

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION	(X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: 265486	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. Building B. Wing	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED 03/26/2026
NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER Stonebridge Maryland Heights		STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE 2963 Doddridge Avenue Maryland Heights, MO 63043	
For information on the nursing home's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the nursing home or the state survey agency.			
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (Each deficiency must be preceded by full regulatory or LSC identifying information)		
F 0689 Level of Harm - Minimal harm or potential for actual harm Residents Affected - Some	<p>Ensure that a nursing home area is free from accident hazards and provides adequate supervision to prevent accidents.</p> <p>**NOTE- TERMS IN BRACKETS HAVE BEEN EDITED TO PROTECT CONFIDENTIALITY** Based on observation, interview and record review, the facility failed to ensure one resident was provided with adequate supervision and staff oversight when the resident wandered outside and was brought back to their secured housing unit after approximately five to ten minutes of being outside unsupervised (Resident #1). The resident knocked on the door of a different housing unit and was brought back to their unit by a Certified Nursing Assistant (CNA). The sample was 9. The census was 136. The Administrator was notified on 3/26/26 of the past non-compliance. The facility in-serviced nursing staff on alarms and monitoring exits when alarms by the doors are making noise. They were in-serviced on identifying residents at risk of elopement and those who having exit seeking behavior. They were also given mock elopement drills to ensure the facility policy is followed when an alarm goes off or a resident is found to be missing. The deficiency was corrected on 3/25/26. Review of the facility's Elopement Policy, undated, showed:Policy Statement: Staff shall investigate and report all cases of missing resident and the appropriate staff will be notified. The resident will be located in a timely manner that does not disrupt or impede regular routines in all areas of the facility.Policy Interpretation and Implementation:-Reporting Practices: 1. Staff shall promptly report any resident who tries to leave the premises or is suspected of being missing to the Charge Nurse or Director of Nursing.-Resident Leaving the Premises;2. If an employee observes a resident leaving the premises, he/she should:-Attempt to prevent the departure in a courteous manner;-Get help from other staff members in the immediate vicinity, if necessary; and-Instruct another staff member to inform the Charge Nurse or Director of Nursing Services that a resident has left the premises.-Procedure for Locating a Missing Resident: 3. Should a staff member become concerned with the possibility that a resident may be missing, complete the following steps:-Conduct a thorough search of the entire unit. Do not panic; be certain to check all rooms, restrooms, closets, etc.;-If unable to locate resident, notify the Nursing Home charge nurse;-The nursing home charge nurse will announce overhead, All units check your exits;-On duty staff will then thoroughly search areas within their scheduled assignment; including vacant rooms, restrooms, closets, etc.;-Staff will report a head count for their assigned area to the nursing home charge nurse. Head count for facility will be completed; Staff will inform the charge nurse of any residents who have signed out of the facility;-If the resident is located, the charge nurse will announce overhead, All exits are clear;-If the search is unsuccessful, the nursing home charge nurse will notify the Administrator and Director Of Nursing (DON) immediately. If they are unavailable, then the on-call nurse will be notified;-The charge nurse will then assign staff to search the outside and surrounding grounds;-The Administrator or DON will make a decision to notify the police department with a physical description of the resident;-The Missouri Department of Health and Senior Services will be contacted within two hours if the resident is not located.4.Nursing Service Duties Upon Return of Resident Who Was Observed Leaving the Facility: When a departing individual returns to the facility, the Director of Nursing Services or Charge Nurse shall:-Examine the resident for injuries;-Notify the Attending Physician;-Notify the resident's legal representative (sponsor) of the incident; -Complete and file an Incident Report. Review of Resident #1's quarterly Minimum Data Set (continued on next page)</p>		

Any deficiency statement ending with an asterisk (*) denotes a deficiency which the institution may be excused from correcting providing it is determined that other safeguards provide sufficient protection to the patients. (See instructions.) Except for nursing homes, the findings stated above are disclosable 90 days following the date of survey whether or not a plan of correction is provided. For nursing homes, the above findings and plans of correction are disclosable 14 days following the date these documents are made available to the facility. If deficiencies are cited, an approved plan of correction is requisite to continued program participation.

