village		STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE 1302 N Lesley Ave Indianapolis, IN 46219	

For information on the nursing home's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the nursing home or the state survey agency.

(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (Each deficiency must be preceded by full regulatory or LSC identifying information)
F 0550 Level of Harm - Minimal harm or potential for actual harm Residents Affected - Few	A typed statement by LPN 22, dated 2/3/25, indicated on 2/3/25 at approximately 5:00 a.m., he was in Resident K's room preparing to change out oxygen tubing and condenser water for Resident K. The resident, he believed, had gotten upset because she had been woken up while changing the oxygen supplies. The resident had declined for staff to change out her oxygen supplies at that time. LPN 22 had explained the oxygen items needed to be changed and exchange the old ones with new ones. CNA 6 provided care to Resident K's roommate and requested his assistance. During that time, Resident K was shouting random negative comments like you're not my dad so you can't tell me when to change anything. As LPN 22 was helping CNA 6, Resident K would say something negative towards LPN 22 about every two to three minutes, or so, with no reaction from LPN 22. LPN 22 and CNA 6 finished up with the roommate and as they both were walking out of the room and LPN 22 was pulling the door closed, Resident K yelled out she was going to tell everyone and today was LPN 22's last day. Typed resident statements were included in the investigation file. It indicated the following statements from Resident L and Resident J: Resident L was asked, Did nurse [LPN 22] ever cuss at you or made you feel upset? Resident L responded, When I'd [sic] didn't do what he wanted one day, we got into an argument, he was like, man F*** you. So, I told him, F*** you back. Resident J was asked, Did nurse [LPN 22] ever cuss at you or made you feel upset? Resident J responded, He is pushy and rude. Always trying to make me take stuff I don't want to take too forceful for me. 2. The clinical record for Resident J was reviewed on 3/10/25 at 12:00 p.m. The diagnoses included, but were not limited to, heart failure. A Significant Change MDS assessment, dated 1/14/25, indicated Resident J was cognitively intact. An interview was conducted with Resident J on 3/11/25 at 12:13 p.m. He indicated LPN 22 was pushy and demanding. He would wake him up in the middle of night and want him to do something. For example, Take a sip of water. If he declined, LPN 22 would not take no for an answer. Resident J had requested he did not want LPN 22 back in his room anymore due to being disrespectful. 3. The clinical record for Resident L was reviewed on 3/10/25 at 1:00 p.m. The diagnoses included, but were not limited to, quadriplegia. A Quarterly MDS assessment, dated 1/30/25, indicated Resident L was cognitively intact. An interview was conducted with Resident L on 3/11/25 at 2:19 p.m. He indicated he did have an argument with LPN 22 a while back. He rushes through care and doesn't listen. During an argument with LPN 22, he had stated to Resident L, shut the f*** up, and he responded, with repeating the same words he said back to him. The nurse just didn't want to listen to what he had to say. He had spoken to the Director of Nursing (DON) and the ED about the incident. LPN 22 was disrespectful. It was addressed and over now. It did not happen again. (continued on next page)

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION	(X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: 155329	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. Building B. Wing	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED 03/13/2025
NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER Rosewalk Village		STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE 1302 N Lesley Ave Indianapolis, IN 46219	

For information on the nursing home's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the nursing home or the state survey agency.

(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (Each deficiency must be preceded by full regulatory or LSC identifying information)
F 0550 Level of Harm - Minimal harm or potential for actual harm Residents Affected - Few	An interview was conducted with the ED on 3/13/25 at 9:49 a.m. He indicated he had completed the investigation into the reportable incident between Resident K and LPN 22. During the investigation, he had also spoken to Resident L and Resident J. A discussion with LPN 22 was provided and included education about his mannerisms. LPN 22 had stated to the ED he was just trying to coach the residents to have a better life and encourage them to be healthier. LPN 22 meant well, but he was disrespectful. LPN 22 has been on medical leave since the incident. A resident's rights policy was provided by the DON on 3/13/25 at 1:59 p.m. It indicated, .In accordance with this right to dignity and respect, residents are entitled to all of the freedom and privileges of any other citizen. The resident also has obligations and responsibilities to the Community staff and other residents .Every resident in a long-term care facility shall have at least the following rights . (18) Each resident shall be treated with consideration, respect, and full recognition of his dignity and individuality, including privacy in treatment and in care for his personal needs . This citation relates to complaint IN00451232. 3.1-3(t)

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION	(X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: 155329	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. Building B. Wing	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED 03/13/2025
NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER Rosewalk Village		STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE 1302 N Lesley Ave Indianapolis, IN 46219	

For information on the nursing home's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the nursing home or the state survey agency.

