

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION	(X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER:  245028	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. Building B. Wing	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED  02/13/2026
NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER  Highland Chateau Health and Rehabilitation Center		STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE  2319 West Seventh Street Saint Paul, MN 55116	
For information on the nursing home's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the nursing home or the state survey agency.			
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (Each deficiency must be preceded by full regulatory or LSC identifying information)		
<p>F 0689</p> <p>Level of Harm - Immediate jeopardy to resident health or safety</p> <p>Residents Affected - Few</p>	<p>Ensure that a nursing home area is free from accident hazards and provides adequate supervision to prevent accidents.</p> <p><b>**NOTE- TERMS IN BRACKETS HAVE BEEN EDITED TO PROTECT CONFIDENTIALITY**</b> The facility failed to ensure appropriate interventions to prevent elopement for 1 of 3 residents (R1) who was assessed to be an elopement risk. This resulted in an Immediate Jeopardy (IJ) when R1 was able to leave the facility, get on a city bus where she was found three hours and 15 minutes later at the Mall of America (5.8 miles away). The immediate jeopardy began on 2/10/26 at approximately 2:30 p.m. when R1 was able to leave the facility after demonstrating exit seeking behaviors without appropriate individualized interventions to prevent elopement. The immediate jeopardy was identified on 2/13/26, and the administrator and director of nursing were notified of the immediate jeopardy at 2/13/26 at 4:10 p.m. The immediate jeopardy was removed on 2/10/26, and the deficient practice was corrected prior to the start of the survey and was therefore issued at past noncompliance. Findings include: R1's face sheet dated 2/12/26, identified she was admitted to the facility on [DATE] with diagnoses of repeated falls, dizziness and giddiness, unspecified mental disorder and dementia with other behavioral disturbance. R1's Medicare 5-day Minimum Data Set (MDS) assessment dated [DATE] indicated R1 was cognitively intact, would reject care 1-3 days of the week and required partial or moderate assistance for activities of daily living. R1 used a wheelchair. R1's elopement risk assessment dated [DATE] indicated R1 was an elopement risk (score of 3) because she had verbally expressed the desire to go home, had wandering behavior, was recently admitted to the facility and was not accepting the situation. Clinical suggestions included notifying staff of wandering and elopement risk, utilizing exit alarms, and monitoring location frequently. R1's care plan dated 2/3/26 had a focus section for wandering/elopement. Goal of R1 would not leave the facility unattended and would remain safe. Interventions as follows; -2/3/26 identify wandering/elopement de-escalation behaviors -2/3/26 provide reorientation to surroundings, environment R1's nursing order dated 2/4/26, directed to check R1's wander guard on her wrist daily and check wander guard function weekly. R1's progress note dated 2/3/26 included R1 indicated she wanted to go back to where she was before, could not elaborate where that place was. Elopement assessment completed. Wander Guard placed. R1's progress note dated 2/6/26 included returned from hospital alert with confusion. R1's progress note dated 2/7/26 included R1 was wandering, attempting to elope and hitting staff. R1 was sitting on floor, said she was looking for husband. R1 is alert and oriented to self with confusion at baseline. R1's progress note dated 2/8/26 included R1 was one on one previous shift, attempted to leave facility. R1 became aggressive toward staff when redirected, refused to go to bed. R1's progress note dated 2/10/26 at 10:00 a.m., included R1 came out to the hallway undressed and started kicking and cursing at staff. At 2:08 p.m. staff could not locate R1. R1 was waiting in the common area for her transportation that did not show up. Management was notified and staff started a search. R1 was found safe at the Mall of America and transferred to the new facility. R1's incident report dated 2/10/26, included the following description of the incident; The DON was informed that resident was not in the facility. Facility search</p> <p>(continued on next page)</p>		

Any deficiency statement ending with an asterisk (\*) denotes a deficiency which the institution may be excused from correcting providing it is determined that other safeguards provide sufficient protection to the patients. (See instructions.) Except for nursing homes, the findings stated above are disclosable 90 days following the date of survey whether or not a plan of correction is provided. For nursing homes, the above findings and plans of correction are disclosable 14 days following the date these documents are made available to the facility. If deficiencies are cited, an approved plan of correction is requisite to continued program participation.