LABORATORY DIRECTOR'S OR PROVIDER/SUPPLIER REPRESENTATIVE'S SIGNATURE	TITLE	(X6) DATE
---	-------	-----------

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION	(X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: 265486	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. Building B. Wing	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED 03/26/2026
NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER Stonebridge Maryland Heights		STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE 2963 Doddridge Avenue Maryland Heights, MO 63043	
For information on the nursing home's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the nursing home or the state survey agency.			
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (Each deficiency must be preceded by full regulatory or LSC identifying information)		
<p>F 0689</p> <p>Level of Harm - Minimal harm or potential for actual harm</p> <p>Residents Affected - Some</p>	<p>(MDS), a federally mandated assessment instrument completed by facility staff, dated 2/25/26, showed the following:-Severe cognitive impairment;- Wandering - Presence & Frequency: Has the resident wandered? - Behavior of this type occurred 1 to 3 days;- Alarms: An alarm is any physical or electronic device that monitors resident movement and alerts the staff when movement is detected; - Wander/elopement alarm: Not used;-Diagnoses include Alzheimer's disease, Non-Alzheimer's Dementia, insomnia. Review of the resident's care plan, initiated 3/1/26, showed:-Focus: Elopement risk/wanderer;-Goal: Will not leave facility unattended through the review date;-Interventions: Monitor when resident is pacing to ensure he/she is not attempting to exit seek, Every 15 minute checks due to attempting to get out of the building, Wander guard on right ankle every shift, 1:1 from 7pm-7am due to attempting to try to exit building. Review of the resident's elopement evaluation, dated, 3/18/26, included:Complete for residents who ambulate independently with or without the use of an assistive device or wheelchair.Does the Resident have a history of elopement or an attempted elopement while at home? No;Does the resident have a history of elopement or attempted leaving the facility without informing staff: Yes;Has the Resident verbally expressed the desire to go home, packed belongings to go home or stayed near an exit door? Yes;Does the Resident wander? Yes;Is the wandering behavior a pattern, goal-directed (i.e. specific destination in mind, going home etc.)? Yes; Does the Resident wander aimlessly or non-goal-directed (i.e. confused, moves with purpose, may enter others' rooms and explore others' belongings)? Yes;Is the Resident's wandering behavior likely to affect the safety or well-being of self / others? No;Is the Resident's wandering behavior likely to affect the privacy of others? No;Has the Resident been recently admitted or re-admitted (within past 30 days) and is not accepting the situation? No Review of the resident's elopement evaluation, dated, 3/20/26, included: Complete for residents who ambulate independently with or without the use of an assistive device or wheelchair.Does the Resident have a history of elopement or an attempted elopement while at home? Yes;Does the resident have a history of elopement or attempted leaving the facility without informing staff: Yes;Has the Resident verbally expressed the desire to go home, packed belongings to go home or stayed near an exit door? No;Does the Resident wander? Yes;Is the wandering behavior a pattern, goal-directed (i.e. specific destination in mind, going home etc.)? No; Does the Resident wander aimlessly or non-goal-directed (i.e. confused, moves with purpose, may enter others' rooms and explore others' belongings)? Yes;Is the Resident's wandering behavior likely to affect the safety or well-being of self / others? No;Is the Resident's wandering behavior likely to affect the privacy of others? Yes;Has the Resident been recently admitted or re-admitted (within past 30 days) and is not accepting the situation? No Review of the resident's medical record showed:-A progress note, dated 3/18/26 at 10:56 A.M., No exit seeking behaviors noted this shift. Res slept most of the night;-A progress note, dated 3/19/26 at 3:35 P.M., Resident remains on frequent monitoring due to continued exit seeking behaviors. Wander guard in place and functioning properly. Will continue to monitor;-A progress note, dated 3/20/26 at 12:34 A.M., Resident increased agitation unable to redirect see new order one time prn dose and psych eval request for psych services to evaluate and treatment as needed;-A progress note, dated 3/20/26 at 11:57 A.M., New order for Haldol (used to treat psychosis or agitation) injection 5mg/ml. Inject 1ml intramuscularly (deliver medication into the muscle) one time for agitation.-A progress note, dated 3/20/26 at 12:39 P.M., It was reported to this nurse that on 3/18 at around 6-6:30am, resident seen outside of the building. He/She was noticed by another aide who took safety of the resident and brought him/her back in the house where he/she lives. The nurse was also notified and immediately assessed the resident. Head to toe performed with no anomalies noted. Neuro checks were within normal limits with no changes from previous level of functioning. Performed a follow-up head to toes on the resident, no complaint of pain or distress. Due to poor memory, reasoning and understanding, he/she cannot give an account of what happened. Call placed to family member, made aware of what happened. Call placed to MD, aware of what took place as well. Updated interventions put in place;-A progress note, dated 3/20/26 at 5:54 P.M., Resident follow up following Elopement. Resident remained in tv area majority of shift beside meals which took place (continued on next page)</p>		