(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (Each deficiency must be preceded by full regulatory or LSC identifying information)
F 0558 Level of Harm - Minimal harm or potential for actual harm Residents Affected - Few	Reasonably accommodate the needs and preferences of each resident. 52119 Based on observation, interview, and record review, the facility failed to ensure a call light was in reach for 1 of 1 resident reviewed for call lights (Resident G). Findings include: The clinical record for Resident G was reviewed on 3/11/25 at 10:00 a.m. The diagnoses included, but were not limited to, anemia, cancer, heart failure, diabetes, hemiplegia caused by stroke (weakness on one side of the body), and depression. A Quarterly Minimum Data Set assessment, completed 12/20/24, indicated Resident G's preferred language was Spanish, and she needed an interpreter to communicate with doctors or health staff. It also indicated Resident G was cognitively intact with impairment of her right upper extremity. An observation was conducted of Resident G on 3/12/25 at 9:39 a.m. Resident G was in bed and her call light cord was attached to the wall mount on the wall next to the bed. The cord went behind the resident's bed, and it was hanging in front of the headboard near the ground on the right side of the bed. The call light was out of sight and out of reach of the resident. In an interview with Resident G on 3/12/25 at 9:39 a.m., the resident indicated she was aware she did not have her call light. Sometimes she has it and sometimes she does not. She indicated when she does have her call light, she knows how to use it. At 10:36 a.m., Laundry Aide 11 was asked to give Resident G her call light. Laundry Aide 11 then told Certified Nurse Aide (CNA) 9, who was in another resident room, that Resident G needed her call light handed to her. When CNA 9 came out of the resident's room, she was asked if Resident G used her call button to request help and CNA 9 indicated Resident G does use the call light. During an observation of Resident G on 3/12/25 at 1:46 p.m., her call light was still in the same place and positioned behind her bed as it was that morning. The Director of Nursing (DON) was interviewed in Resident G's room on 3/13/25 at 10:48 a.m. The resident's call light cord was observed plugged into the wall on top left side of the bed, underneath her pillow, out of sight, and out of reach. The DON indicated their policy indicates the call light should be within reach, and she believed the call light was currently in reach of the resident. The resident was asked, at that time, to reach for her call light. The resident pulled the pillow from behind her head, then placed the pillow back, and attempted to feel around for her call light. She was unable to reach the call light. The DON then grabbed the call light and handed it to the resident. During an interview with the DON on 3/13/25 at 1:05 p.m., she indicated they do not have a policy on call lights, and they just follow the standards of care for call light use and availability. 3.1-3(v)(1)

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION	(X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: 155329	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. Building B. Wing	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED 03/13/2025
NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER Rosewalk Village		STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE 1302 N Lesley Ave Indianapolis, IN 46219	

For information on the nursing home's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the nursing home or the state survey agency.

(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (Each deficiency must be preceded by full regulatory or LSC identifying information)
F 0677 Level of Harm - Minimal harm or potential for actual harm Residents Affected - Few	Provide care and assistance to perform activities of daily living for any resident who is unable. 52119 Based on observation, interview, and record review, the facility failed to get residents up to a wheelchair and complete regular hair shampooing for 1 of 4 residents reviewed for ADLs (Activities of Daily Living). (Resident G) Findings include: The clinical record for Resident G was reviewed on 3/11/25 at 10:00 a.m. The diagnoses included, but were not limited to, anemia, cancer, heart failure, diabetes, hemiplegia caused by stroke (weakness on one side of the body), and depression. A Quarterly Minimum Data Set assessment, completed 12/20/24, indicated Resident G's preferred language was Spanish, and she needed an interpreter to communicate with doctors or health staff. It also indicated Resident G was cognitively intact with impairment of her right upper extremity. On 3/12/25 at 9:39 a.m., Resident G was observed in her room, lying in bed wearing a hospital gown. The resident's hair was oily, stringy, and tangled in the back. She indicated she had not had a shower, a full bed bath, or her hair shampooed in about 10-12 days. She asked the staff to wash her hair, but they did not. The staff had not gotten her up to her wheelchair in a long time. She used to have a wheelchair in her room, but she had not seen it for many days. No wheelchair was observed in the room. She indicated she would like to get up to a wheelchair. She does remember the staff using a machine to get her up, but she has not seen the machine in a long time. During an interview on 3/12/25 at 11:46 a.m. with Licensed Practical Nurse (LPN) 10, she indicated the resident's showers were scheduled for Tuesdays and Fridays. While the resident does not frequently refuse care, she has been known to in the past. She could communicate well with Resident G, who makes her needs known well enough. The resident was a Hoyer lift with a two people assist to transfer and said there should be a wheelchair in the resident's room. The Assistant Director of Nursing Services (ADNS), who attended the interview, indicated the resident does have a wheelchair, but she does not know where it was. The resident had told them she likes to stay in a hospital gown and stay in bed. During an interview with Certified Nurse Aide (CNA) 9 on 3/12/25 at 1:55 p.m., she indicated she gave the resident a partial bed bath the day prior (3/11/25) because the resident requested a partial bed bath instead of a full one. During an observation and interview with Resident G on 3/13/25 at 9:53 a.m., she indicated she had not yet received a complete bed bath or shower this week, and her hair had still not been washed. Resident G's hair was very oily, stringy, matted, and now had some flakes of skin near her scalp. She indicated a wheelchair was now in her room, and it was the first time she had seen one in many days. She would like to get up to the wheelchair. (continued on next page)

