

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION	(X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: 345116	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. Building B. Wing	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED 12/05/2024
NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER Piedmont Hills Center for Nursing and Rehab		STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE 109 S Holden Rd Greensboro, NC 27407	

For information on the nursing home's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the nursing home or the state survey agency.

(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (Each deficiency must be preceded by full regulatory or LSC identifying information)
<p>F 0553</p> <p>Level of Harm - Minimal harm or potential for actual harm</p> <p>Residents Affected - Few</p>	<p>Allow resident to participate in the development and implementation of his or her person-centered plan of care.</p> <p>**NOTE- TERMS IN BRACKETS HAVE BEEN EDITED TO PROTECT CONFIDENTIALITY** 20670</p> <p>Based on record reviews, and resident and staff interviews, the facility failed to offer a resident the opportunity to participate in his care plan meetings for 1 of 1 sampled resident reviewed for care planning (Resident #32).</p> <p>Findings included:</p> <p>Resident #32 was admitted to the facility on [DATE] with diagnoses which included: diabetes mellitus with diabetic peripheral angiopathy, vascular dementia, and major depressive disorder.</p> <p>The quarterly minimum data set (MDS) dated [DATE] indicated Resident #32 was cognitively intact.</p> <p>During an interview on 12/02/24 at 10:34 a.m., when asked about his care plan meetings, Resident #32 stated he had resided at the facility for two years and no one had ever explained or discussed anything with him.</p> <p>There was no documentation in the medical record or provided by the social worker indicating Resident #32 attended or refused to attend his care plan meetings.</p> <p>(continued on next page)</p>

Any deficiency statement ending with an asterisk (*) denotes a deficiency which the institution may be excused from correcting providing it is determined that other safeguards provide sufficient protection to the patients. (See instructions.) Except for nursing homes, the findings stated above are disclosable 90 days following the date of survey whether or not a plan of correction is provided. For nursing homes, the above findings and plans of correction are disclosable 14 days following the date these documents are made available to the facility. If deficiencies are cited, an approved plan of correction is requisite to continued program participation.

LABORATORY DIRECTOR'S OR PROVIDER/SUPPLIER
REPRESENTATIVE'S SIGNATURE

TITLE

(X6) DATE

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION	(X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: 345116	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. Building B. Wing	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED 12/05/2024
NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER Piedmont Hills Center for Nursing and Rehab		STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE 109 S Holden Rd Greensboro, NC 27407	
For information on the nursing home's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the nursing home or the state survey agency.			
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (Each deficiency must be preceded by full regulatory or LSC identifying information)		
<p>F 0553</p> <p>Level of Harm - Minimal harm or potential for actual harm</p> <p>Residents Affected - Few</p>	<p>An interview with the Director of Social Work (SW) on 12/04/24 at 1:39 p.m., revealed she began working at the facility in January 2024, and her responsibilities included scheduling the quarterly care plan meetings for all the facility's residents. She stated that in preparation for the quarterly meetings, she would send a generalized letter with her phone number to the residents' families informing them of the upcoming care plan meetings encouraging them to attend and to schedule a date and time. Two weeks after the letter, she would telephone the families/responsible parties of residents who were scheduled for a care plan meeting with the scheduled date and time of the resident's meeting. If the family/responsible party had a conflict scheduled date and time, then she would discuss a better date/time convenient for them. The SW stated she would also verbally notify the alert and oriented residents the day before or on the day of the meeting as well as the unit manager to ensure the resident was out of bed and dressed. The SW stated that Resident #32, his wife (via telephone) and/or his son (on-site) have attended the resident's care plan meeting in the designated room in the facility and sometimes in the resident's room. The SW was unable to recall the date of Resident #32's last care plan meeting. After further review of facility records, the SW revealed there was no documentation available indicating a care plan meeting for Resident #32 was held in October 2024 but acknowledged there should have been. She stated the most recent documented care plan meeting held for Resident #32 was on 3/23/23.</p>		