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION	(X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: 265486	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. Building B. Wing	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED 03/26/2026
NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER Stonebridge Maryland Heights		STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE 2963 Doddridge Avenue Maryland Heights, MO 63043	
For information on the nursing home's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the nursing home or the state survey agency.			
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (Each deficiency must be preceded by full regulatory or LSC identifying information)		
<p>F 0689</p> <p>Level of Harm - Minimal harm or potential for actual harm</p> <p>Residents Affected - Some</p>	<p>in dining area. Resident had no elopement attempt this shift. Resident will now be 1on1 during 7p-7a shift. Will continue to monitor;-A progress note, dated 3/21/26 at 9:01 P.M., Observation continues this shift, secondary to Elopement Precaution Monitoring. Resident in TV area, with assigned 1 on 1 staff;-A progress note, dated 3/22/26 at 7:40 P.M., Resident follow up following Elopement and frequent monitoring. Resident remained in tv area majority of shift beside meals which took place in dining area. Resident had no elopement attempt this shift;-A progress note, dated 3/22/26 at 11:31 P.M., Res up and awake at this time. Ambulating the unit, wandering aimlessly about. Denies pain/discomforts at this time. No distress noted. No exit seeking at this time;-A progress note, dated 3/23/26 at 1:12 P.M., Interviews with staff concludes that resident is up most nights moving around or he/she sits in the common area during hours of sleep. Spoke with his/her family member, and he/she stated the resident also did this at home and he/she is okay with him/her going on Melatonin as he/she would give it to him/her at home. Call made to MD and new order obtained for Melatonin 3mg po at HS. Family member aware of how much he/she's being started on;-A progress note, dated 3/23/26 at 6:12 P.M., Resident on frequent monitoring for exit-seeking behaviors. Noted attempting to wander toward exits, redirected as needed. No distress noted. Safety measures in place. Will continue to monitor;-A progress note, dated 3/23/26 at 6:14P.M., Resident continues to exit seek was able to redirect behaviors to other activities. Resident remains calm at this time. Will continue to monitor;-A progress note, dated 3/25/26 at 8:50 P.M., Frequent checks remain in place no exit seeking noted;-A progress note, dated 3/26/26 at 6:08 A.M., Observation precautions continued this shift, with 1;1 oversight adhered. Resident quietly resting during night shift. Review of the facility's investigation showed: Incident: Resident #1 lives in Cypress. He/She has diagnosis in part that includes Alzheimer's Disease and Unspecified Dementia. He/She has times where he/she wanders aimlessly, is on elopement precautions, and has a wander guard in place.It was reported to the DON on the morning of 03/20/2026 that on 03/19/2026, Resident #1 had been outside of his/her living unit in Cypress. An investigation was initiated and staff interviews were conducted. The following was revealed:- Upon interview, CNA B on duty 3/18/2026 into 3/19/2026 reported that he/she was in a resident's room giving care and heard the door alarm sounding on twice during this time. The first time Resident #1 and another resident, with a history of wandering, were observed by Resident #1 at the door when CNA B came out of the room. The CNA redirected both residents away from the door, assisted them to the sitting area, provided diversion, and returned to assisting the other residents. This was approximately 5:30 A.M. CNA B further stated that approximately 15 minutes later, at 5:45 am, the door alarm sounded again and this time only the other wandering resident was standing at the door, so he/she assumed that the resident had set the alarm off. CNA B assisted that resident from the door and turned off the key alarm to stop the sound. CNA B's rationale was, he/she did not look outside of the door since the other resident had been at the door earlier and had been redirected. Per CNA C on duty 3/18/2026-3/19/2026 in Magnolia House, he/she had observed Resident #1 outside of Magnolia, knocking on the door at approximately 6:00 A.M. on the morning of 3/19/2026. CNA C said the resident was fully dressed. The CNA had escorted the resident back to Cypress and informed the CNAs in Cypress and his/her charge nurse in Magnolia. CNA B states that he/she reported the incident to the charge nurse, Licensed Practical Nurse (LPN) D. Per CNA E, he/she witnessed CNA C walking with Resident #1 into Cypress around 6:00 A.M. LPN D reports that he/she received a call from the nurse working in Magnolia, LPN F that CNA C was bringing Resident #1 back to Cypress because the resident was seen knocking at the door at Magnolia. LPN D, the night shift charge nurse on duty for Cypress, said that when he/she received the call from LPN F, he/she was in [NAME] across from Cypress. LPN F then went into Cypress. Upon arrival, Resident #1 was sitting on the couch, fully dressed, watching TV. Conclusion of Findings:Resident #1 had been wandering throughout the night, had been redirected, and was placed on frequent checks. Despite these efforts he/she wandered from his/her building and down the courtyard to Magnolia. He/She knocked on the door and was observed at the door by staff and redirected back to his/her unit. The resident was fully clothed (continued on next page)</p>		