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION	(X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: 155329	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. Building B. Wing	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED 03/13/2025
NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER Rosewalk Village		STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE 1302 N Lesley Ave Indianapolis, IN 46219	

For information on the nursing home's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the nursing home or the state survey agency.

(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (Each deficiency must be preceded by full regulatory or LSC identifying information)
F 0677 Level of Harm - Minimal harm or potential for actual harm Residents Affected - Few	The Director of Nursing (DON) provided shower sheets, on 3/13/25 at 10:48 a.m., which covered the period from 2/4/25 to 3/11/25. According to the shower sheet for 3/11/25, the CNA documented she had given the resident a complete bed bath and shampooed the resident's hair. Prior to the 3/11/25 documentation, the last time staff documented washing the resident's hair was on 2/25/25. During an interview with the Occupational Therapist (OT) on 3/13/25 at 9:11 a.m., she indicated she did a new evaluation with the resident, on 3/12/25, after the Speech Therapist (ST) referred the resident to her for evaluation for a wheelchair. The ST wanted the resident to be up in a wheelchair in the dining room for meals. She thought she had an 18-inch wheelchair but needed a larger one. The resident's wheelchair was not available when she did her evaluation, and she could not speak to what nursing had been doing to get the patient out of bed. The resident had a decline in bed mobility since she was last seen a year ago, had right arm hemiparesis (weakness), increased left arm weakness, and needed a different wheelchair. She communicated well with the resident using gestures and the resident understood more English than she speaks. She indicated the resident did seem receptive to using the wheelchair and she will be working on getting a larger wheelchair for the resident. During an interview with the ST on 3/13/25 at 9:24 a.m., she indicated she evaluated Resident G, on 3/4/25, to assess swallowing due to the resident's emergency oral surgery. She wanted to make sure the resident was on an appropriate diet. She indicated Resident G did not want to try a puree diet and wanted to stay on a regular diet. She wants her up in a wheelchair in the dining room for meals, just to get her out of her room. During an interview with the Therapy Manager on 3/13/25 at 9:30 a.m., she indicated when Resident G first admitted , she was using an 18-inch wheelchair, but therapy then put her in a 20-inch wheelchair, and that should be utilized by nursing staff currently. During an interview with the DON on 3/13/25 at 10:48 a.m., she indicated the ADNS had found the resident's wheelchair and put it in the room that morning. The wheelchair may not have been in the resident's room this week if it had been taken to be cleaned. She indicated the night shift CNAs have assigned room wheelchairs to wash on different days of the week. They take the wheelchair to the shower room, wash it, then line them up in the gym to dry overnight. They bring them back in the morning once they are dry, but if they forget, the day shift will come get them. Otherwise, the wheelchair stays in the resident's room when not being cleaned. She indicated this morning, the resident asked LPN 10 if she could get up to her wheelchair. However, when the DON came in later to assist, the resident told her she did not want to get up. Resident G was observed shaking her head no while the DON made this statement. A care plan for ADLs, dated 4/12/24, indicated Resident G required assistance with ADLs related to impaired mobility and the history of a cerebrovascular accident (stroke). Her ability to participate in ADL care fluctuated from the morning to evening, and day to day. The goal was for Resident G to have their needs met daily with staff assistance as evidenced by being neat, clean, well-groomed, and dressed appropriately. Resident G was a two-staff person assisting with the use of a Hoyer (mechanical) lift and assistance with bathing as needed per the resident's preference. The approach was to offer showers twice a week with a partial bed bath in between. A care plan approach, added 3/12/25, indicated Resident G preferred to stay in bed most of the time. (continued on next page)

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION	(X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: 155329	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. Building B. Wing	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED 03/13/2025
NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER Rosewalk Village		STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE 1302 N Lesley Ave Indianapolis, IN 46219	

For information on the nursing home's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the nursing home or the state survey agency.

