

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION	(X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: 245341	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. Building B. Wing	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED 01/29/2025
NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER Cura of Sauk Centre		STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE 425 N Elm Street Sauk Centre, MN 56378	

For information on the nursing home's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the nursing home or the state survey agency.

(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (Each deficiency must be preceded by full regulatory or LSC identifying information)
<p>F 0776</p> <p>Level of Harm - Minimal harm or potential for actual harm</p> <p>Residents Affected - Some</p>	<p>Provide timely, approved x-ray services, or have an agreement with an approved provider to obtain them.</p> <p>**NOTE- TERMS IN BRACKETS HAVE BEEN EDITED TO PROTECT CONFIDENTIALITY** 47083</p> <p>Based on interview and document review, the facility failed to provide timely results of x-rays services for 3 of 3 residents (R1, R2, R3) reviewed for timely diagnostic services.</p> <p>Findings include:</p> <p>R1's significant change Minimum Data Set (MDS) dated [DATE], indicated R1 had diagnosis of Alzheimer's disease. R1's MDS indicated R1 had severe cognitive impairment with memory loss and a history of falls.</p> <p>R1's care plan dated 12/10/24, indicated R1 had a history of falls.</p> <p>On 1/7/25 at 4:46 a.m., a progress note indicated R1 had an unwitnessed fall with a 1.5 centimeter (cm) x 1.5 cm laceration noted to the left side of her head.</p> <p>On 1/8/25 at 9:41 a.m., a progress note indicated R1 had swelling to her left forearm. R1 was observed to use her left hand less than usual.</p> <p>On 1/9/25 at 5:23 p.m., a progress note indicated R1 had a bruise on her left arm around the elbow measuring 5.5 x 9.7cm x 9.7cm. R1 denied pain, and was able to complete range of motion (ROM).</p> <p>On 1/9/25 at 6:05 p.m., a progress note indicated the on-call provider was contacted, and an x-ray was ordered to be completed 1/10/25.</p> <p>On 1/9/25, a Physician's Order directed R1 to have an elbow x-ray.</p> <p>On 1/10/25 at 3:55 p.m., a progress note indicated R1's x-ray was completed at 1:30 p.m., with her daughter present.</p> <p>On 1/15/25 at 4:10 p.m., a progress note indicated R1 had increased swelling over the past two days. The clinic was called requesting the provider to review x-ray results. The clinic nurse informed registered nurse (RN)-A it might take up to two weeks to get the x-ray results.</p> <p>On 1/15/25 at 4:33 p.m., a progress note indicated R1's medical doctor (MD)-A personally reviewed R1's x-ray and recommended evaluation in the emergency room (ER).</p> <p>(continued on next page)</p>

Any deficiency statement ending with an asterisk (*) denotes a deficiency which the institution may be excused from correcting providing it is determined that other safeguards provide sufficient protection to the patients. (See instructions.) Except for nursing homes, the findings stated above are disclosable 90 days following the date of survey whether or not a plan of correction is provided. For nursing homes, the above findings and plans of correction are disclosable 14 days following the date these documents are made available to the facility. If deficiencies are cited, an approved plan of correction is requisite to continued program participation.