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION	(X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: 265486	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. Building B. Wing	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED 03/26/2026
NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER Stonebridge Maryland Heights		STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE 2963 Doddridge Avenue Maryland Heights, MO 63043	
For information on the nursing home's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the nursing home or the state survey agency.			
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (Each deficiency must be preceded by full regulatory or LSC identifying information)		
<p>F 0689</p> <p>Level of Harm - Minimal harm or potential for actual harm</p> <p>Residents Affected - Some</p>	<p>and was within the confines of the secured campus. After questioning staff and reviewing statements, it appears that the timeframe could be narrowed down to less than 15 minutes from the last sounding of the door alarm, the resident walking over to Magnolia, knocking on the door, waiting to be let in and then being escorted back to Aspen by staff. It further appears that at no time was he/she in harm's way by exposure to elements or dangers of being off site due to the gated, secured community. There were no failures in our electronic monitoring systems. All alarms functioned properly. Staff responded timely to each instance. Although it was reasonable to assume the resident standing at the door had set off the alarm, the most reasonable expectation would have been to check outdoor parameters as an added precaution. Summary of interventions to prevent recurrence: -The nurse performed a head-to-toe assessment on Resident #1 with no injuries or distress noted. Due to his/her cognition and lack of understanding, the resident is unable to give an account of the incident.-The resident's adult child and physician were notified.-He/She remains on 15-minute checks and has been placed on 1:1 for the night shift until further notice. -In-Servicing immediately initiated on staff responding to door alarms. Specifically, to include checking outdoor parameters, reporting, when to notify the charge nurse, residents who wander and are at risk of elopement.-The exit doors were checked throughout the facility and the wander guard alarm at the exits of the Manors were assessed for proper functioning along with all wander guard alarm bracelets.-The door alarms will be checked daily x 7 days and then weekly.-The resident had a medication review and Melatonin (medication to help with sleep) has been ordered for bedtime due to him/her having increased restlessness at night.-An Elopement drill was performed in Cypress on 03/24/2026 and will continue twice weekly until compliance is assured. Re-education will be provided as needed-The nurse that failed to document and report the incident per facility policy and procedure in a timely manner has received re-education and disciplinary action. During an interview on 3/26/26 at 12:50 P.M., the DON said if the CNA had just walked outside when he/she heard the alarm, then he/she would have seen Resident #1. The resident had not been known to get out before. She would have expected them to go to the door that was sounding the alarm. The search started at the door. She would have expected them to check outside. If someone was really exit seeking, she expected staff to call and let them know. She would not have expected them to turn off the alarm and not check. It was never appropriate to ignore an alarm. CNA B did assume it was the other resident by the door that set the alarm off. The CNA should have walked outside to see if anyone had walked out. They in-serviced everyone and did mock elopement drills where they stood outside the doors to ensure they checked outside too. During an interview on 3/26/26 at 12:04 P.M., CNA B said that night shift, the resident kept sounding the alarm. He/She was exit seeking the entire shift. CNA B was providing care and heard the alarm. Around 5:45 A.M., CNA B heard the alarm and went to check and then turned the alarm off. Due to the behavior overnight, CNA B thought Resident #1 had just gotten to close again and sounded the alarm. He/She did not think the resident actually got out. CNA E was providing showers at the time of the incident. CNA B said the nurse was in the other building. CNA B said around 6:00 A.M., CNA C brought the resident back. The resident does wear a wander guard but did not believe it was a different alarm. The keypad went off. CNA B said he/she should have checked outside. They have done in-services and completed mock drills since the incident. CNA B said it was hard to hear alarms when in resident rooms providing care. During an interview on 3/26/26 at 3:56 P.M., CNA E said he/she worked the night of the incident. Around 4:00 A.M., Resident #1 started exit seeking and trying to press the door. CNA B redirected the resident twice. The resident was calm. CNA B sat the resident on the couch in the main area. Around 5:30 A.M., they started to do rounds to get everyone ready for the day. The CNA was in the shower room with a resident who screamed a lot so he/she did not hear any alarm between the shower and the resident. CNA E said he/she did not know the resident got out until CNA C brought the resident back and notified the nurse. CNA E is not sure who turned the alarm off. He/She does not believe the resident was outside for more than 5-10 minutes. Since the incident, they have done mock drills and in-servicing. CNA E believes this was the first time (continued on next page)</p>		