(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (Each deficiency must be preceded by full regulatory or LSC identifying information)
F 0677 Level of Harm - Minimal harm or potential for actual harm Residents Affected - Few	A care plan, dated 5/7/24, indicated the resident had impaired mobility related to right side body weakness. The care plan approaches included, Encourage resident to participate in transfer and bed mobility activities . Notify therapy of declines in mobility or improvement in mobility. A physician order, initiated on 5/24/24, indicated the resident was to have a Hoyer (a mechanical device that helps move people with limited mobility) and two people assist with transfers to bed and wheelchair. Another order, initiated on 10/16/24, indicated that a foam cushion should be on the seat of the resident's wheelchair. A care plan, dated 6/10/24, indicated Resident G Refuses ADL care at times. The care plan approach was to Educate resident on the possible negative effects from refusing ADL care at times. During an interview with the DON on 3/13/25 at 1:05 p.m., she indicated they do not have a policy on ADLs, and that they just follow standards of care. This citation relates to Complaint IN00453820. 3.1-38(a)(2)(A) 3.1-38(a)(2)(B) 3.1-38(a)(3)(B)

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION	(X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: 155329	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. Building B. Wing	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED 03/13/2025
NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER: Rosewalk Village		STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE: 1302 N Lesley Ave Indianapolis, IN 46219	

For information on the nursing home's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the nursing home or the state survey agency.

(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (Each deficiency must be preceded by full regulatory or LSC identifying information)
F 0688 Level of Harm - Minimal harm or potential for actual harm Residents Affected - Few	Provide appropriate care for a resident to maintain and/or improve range of motion (ROM), limited ROM and/or mobility, unless a decline is for a medical reason. 36942 Based on observation, interview, and record review, the facility failed to ensure a resident with contractures (abnormal shortening or tightening of muscle tissue the renders the muscle highly resistant to stretching and can lead to permanent disability) received splint application as recommended by therapy staff for 1 of 2 residents reviewed for rehabilitation services. (Resident B) Findings include: The clinical record for Resident B was reviewed on 3/11/25 at 10:25 a.m. The diagnoses included, but were not limited to, hemiplegia (one-sided paralysis) and hemiparesis (one-sided muscle weakness) following cerebral infarction (stroke) affecting left non-dominant side, contracture of left wrist, contracture of left knee, contracture of left hand (fingers), contracture of left elbow, and muscle wasting and atrophy. An Admission Minimum Data Set (MDS) assessment, dated 1/10/25, indicated Resident B was cognitively intact, had impairment on one side of the upper and lower extremity, substantial assistance with upper body dressing, and dependent for lower body dressing. An activities of daily living (ADL) care plan, initiated on 1/4/25 and revised on 1/16/25, indicated Resident B required assistance with ADLs related to weakness and impaired mobility due to contractures to the left wrist, left knee, left fingers, and left elbow. The approach included physical and occupational therapy as ordered/indicated but no utilization of a splint. A physical therapy note, dated 1/24/25, indicated restorative nursing program set up and training for bilateral lower extremity and range of motion exercises. Restorative nursing aide demonstrated good understanding of the program. An occupational therapy note, dated 2/2/25, indicated the resident will safely wear a grip hand splint and an elbow extension splint on the left elbow for up to four hours with minimal signs of redness, swelling, discomfort or pain to prevent progression of contractures. An interview conducted with Therapy Manager, on 3/10/25 at 10:45 a.m., indicated Resident B had contractures and was receiving therapy services. Resident B fired all the therapy staff but was agreeable to receive the application of a splint, and such was done by nursing staff. The therapy staff conducted an in-service with all the nursing staff to ensure proper application of the splints. The clinical record for Resident B, reviewed on 3/11/25 at 10:27 a.m., did not include any physician orders for the application of splints. The clinical record for Resident B did not include any care plan related to the application of splints. An observation and interview conducted with Resident B, on 3/10/25 at 11:45 a.m., indicated no splint was observed to the left hand. Resident B indicated he should have a splint for his left hand, but the staff never apply the splint. (continued on next page)

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION	(X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: 155329	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. Building B. Wing	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED 03/13/2025

NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER	STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE
Rosewalk Village	1302 N Lesley Ave Indianapolis, IN 46219

For information on the nursing home's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the nursing home or the state survey agency.