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION	(X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: 345116	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. Building B. Wing	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED 12/05/2024
NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER Piedmont Hills Center for Nursing and Rehab		STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE 109 S Holden Rd Greensboro, NC 27407	
For information on the nursing home's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the nursing home or the state survey agency.			
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (Each deficiency must be preceded by full regulatory or LSC identifying information)		
<p>F 0584</p> <p>Level of Harm - Potential for minimal harm</p> <p>Residents Affected - Some</p>	<p>Honor the resident's right to a safe, clean, comfortable and homelike environment, including but not limited to receiving treatment and supports for daily living safely.</p> <p>**NOTE- TERMS IN BRACKETS HAVE BEEN EDITED TO PROTECT CONFIDENTIALITY** 31146</p> <p>Based on record review, observations, and resident and staff interviews, the facility failed to maintain walls or baseboards in good condition for 6 of 13 rooms (room [ROOM NUMBER], #213, #215, #217, #218 and #222). This occurred for 1 of 2 halls (200 hall) reviewed for clean, comfortable, homelike environment.</p> <p>The findings included:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. A continuous observation on 12/5/24 from 10:45 AM until 11:00 AM revealed the following: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Resident room # 213 was observed to have baseboard that was not affixed to the wall. The baseboard could be observed leaning from the wall with dry wall exposed behind the baseboard. b. Resident room [ROOM NUMBER] to have baseboard missing from the wall under the TV under bed B. c. Resident room [ROOM NUMBER] revealed baseboard to missing beside the bathroom and baseboard was observed to be lying on the floor by bed B. d. Resident room [ROOM NUMBER] was observed to have missing baseboard by bed A. Bed B had a section of baseboard lying directly on floor. <p>Review of the facility work orders from October 2024 through December 2024 revealed no work orders regarding baseboard repair.</p> <p>A continuous observation and interview was conducted with the Maintenance Assistant on 12/5/24 from 2:00 PM until 2:15 PM. He stated recently the facility began using an electronic system (TAILS) to document and track items that were in need of repair about a month ago. Prior to implementing the electronic tracking system staff would communicate concerns verbally. He revealed he was unaware of the missing baseboard in resident room [ROOM NUMBER]. He stated if he was made aware he would have fixed the baseboard. He indicated he would only need glue to put the baseboard back in place. During observation of Resident room [ROOM NUMBER], the Maintenance Assistant stated he was not made aware and measured the missing baseboard in room [ROOM NUMBER] to be 6 feet. An observation in Resident room [ROOM NUMBER] with the Maintenance Assistant revealed about 4 feet of baseboard was missing and in need of repair to Resident room [ROOM NUMBER].</p> <p>Interview with the Administrator on 12/5/24 at 3:37 PM revealed it would be her expectation that staff report missing or loose baseboards to the Maintenance Director or Maintenance Assistant. The Administrator indicated the facility had been without a Maintenance Director for some time. During the time the facility was without a Maintenance Director the facility was not using the electronic tracking system and were using word of mouth to communicate items in the facility that needed repair.</p> <p>38920</p> <p>(continued on next page)</p>		