LABORATORY DIRECTOR'S OR PROVIDER/SUPPLIER REPRESENTATIVE'S SIGNATURE	TITLE	(X6) DATE
FORM CMS-2567 (02/99) Previous Versions Obsolete	Event ID:  245028	Facility ID:  245028  If continuation sheet Page 1 of 4

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION	(X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER:  245028	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. Building B. Wing	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED  02/13/2026
NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER  Highland Chateau Health and Rehabilitation Center		STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE  2319 West Seventh Street Saint Paul, MN 55116	
For information on the nursing home's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the nursing home or the state survey agency.			
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (Each deficiency must be preceded by full regulatory or LSC identifying information)		
<p>F 0689</p> <p>Level of Harm - Immediate jeopardy to resident health or safety</p> <p>Residents Affected - Few</p>	<p>was initiated in and outside of the facility. The DON called the transportation company; the representative reported the driver went to the wrong address. 911 and metro police were called. Camera footage was reviewed. Elopement education was initiated. R1's predisposing physiological factors were impaired memory. R1's predisposing situation factors was being an active exit seeker. R1's predisposing situation factors was being a wanderer. R1's progress note dated 2/10/26 at 8:42 p.m., indicated R1 was admitted to the receiving facility and had chronic disorientation and some confusion. R1 had chronic short term memory loss. During a facility tour on 2/12/26 at 3:00 p.m., the nursing home is located off of 7th Street [NAME] in Saint [NAME]. There are several bus stations within walking distance. On 2/10/26 at 3:00 p.m., the temperature in Saint [NAME] was 35 degrees Fahrenheit per Timeanddate.com During an interview on 2/13/26 at 9:45 a.m., nursing assistant (NA)-A stated she was assigned to R1 during the morning of 2/10/26. R1 had previous exit seeking behaviors and on 2/10/26 R1 had behaviors with not wanting to get dressed. NA-A said it was difficult to keep track of residents during shift change and could not explain how R1 eloped from the building while assigned to NA-A. R1 would not be safe by herself in the community. R1 could have had an accident going down the hill in her wheelchair. NA-A had received re-education following the elopement pertaining to monitoring residents with wander guards or exit seeking behavior. During an interview on 2/13/26 at 12:19 p.m., NA-B stated he was working with R1 during the 2/10/26 day shift and she kept trying to get out of her wheelchair. R1 kept approaching the exit and setting the wander guard off. NA-B had been assigned to watch R1 in the common area from around 12:10 p.m. to 1:30 p.m. At 1:30 p.m., it was RN-A and NA-A's turn to watch R1 while NA-B took a break. NA-B returned from break at around 2:00 p.m. and R1 was missing. NA-B joined the search; other staff were trying to determine why the wander guard alarm did not go off. Re-education was given to check wander guards, be aware of who was coming in and out of the building. NA-B thought the issue was the business of shift change, staff may have forgotten to watch her while giving her a hand off report. During an interview on 2/13/26 at 10:15 a.m., RN-A stated on 2/10/26 at 12:30 p.m. she had been communicating with management about R1's scheduled transportation that did not show up to transfer her to a sister facility as previously arranged. R1 was being watched by staff in the common area right before the elopement. That day R1's demeanor was that she was ready to go to the new facility, she had packed her things and was waiting. Around 2:00 p.m. at shift change, staff left to find replacement to supervisor her, but when they returned R1 was unable to be located. Management and police were notified. Staff were unsure if R1's wander guard alarmed or not, if someone helped her out the door or how she was able to exit the building. R1 was still wearing her wander guard when she was found. R1 would not be safe by herself in the community, she was sometimes only alert to herself. RN-A was not aware of R1's previous exit seeking behavior. RN-A had received re-education following the elopement pertaining to monitoring residents who are elopement risks and how to complete the elopement risk assessment form. During an interview on 2/13/26 at 10:45 a.m., licensed practical nurse (LPN)-A, stated that she arrived at her shift on 2/10/26 at around 2:30 p.m. RN-A gave report- explained R1 went missing. RN-A and LPN-A helped with the search for R1. LPN-A explained R1 was very confused at times and was at high risk of falling. R1 was not easy to re-direct and would refuse cares. R1 was often kept at the nursing station for increased supervision. R1 would not be safe by herself in the community. LPN-A received re-education following the elopement pertaining to monitoring residents who are elopement risks and how to complete the elopement risk assessment form. During an interview on 2/13/26 at 12:05 p.m., director of nursing (DON) stated R1 demonstrated confusion in the days prior to the elopement. On 2/3/26, the DON was talking to R1 when she made elopement related comments. The DON then put the wander guard order in and updated</p> <p>(continued on next page)</p>		