(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (Each deficiency must be preceded by full regulatory or LSC identifying information)
F 0688 Level of Harm - Minimal harm or potential for actual harm Residents Affected - Few	An observation and interview conducted with Resident B, on 3/11/25 at 3:39 p.m., indicated no splint was observed to the left hand. A policy entitled Restorative Nursing Program, revised 11/2018, was provided by the Director of Nursing on 3/11/25 at 2:08 p.m. The policy indicated the restorative nursing programs include active or passive range of motion and splint or brace assistance. The process of the program was coordinated, supervised and carried out by nursing staff. A resident-centered care plan will be developed by the nurse and include measurable objectives, specific interventions to maintain or improve function, or to prevent, to the extent possible, further declines in resident function. This citation relates to Complaint IN00452635. 3.1-42(a)(2)

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION	(X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: 155329	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. Building B. Wing	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED 03/13/2025
NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER Rosewalk Village		STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE 1302 N Lesley Ave Indianapolis, IN 46219	

For information on the nursing home's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the nursing home or the state survey agency.

(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (Each deficiency must be preceded by full regulatory or LSC identifying information)
F 0732 Level of Harm - Potential for minimal harm Residents Affected - Many	Post nurse staffing information every day. 51750 Based on observation and interview, the facility failed to ensure postings of current daily working staff. This had the potential to affect 98 of 98 residents that reside in the facility. Findings include: Random observations were made of the facility on 3/09/25 at 10:35 a.m., 10:44 a.m., and 11:27 a.m. The posting of current daily working staff in the facility was dated 3/07/25. An interview was conducted, on 3/13/25 at 9:42 a.m., with the Nurse Schedule Coordinator (NSC). She indicated she completes the staffing sheets that are posted in the facility daily and leaves them for the night shift nurse to post them first thing the following morning. She indicated the night shift nurse forgot to remove the daily working staffing sheet, dated 3/07/25, and post the current staffing sheet. During an interview with the Executive Director (ED) on 3/13/25 at 10:10 a.m., he indicated the NSC usually posted the current daily working staff sheet. If it is a Saturday, nursing staff may forget to post the sheet. The NSC does come in on the weekends at times to help with supplies, so she will also post the daily work schedule if it had not been done. On 3/13/25 at 2:00 p.m., the Director of Nursing (DON) provided the Posted Nurse Staffing Data and Retention Requirements policy. It indicated, .Policy: It is the policy of [name of facility corporation] to make staffing information readily available in a readable format and publicly posted to residents and visitors at any given time .Procedure: 1. The facility must post the following information at the beginning of each shift. a. The facility name b. The current date c. Resident census d. The total number and actual hours worked by the following categories of licensed and unlicensed nursing staff directly responsible for resident care per shift: i. Registered nurses ii. Licensed practical nurses iii. Certified nurse aides .

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION	(X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: 155329	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. Building B. Wing	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED 03/13/2025

NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER	STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE
Rosewalk Village	1302 N Lesley Ave Indianapolis, IN 46219

For information on the nursing home's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the nursing home or the state survey agency.

(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (Each deficiency must be preceded by full regulatory or LSC identifying information)

F 0812

Level of Harm - Minimal harm or potential for actual harm

Residents Affected - Many

Procure food from sources approved or considered satisfactory and store, prepare, distribute and serve food in accordance with professional standards.

NOTE- TERMS IN BRACKETS HAVE BEEN EDITED TO PROTECT CONFIDENTIALITY 51984

Based on observation, interview, and record review, the facility failed to ensure expired food was disposed of timely with the potential to affect 98 of 98 residents that receive food from the kitchen. (Facility)

Findings include:

The facility kitchen was observed with Culinary Aide 2 (CA) on [DATE] at 9:45 a.m. The inspection of the dry storage was conducted with CA 2. During an interview with CA 2, he indicated the top dates on the boxes were delivery dates and bottom dates were expiration dates. The following food items were observed outdated in the dry storage:

One bag of graham cracker crumbs - expired [DATE],

Eleven bags of sugar free Jell-O - expired [DATE],

Fourteen bags of pork flavored gravy mix- expired [DATE],

Six bags of cream soup base- expired [DATE],

Brownie mix- opened [DATE] and expired [DATE],

Cake mix- expired [DATE],

Streusel topping- expired [DATE],

Chocolate chips- expired [DATE],

Two boxes of assorted Jell-O- expired [DATE],

Twelve bags of vanilla pudding- expired [DATE],

Cake mix- expired [DATE],

Four bags of gravy mix- expired [DATE],

Nine boxes of corn starch- expired [DATE],

A container of peanut butter - expired [DATE],

Rainbow sprinkles - expired [DATE],

Large storage bin of oatmeal- expired [DATE], and

(continued on next page)

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION	(X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: 155329	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. Building B. Wing	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED 03/13/2025
NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER Rosewalk Village		STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE 1302 N Lesley Ave Indianapolis, IN 46219	

For information on the nursing home's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the nursing home or the state survey agency.