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION	(X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: 345116	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. Building B. Wing	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED 12/05/2024
NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER Piedmont Hills Center for Nursing and Rehab		STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE 109 S Holden Rd Greensboro, NC 27407	
For information on the nursing home's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the nursing home or the state survey agency.			
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (Each deficiency must be preceded by full regulatory or LSC identifying information)		
<p>F 0584</p> <p>Level of Harm - Potential for minimal harm</p> <p>Residents Affected - Some</p>	<p>2. room [ROOM NUMBER] was observed on 12/2/24 at 10:28am. The observation revealed red/orange splatter on the wall across from the bathroom, the door leading to the hall had black marks on the inside above the door handle, and there were black marks on her bathroom door also above the door handle.</p> <p>The Resident in room [ROOM NUMBER] was interviewed on 12/2/24 at 10:30am. The Resident stated housekeeping did not clean her room daily.</p> <p>On 12/4/24 at 10:52am a walk around occurred with the Housekeeping Manager and Administrator. Upon entering room [ROOM NUMBER], there was red/orange splatter on the wall across from the bathroom, the door leading to the hall had black marks on the inside above the door handle, and there were black marks on her bathroom door also above the door handle.</p> <p>The Housekeeping Manager was interviewed on 12/4/24 at 11:11am. The Housekeeping Manager explained that the assigned housekeeper would wipe down any touch areas, dust, clean the bathroom, and sweep/mop the floor daily. She also explained she performed walk around twice a week. The Housekeeping Manager stated if the housekeeper saw spillage or dirt on the walls/doors they were responsible for cleaning the area.</p> <p>During an interview with Housekeeper #1 on 12/4/24 at 11:22am, Housekeeper #1 discussed he was aware residents' walls were dirty and stated he had informed his supervisor. He explained due to the time constraint to get to each resident room, there was not enough time to clean all the areas and their walls.</p> <p>The Administrator was interviewed on 12/5/24 at 1:50pm. The Administrator stated she was not made aware of the issues until the walk around.</p> <p>3. An observation of room [ROOM NUMBER] occurred on 12/2/24 at 12:28pm. The observation revealed holes in the wall behind and below both resident's headboards. The resident by the window had 2 holes behind her bed right below the headboard and the resident by the door had 1 hole behind her headboard and 1 hole right below the headboard.</p> <p>During an interview with both both residents in room [ROOM NUMBER] on 12/2/24 at 12:29pm, both residents stated the holes in their wall had been there at least 1 year.</p> <p>A walk around occurred with the Maintenance Assistant and the Administrator on 12/4/24 at 10:52am. The Maintenance Assistant measured the holes for the resident by the window with the following results: 1. 3.5 by 8 inches and 2. 7.5 by 10 inches. Upon measuring the holes for the resident by the door the results were: 1. 7 by 7 inches and 2. 19 by 10 inches.</p> <p>The Maintenance Assistant was interviewed on 12/4/24 at 11:08am. The Maintenance Assistant stated they do not complete walk around on a consistent basis. He explained they rely more on housekeeping and Nursing Assistants to complete work orders in their computerized system. The Maintenance Assistant stated he was unaware of the holes in the wall because no one had entered the issue into the computerized system. He explained that anyone can enter an issue into the computerized system which then sends an alert to his phone. The Maintenance Assistant stated once the issue has been fixed, he logs into the computerized system and marks the issue as completed.</p> <p>(continued on next page)</p>		

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION	(X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: 345116	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. Building B. Wing	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED 12/05/2024
NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER Piedmont Hills Center for Nursing and Rehab		STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE 109 S Holden Rd Greensboro, NC 27407	
For information on the nursing home's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the nursing home or the state survey agency.			
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (Each deficiency must be preceded by full regulatory or LSC identifying information)		
<p>F 0584</p> <p>Level of Harm - Potential for minimal harm</p> <p>Residents Affected - Some</p>	<p>The Administrator was interviewed on 12/5/24 at 1:50pm. The Administrator stated she was not made aware of the issues until the walk around.</p>		

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION	(X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: 345116	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. Building B. Wing	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED 12/05/2024
NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER Piedmont Hills Center for Nursing and Rehab		STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE 109 S Holden Rd Greensboro, NC 27407	

For information on the nursing home's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the nursing home or the state survey agency.

(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (Each deficiency must be preceded by full regulatory or LSC identifying information)
<p>F 0600</p> <p>Level of Harm - Minimal harm or potential for actual harm</p> <p>Residents Affected - Few</p>	<p>Protect each resident from all types of abuse such as physical, mental, sexual abuse, physical punishment, and neglect by anybody.</p> <p>38920</p> <p>Based on record review, observation, and resident and staff interviews, the facility failed to protect a resident's right to be free from neglect when Nursing Assistant (NA) #3 and the dietary staff did not ensure Resident #12 received lunch. This occurred for 1 of 4 residents (Resident #12) reviewed for food preferences.</p> <p>The findings included:</p> <p>This tag is cross referenced to:</p> <p>F806</p> <p>Based on observation, record review, and resident and staff interviews, the facility failed to provide a resident with an alternate preference during the lunch meal for 1 of 4 residents (Resident #12) reviewed for food preferences.</p>