LABORATORY DIRECTOR'S OR PROVIDER/SUPPLIER REPRESENTATIVE'S SIGNATURE	TITLE	(X6) DATE
---	-------	-----------

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION	(X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: 245341	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. Building B. Wing	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED 01/29/2025
NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER Cura of Sauk Centre		STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE 425 N Elm Street Sauk Centre, MN 56378	
For information on the nursing home's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the nursing home or the state survey agency.			
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (Each deficiency must be preceded by full regulatory or LSC identifying information)		
<p>F 0776</p> <p>Level of Harm - Minimal harm or potential for actual harm</p> <p>Residents Affected - Some</p>	<p>On 1/15/25 an After Visit Summary indicated R1 had diagnoses of closed fracture dislocation of left elbow, and closed displaced fracture of medial epicondyle of left humerus (bony prominence located on inner side of the elbow joint).</p> <p>On 1/29/25 at 9:20 a.m., registered nurse (RN)-A stated it took the facility five days to receive R1's x-ray results. R1 had increased swelling on 1/14/25 and 1/15/25. She called the clinic on 1/13/25, 1/14/25 and 1/15/25 requesting x-ray results. R1's physician looked at the x-ray himself on 1/15/25 and sent her to the ER due to a fracture to the left elbow, indicated by the x-ray.</p> <p>R2's significant change MDS dated [DATE] indicated R2 had a diagnosis of non-traumatic brain dysfunction and dementia.</p> <p>On 1/16/25 at 5:42 p.m., a progress note indicated MD-A ordered an x-ray of R2's right foot, and the x-ray was completed.</p> <p>On 1/21/25 at 1:55 p.m., a progress note indicated MD-A reviewed R2's foot x-ray and did not see any fracture.</p> <p>On 1/22/25, a Final Result Report indicated R2's x-ray of the foot indicated no definitive findings to explain symptoms.</p> <p>R3's significant change MDS dated [DATE] indicated R3 had diagnoses of debility, heart failure and respiratory failure.</p> <p>On 11/21/24 at 11:49 a.m., a progress note indicated MD-A ordered a chest x-ray for R3 following a clinic visit.</p> <p>On 12/2/24, a Final Result Report for R3's chest x-ray indicated R3 had cardiomegaly (enlarged heart), central vascular congestion, a large left pleural effusion (buildup of fluid between the tissues that line the chest), negative for pneumothorax (a condition where air leaks into the space between the lungs and chest wall), and calcified arteries.</p> <p>On 1/29/25 at 1:35 p.m., the assistant director of nursing (ADON) stated she did not find it acceptable to wait five days for x-ray results.</p> <p>On 1/29/25 at 2:00 p.m., the director of nursing (DON) stated she was told x-ray readings were delayed due to a shortage of radiologists. She expected to have x-rays read within 24 hours.</p> <p>On 1/29/25 at 2:33 p.m., the administrator stated the facility should have been made aware of R1's fracture within a couple of hours. The timeframe for x-ray results should be communicated to the facility.</p> <p>On 1/29/25 at 3:27 p.m., MD-A stated R1's x-ray was ordered by another provider in his absence on 1/9/25. He looked at the x-ray himself on 1/15/25 and observed a fracture. R1's x-ray from 1/10/25 was not formally read by radiology until 1/19/25. R2's foot x-ray was not read in a timely fashion. R2's x-ray was ordered on 1/16/25 and formal results were not available until 1/23/25. R3's chest x-ray was ordered on 11/21/25 and formal results were not available until 11/30/24. MD-A stated it is not unusual to wait 7-10 days for x-ray reports, which is not ideal.</p> <p>(continued on next page)</p>		

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION	(X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: 245341	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. Building B. Wing	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED 01/29/2025
NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER Cura of Sauk Centre		STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE 425 N Elm Street Sauk Centre, MN 56378	
For information on the nursing home's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the nursing home or the state survey agency.			
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (Each deficiency must be preceded by full regulatory or LSC identifying information)		
<p>F 0776</p> <p>Level of Harm - Minimal harm or potential for actual harm</p> <p>Residents Affected - Some</p>	<p>On 1/30/25 at 9:19 a.m., the director of regional hospital imaging department (DOR) stated he did not think there was formal process for the expectation of time for providing x-ray results. The delay was due to staffing shortages. General x-ray results were taking 5-7 days to review, unless they were marked STAT (immediate). The ordering provider should be looking at the images after they were completed, and they should not be waiting for the radiologist to provide their findings.</p> <p>On 1/30/25 at 1:50 p.m., via email, the DON stated the facility did not have a diagnostic testing policy via email.</p> <p>A facility document Contract for Radiology Services dated 1/18 directed to complete medical records in a timely and legible fashion.</p>		