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION	(X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER:  245028	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. Building B. Wing	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED  02/13/2026
NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER  Highland Chateau Health and Rehabilitation Center		STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE  2319 West Seventh Street Saint Paul, MN 55116	
For information on the nursing home's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the nursing home or the state survey agency.			
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (Each deficiency must be preceded by full regulatory or LSC identifying information)		
<p>F 0689</p> <p>Level of Harm - Immediate jeopardy to resident health or safety</p> <p>Residents Affected - Few</p>	<p>R1's care plan. R1 had been increasingly confused, staff were trying to determine if her dementia was worsening or if she had a urinary infection. on 2/10/26 she helped with the search and confirmed staff did not know how R1 got out of the building. The DON had been in contact with the transport company; the transport company had arrived at the wrong address. At around 5:30 p.m., R1 was located at the mall and at around 6:30 p.m., R1 arrived at the new nursing home. The DON was working on providing education, which consisted of making sure staff are checking each wander guard alarm, completing midnight census to make sure all residents are accounted for and completing the elopement risk assessment accurately. During an interview on 2/13/26 at 11:34 a.m., the administrator in training stated she helped direct staff on where to start searching for R1. The administrator looked at the facility's cameras, but the inside of the exit door was not within view of the cameras. R1 could be viewed from outside of the facility, she could be seen talking to other residents who were smoking. R1 then saw some turkeys and began to follow them down the hill. The administrator was unable to determine if another person helped R1 get out of the facility or if she was able to herself. The administrator verified the police officer involved did not create a police report for the incident. During an interview on 2/13/26 at 11:51 a.m., the administrator stated she was notified of the elopement almost immediately. The administrator directed staff to check the cameras, make a report to the state agency, update the provider and family members and start any immediate re-education for staff. The administrator contacted the regional team who started calling the local hospitals and police. One staff member looked at bus routes and noticed most of the bus lines ended at the Mall of America. The Mall of America security team was contacted, and they were able to locate R1 within about 20 minutes. The administrator identified several areas of improvement including supervision while waiting for transport and checking the wander guard alarms. Leadership was looking at getting another camera so the inside of the exit door can be viewed on security footage. Staff were unable to determine how R1 got through the back exit door. During an interview on 2/13/26 at 1:07 p.m., representative (REP)-A from the wander guard system company stated staff should be checking the wander guard bracelets weekly and the doors weekly. REP-A was unable to verify if the specific model on the exit door had alarm logs or not. On 2/13/26 at 8:33 a.m., an alarm log for the wander guard system was requested but not provided. During an interview on 2/13/26 at 1:50 p.m., maintenance staff (MAIN)-A stated he tests the wander guards monthly, floor staff would be responsible for the weekly checks of the door and bracelets. Staff would alert maintenance if the wander guard system was not working. There had been no issues with the wander guard system prior to the elopement. After the elopement, the wander guard system was tested, and no issues were found. MAIN-A was able to demonstrate that the exit door wander guard system alarmed and locked properly when a wander guard bracelet approached the door. MAIN-A was unsure if there was an alarm log for the wander guard system. The facility policy Resident Elopement last reviewed 6/7/22 directs that if an employee observes a resident leaving the premises, they should attempt to prevent the departure. The facility policy Wander Management System last reviewed 1/29/25 directs that a wander management alarm system may be used on a resident who is deemed unsafe through the nursing assessment and documented on the resident's care plan that the resident is at risk for elopement. The past noncompliance immediate jeopardy began on 2/10/26. The immediate jeopardy was removed, and the deficient practice was corrected on 2/10/26, after verification the facility implemented a systemic plan that included the following actions: -Facility began immediate investigation. -Once R1 was located, R1 was transferred to a sister nursing facility with the capacity to keep her in a secured memory unit. -All staff mandatory education was completed. Education included: elopement, missing residents, facility policies and procedures and the specific elopement event was</p> <p>(continued on next page)</p>		

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION	(X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER:  245028	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. Building B. Wing	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED  02/13/2026
NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER  Highland Chateau Health and Rehabilitation Center		STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE  2319 West Seventh Street Saint Paul, MN 55116	
For information on the nursing home's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the nursing home or the state survey agency.			
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (Each deficiency must be preceded by full regulatory or LSC identifying information)		
<p>F 0689</p> <p>Level of Harm - Immediate jeopardy to resident health or safety</p> <p>Residents Affected - Few</p>	<p>discussed. -Nursing staff were re-educated on completing the elopement risk assessment accurately and completely. -Wander guard system was checked and in working order for all residents actively an elopement risk. -Other at-risk resident charts and care plans were reviewed and interventions added as needed.</p>		