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION	(X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: 345116	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. Building B. Wing	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED 12/05/2024
NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER Piedmont Hills Center for Nursing and Rehab		STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE 109 S Holden Rd Greensboro, NC 27407	
For information on the nursing home's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the nursing home or the state survey agency.			
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (Each deficiency must be preceded by full regulatory or LSC identifying information)		
<p>F 0638</p> <p>Level of Harm - Potential for minimal harm</p> <p>Residents Affected - Some</p>	<p>Assure that each resident's assessment is updated at least once every 3 months.</p> <p>**NOTE- TERMS IN BRACKETS HAVE BEEN EDITED TO PROTECT CONFIDENTIALITY** 20670</p> <p>Based on record reviews and staff interview, the facility failed to complete a quarterly Minimum Data Set (MDS) assessment within the required time frame for 1 of 30 sampled residents (Resident #109) reviewed for submission of MDS assessments.</p> <p>The findings included:</p> <p>Resident #109 was admitted to the facility on [DATE] with diagnoses which included orthopedic aftercare following surgical amputation and diabetes mellitus.</p> <p>The admission MDS dated [DATE] indicated Resident #109 was cognitively intact.</p> <p>Review of the medical record revealed the self-care and mobility section of Resident #109's quarterly MDS with the assessment reference date of 11/19/24 was not completed as of 12/4/24.</p> <p>During an interview on 12/05/24 at 9:58 a.m., the MDS Coordinator revealed she was on emergency leave from the facility on 11/25/24 to 12/2/24. She stated the self-care and mobility section of Resident #109's quarterly MDS should have been completed and submitted into the CMS system (Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Data Base System) by 12/3/24 by one of the facility's contracted remote MDS nurses.</p>		

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION	(X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: 345116	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. Building B. Wing	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED 12/05/2024
NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER Piedmont Hills Center for Nursing and Rehab		STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE 109 S Holden Rd Greensboro, NC 27407	
For information on the nursing home's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the nursing home or the state survey agency.			
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (Each deficiency must be preceded by full regulatory or LSC identifying information)		
<p>F 0641</p> <p>Level of Harm - Minimal harm or potential for actual harm</p> <p>Residents Affected - Some</p>	<p>Ensure each resident receives an accurate assessment.</p> <p>**NOTE- TERMS IN BRACKETS HAVE BEEN EDITED TO PROTECT CONFIDENTIALITY** 31146</p> <p>Based on record review and staff interviews, the facility failed to accurately assesses residents in the area of accidents (Resident #76, Resident #42, Resident #58) and failed to complete the functional abilities and goals section (Resident #12) for 4 of 13 residents reviewed for Minimum Data Set (MDS) accuracy.</p> <p>The findings included:</p> <p>1a. Resident #76 was admitted to the facility on [DATE].</p> <p>A Smoking assessment dated [DATE] indicated Resident #76 required supervision while smoking.</p> <p>The Admission Minimum Data Set (MDS) assessment dated [DATE] indicated Resident #76 was cognitively intact and was coded as not being a current tobacco user.</p> <p>An interview with the MDS Coordinator on 12/4/24 at 2:37 PM revealed Resident #76 was admitted to the facility as a smoker. Upon review of Resident #76's annual MDS assessment, the MDS Coordinator stated Resident #76 should have been coded as a current tobacco user.</p> <p>1b. Resident #42 was admitted to the facility on [DATE] with diagnoses that included tobacco use.</p> <p>Review of a Smoking assessment dated [DATE] indicated Resident #42 was a tobacco user and was identified as safe to smoke unsupervised.</p> <p>Review of Resident #42's annual MDS assessment dated [DATE] revealed he was coded as not being a current tobacco user.</p> <p>An interview with the MDS Coordinator on 12/5/24 at 2:31 PM revealed Resident #42 used tobacco/smoked. Upon reviewing Resident #42's MDS assessment, she stated it was not coded for current tobacco use and should have been.</p> <p>1c. Resident #58 was admitted to the facility on [DATE] with diagnoses that included tobacco use.</p> <p>Review of Resident #58's annual MDS assessment dated [DATE] revealed he was coded as not being a current tobacco user.</p> <p>An interview with the MDS Coordinator on 12/5/24 at 2:31 PM revealed Resident #58 used tobacco/smoked. Upon reviewing Resident #58's MDS assessment, she stated it was not coded for current tobacco use and should have been.</p> <p>An interview conducted with the Administrator and Director of Nursing on 12/5/24 at 3:33 PM revealed they would expect the MDS to accurately identify a resident that currently used tobacco. Resident #76, Resident #42 and Resident #58 MDS assessments should have coded the residents as tobacco users.</p> <p>(continued on next page)</p>		