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION	(X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: 265486	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. Building B. Wing	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED 03/26/2026
NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER Stonebridge Maryland Heights		STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE 2963 Doddridge Avenue Maryland Heights, MO 63043	

For information on the nursing home's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the nursing home or the state survey agency.

(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (Each deficiency must be preceded by full regulatory or LSC identifying information)
<p>F 0689</p> <p>Level of Harm - Minimal harm or potential for actual harm</p> <p>Residents Affected - Some</p>	<p>the resident has actually gotten out of the building. If CNA E had heard the alarm, he/she would have checked outside and then done a head count. During an interview on 3/26/26 at 12:43 P.M., LPN D said the night of the incident he/she was the nurse for Cypress and [NAME]. He/She was in [NAME] providing care when Resident #1 was returned. The last time he/she had last seen the resident at 12:00 A.M. and was told the resident had been trying to get out all night. When LPN D saw the resident, he/she was not trying to get outside. From LPN D's understanding both CNA's were in rooms providing care when the alarm went off. CNA B heard the alarm, turned it off, then did not check on Resident #1. LPN D believed the resident had gotten out recently about a month ago and they had the resident on 15 minute checks. The staff has been in-serviced on elopement and mock drills have been done. The nurse would have expected staff to make sure the resident they were providing care to was safe and then go check on the alarm. The alarm could be heard in resident rooms. There were two different alarms. One for the wander guard and then one when the door was opened. During an interview on 3/26/26 at 2:30 P.M., the Administrator and DON said they would expect staff to follow policy. It was not appropriate for staff to ignore any alarm. They would expect staff to respond, go outside and check, do a head count. If someone was missing, then call the supervisor. During an interview on 3/27/26 at 6:30 P.M., CNA C said he/she was in the front of his/her building when he/she saw Resident #1 stand at the door. The resident was dressed. CNA C recognized the resident and brought him/her back to Cypress then notified the charge nurse for both buildings. He/She was not sure why the staff did not hear the alarm. He/She was not sure if Resident #1 had gotten out before this incident. CNA C believes it was around 6:00 A.M. when the resident was outside. CNA C said if an alarm was going off, then they were supposed to check outside and then do a head count. 2964555</p>