(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (Each deficiency must be preceded by full regulatory or LSC identifying information)
F 0812 Level of Harm - Minimal harm or potential for actual harm Residents Affected - Many	Large storage bin of thickener- expired [DATE]. During the inspection of the walk-in refrigerator, the Dietary Manager (DM) indicated the items that were expired should have been removed and disposed of properly. In the walk-in refrigerator, there were pies that were cut and ready for service. However, they were not covered while being stored in the walk-in refrigerator. The DM indicated the pies should have been covered while in the walk-in refrigerator. The following items were observed outdated in the walk-in refrigerator: Eight pre-made peanut butter and jelly sandwiches- expired [DATE], Box of green peppers- expired [DATE], Two bags of shredded lettuce- expired [DATE], Box of lettuce- expired [DATE], Shredded cheese- expired [DATE], and Six English cucumbers- expired [DATE]. During an interview on [DATE] at 10:05 a.m., the DM indicated all expired food items had been removed. The DM was planning on conducting an in-service with staff, on [DATE], to ensure the policies were understood and followed. On [DATE] at 1:35 p.m., the food storage policy, revised ,d+[DATE], was provided by the Executive Director. The policy indicated food should be covered and/or stored in covered containers. The food must be clearly labeled and dated with the date of preparation and the date the food should be discarded or consumed. Opened food should have the date marked and not exceed the manufacturer's use-by-date. During an interview on [DATE] at 11:16 a.m., the Director of Nursing indicated 98 residents receive a tray from the kitchen at each meal service. 3XXX,d+[DATE](i)(2) 3XXX,d+[DATE](i)(3)

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION	(X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: 155329	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. Building B. Wing	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED 03/13/2025
NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER Rosewalk Village		STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE 1302 N Lesley Ave Indianapolis, IN 46219	

For information on the nursing home's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the nursing home or the state survey agency.

(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (Each deficiency must be preceded by full regulatory or LSC identifying information)

F 0847

Level of Harm - Minimal harm or potential for actual harm

Residents Affected - Few

Inform resident or representatives choice to enter into binding arbitration agreement and right to refuse.

NOTE- TERMS IN BRACKETS HAVE BEEN EDITED TO PROTECT CONFIDENTIALITY 36942

Based on interview and record review, the facility failed to ensure a binding arbitration agreement was explained to the resident representative and signed by the resident representative for 2 of 3 residents reviewed for arbitration agreements. (Resident 42 and Resident 88)

Findings include:

1. The clinical record for Resident 42 was reviewed on 3/13/25 at 11:09 a.m. The diagnoses included, but were not limited to, dementia, age-related physical debility, hypertension, cognitive communication deficit, muscle weakness, and difficulty in walking. Resident 42 was admitted to the facility on [DATE].

An Order Appointing Temporary Guardian document, file date 10/23/24, indicated a temporary guardian was ordered for Resident 42. The powers of the guardian included, but were not limited to, to consent in writing to the medical or surgical treatment of Resident 42 and to enter into contracts for the admission of Resident 42 to any health care facility reasonably deemed necessary for the safety and well-being of Resident 42. The temporary guardian preceded for a period of 90 days.

An Admission Minimum Data Set (MDS) assessment, dated 11/4/24, indicated Resident 42 was severely cognitively impaired.

An Arbitration Agreement, dated 10/31/24, indicated Resident 42 signed the arbitration agreement and initialed that she understood the nature of the agreement, understood she wasn't required to enter into the agreement, understood the right to terminate or withdraw from the agreement within 30 days of signing, and acknowledged she entered into the agreement freely and voluntarily. The document was also signed by Admission Staff 3. Admission Staff 3 signed the bottom of the Arbitration Agreement that indicated the following, .Acknowledgement: By signing below, I hereby attest that the Resident, who is in an alert and oriented state of mind, designated or authorized the above-named legal representative, in my presence, to sign this agreement on behalf of Resident

An Order Appointing Guardian document, file date of 1/9/25, indicated Resident 42 was deemed incapable of managing their person and property because of a diagnosis of dementia and stroke. Resident 42 was rendered unable to make health care decisions on her own and found to be an incapacitated person.

An interview conducted with Admission Staff 3, on 3/13/25 at 10:20 a.m., indicated Resident 42 admitted to the facility with no power of attorney, no family, or any contact person. Resident 42 ended up being a candidate for a guardian and was appointed one, effective January of 2025. Admission Staff 3 indicated she had to explain the agreement to Resident 42 about three times because it was difficult for Resident 42 to understand.

(continued on next page)

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION	(X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: 155329	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. Building B. Wing	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED 03/13/2025
NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER: Rosewalk Village		STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE: 1302 N Lesley Ave Indianapolis, IN 46219	

For information on the nursing home's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the nursing home or the state survey agency.

(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (Each deficiency must be preceded by full regulatory or LSC identifying information)
F 0847 Level of Harm - Minimal harm or potential for actual harm Residents Affected - Few	2. The clinical record for Resident 88 was reviewed on 3/13/25 at 10:58 a.m. The diagnoses included, but were not limited to, dementia, chronic pain, hypertension, age-related cognitive decline, and muscle weakness. Resident 88 was admitted to the facility on [DATE]. Resident 88's daughter was listed as her power of attorney (POA) for financial and health care purposes. An Admission MDS assessment, dated 9/12/24, indicated Resident 88 was cognitively impaired. An Arbitration Agreement, dated 9/9/24, indicated Resident 88 signed the arbitration agreement and initialed that she understood the nature of the agreement, understood she wasn't required to enter into the agreement, understood the right to terminate or withdraw from the agreement within 30 days of signing, and acknowledged she entered into the agreement freely and voluntarily. The document was also signed by Admission Staff 3. Admission Staff 3 signed the bottom of the Arbitration Agreement that indicated the following, .Acknowledgement: By signing below, I hereby attest that the Resident, who is in an alert and oriented state of mind, designated or authorized the above-named legal representative, in my presence, to sign this agreement on behalf of Resident An interview conducted with Admission Staff 3, on 3/13/25 at 10:20 a.m., indicated Resident 88's POA was her daughter. The POA had some personal items that came up and the daughter was unable to come into the facility and sign the paperwork that consisted of the binding arbitration agreement. So, Admission Staff 3 contacted Resident 88's POA over the phone and the POA verbally stated it was okay for Resident 88 to sign the binding arbitration agreement. Admission Staff 3 indicated there was an option to sign the binding arbitration agreement electronically.

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION	(X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: 155329	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. Building B. Wing	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED 03/13/2025
NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER Rosewalk Village		STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE 1302 N Lesley Ave Indianapolis, IN 46219	

For information on the nursing home's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the nursing home or the state survey agency.

(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (Each deficiency must be preceded by full regulatory or LSC identifying information)
F 0880 Level of Harm - Minimal harm or potential for actual harm Residents Affected - Some	Provide and implement an infection prevention and control program. 40287 Based on observation, interview, and record review, the facility failed to ensure infection control was maintained by not ensuring hand hygiene was performed prior to donning gloves, failed to utilize hand hygiene during a medication administration, popping pill medication in bare hands for 3 of 6 residents randomly observed for medication administration, and not donning personal protective equipment (PPE) during bathing and dressing for 1 of 1 resident reviewed for dialysis. (Resident 2, Resident 12, Resident 20, and Resident 24) Findings include: 1. The clinical record for Resident 20 was reviewed on 3/9/25 at 11:31 a.m. The diagnoses included, but were not limited to, diabetes. On 3/9/25 at 11:31 a.m., Licensed Practical Nurse (LPN) 25 was randomly observed performing a blood glucose check for Resident 20. LPN 25 gathered the supplies to conduct the blood glucose check from the medication cart, including a pair of disposable gloves. LPN 25 entered Resident 20's room and informed Resident 20 about the need to obtain a blood glucose check. LPN 25 donned the disposable gloves, cleansed Resident 20's finger with an alcohol swab, and performed the blood glucose check. LPN 25 did not perform hand hygiene prior to donning the disposable gloves. 2. The clinical record for Resident 12 was reviewed on 3/12/25 at 9:00 a.m. The diagnoses included, but were not limited to, hypertension. On 3/12/25 at 8:53 a.m., LPN 26 was randomly observed administering a nasal spray to Resident 12. LPN 26 gathered Resident 12's medications and nasal spray from the medication cart, along with a pair of disposable gloves. LPN 26 entered Resident 12's room and informed Resident 12 she had her medications and nasal spray. LPN 26 donned a pair of disposable gloves and administered Resident 12's nasal spray. LPN 26 then picked up the plastic cup of oral medications with her gloved hands and handed the cup to Resident 12. LPN 26 did not perform hand hygiene prior to donning the disposable gloves. During an interview on 3/12/25 at 9:05 a.m., LPN 26 indicated she normally performed hand hygiene prior to donning disposable gloves. 34850 3. The clinical record for Resident 24 was reviewed on 3/9/25 at 12:00 p.m. The diagnoses included, but were not limited to, chronic pain. A physician order, dated 11/15/24, indicated Resident 24 was to receive 1000 milligrams (mg) of Tylenol three times a day for moderate pain as needed (PRN). (continued on next page)

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION	(X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: 155329	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. Building B. Wing	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED 03/13/2025
NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER Rosewalk Village		STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE 1302 N Lesley Ave Indianapolis, IN 46219	

For information on the nursing home's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the nursing home or the state survey agency.

(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (Each deficiency must be preceded by full regulatory or LSC identifying information)
F 0880 Level of Harm - Minimal harm or potential for actual harm Residents Affected - Some	An observation was conducted of a medication administration for Resident 24 with Unit Manager (UM) 8 on 3/9/25 at 11:09 a.m. UM 8 was observed at the medication cart preparing to administer 1000 mg of Tylenol to Resident 24. UM 8 opened the medication cart and pulled a medication card out and popped two tablets of Tylenol in his bare hands. He then placed the two tablets in a medication cup and administered the medication to the resident. UM 8 had not utilized hand hygiene prior to preparing the medication administration. An interview was conducted with UM 8 on 3/9/25 at 11:15 a.m. He indicated he does not normally touch the medication with his bare hands. 4. The clinical record for Resident 2 was reviewed on 3/10/25 at 9:00 a.m. The diagnoses included, but were not limited to, renal disease. A Quarterly Minimum Data Set (MDS) assessment, dated 1/16/25, indicated Resident 2 was cognitively intact. A care plan, revision date of 1/23/25, indicated the resident required enhanced barrier precautions. The interventions included but were not limited to, the staff was to wear gown and gloves prior for high contact care activities. An observation was conducted of Resident 2 in her room on 3/10/25 at 9:15 a.m. The resident was observed in her bed wearing a gown. An enhanced barrier precaution sign was observed on her wall. The resident indicated, at that time, she had a port in her right leg for dialysis and a gastrostomy tube (surgically inserted tube in stomach). She will be going to dialysis soon. During that time, Certified Nurse Aide (CNA) 5 and CNA 7 had entered the resident's room and indicated they were going to get her ready for dialysis. The CNAs were observed going into the bathroom filling a basin of water and donning gloves. The CNAs were not observed donning on PPE prior to bathing and dressing the resident. An interview was conducted with Resident 2 on 3/11/25 at 12:19 p.m. She indicated the staff will don gloves, but do not don a gown while bathing and dressing her. A Standard and Transmission-Based Precautions (Isolation) Policy was provided by Director of Nursing on 3/11/25 at 2:08 p.m. It indicated, .hand hygiene . Perform hand hygiene: Before having direct contact with a resident .Enhanced Barrier Precautions (EBP): An intervention designed to reduce the transmission of resident organisms that employs targeted use of gown and glove use during high contact resident care activities. Enhanced Barrier Precautions expands the use of PPE beyond situations in which exposure to blood and body fluids is anticipated, it refers to the use of gown and gloves during high-contact resident are activities that provide opportunities for transfer of MDROs [multidrug resistant organism] to staff hands and clothing. Enhanced barrier precautions are used for: Resident(s) with chronic wounds and/or indwelling medical devices, regardless of their MDRO status . A hand hygiene policy was provided by the Director of Nursing on 3/11/25 at 2:08 p.m. It indicated, . Procedure: Healthcare personnel should use an alcohol-based hand rub or wash the soap and water for the following clinical indications .Immediately after glove or PPE removal .B. Indication for hand-rubbing but not limited to .Before and after removing glove . 3.1-18(b)(2) (continued on next page)

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION	(X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER:	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED
	155329	A. Building B. Wing	03/13/2025

NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER	STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE
Rosewalk Village	1302 N Lesley Ave Indianapolis, IN 46219

For information on the nursing home's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the nursing home or the state survey agency.

(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (Each deficiency must be preceded by full regulatory or LSC identifying information)
F 0880 Level of Harm - Minimal harm or potential for actual harm Residents Affected - Some	3.1-18(l)