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION	(X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: 345116	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. Building B. Wing	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED 12/05/2024
NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER Piedmont Hills Center for Nursing and Rehab		STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE 109 S Holden Rd Greensboro, NC 27407	
For information on the nursing home's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the nursing home or the state survey agency.			
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (Each deficiency must be preceded by full regulatory or LSC identifying information)		
<p>F 0641</p> <p>Level of Harm - Minimal harm or potential for actual harm</p> <p>Residents Affected - Some</p>	<p>38920</p> <p>2. Resident #12 was admitted to the facility on [DATE] with multiple diagnoses that included stage 4 kidney disease and diabetes.</p> <p>The quarterly Minimum Data Set (MDS) dated [DATE] revealed Resident #12 was cognitively intact. While reviewing the section of the MDS titled functional abilities and goals, the section for self-care was observed not to be completed. Further reviews of subsequent quarterly MDS assessments were observed to have the self-care section filled out.</p> <p>During an interview with MDS Nurse #1 on 12/3/24 at 2:48pm, the MDS Nurse explained she had been hired as the MDS Nurse in September 2024 and prior to that the facility relied on an outside contract company to complete the residents' MDS assessments. She explained the contract company continues to assist with completing MDS assessments. The MDS Nurse reviewed Resident #12's MDS assessment for 1/18/24 and confirmed the self-care section under functional abilities and goals was not completed. She also confirmed Resident #12 had not been hospitalized which she stated would have caused this section to be marked not assessed and/or not completed. MDS Nurse #1 stated she did not know why the section had not been filled out and explained it should have been.</p> <p>The Director of Nursing (DON) was interviewed on 12/4/24 at 9:42am. The DON explained the facility had been without an in-house MDS Nurse and had been utilizing a remote MDS company to help complete/review MDS assessments. She stated she had not known about Resident #12 not having the self-care portion of the section titled functional abilities and goals completed on her quarterly MDS assessment dated [DATE]. The DON commented that the self-care section should have been completed for Resident #12.</p> <p>An interview with the Administrator occurred on 12/5/24 at 1:30pm. The Administrator explained the facility always had an MDS Nurse but not always an MDS Nurse in-house. She stated in January 2024 there was a remote contracted MDS service completing the MDS assessments and explained she began working at the facility in January 2024 so she was not aware of who would have been reviewing the MDs assessments for accuracy. The Administrator stated she could not comment on why Resident #12 did not have her self-care assessment section completed on her quarterly MDS assessment dated [DATE]. She did state the self-care section should have been completed.</p>		

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION	(X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: 345116	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. Building B. Wing	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED 12/05/2024
NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER Piedmont Hills Center for Nursing and Rehab		STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE 109 S Holden Rd Greensboro, NC 27407	

For information on the nursing home's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the nursing home or the state survey agency.

(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (Each deficiency must be preceded by full regulatory or LSC identifying information)
<p>F 0656</p> <p>Level of Harm - Minimal harm or potential for actual harm</p> <p>Residents Affected - Few</p>	<p>Develop and implement a complete care plan that meets all the resident's needs, with timetables and actions that can be measured.</p> <p>**NOTE- TERMS IN BRACKETS HAVE BEEN EDITED TO PROTECT CONFIDENTIALITY** 31146</p> <p>Based on observation record review and staff interview, the facility failed to develop a comprehensive care plan for the areas of smoking (Resident #76) and Activities of Daily Living (ADL) (Resident #5) for 2 of 18 residents whose care plans were reviewed.</p> <p>The findings included:</p> <p>1. Resident #76 was admitted to the facility on [DATE].</p> <p>A facility smoking assessment dated [DATE] indicated Resident #76 required supervision while smoking.</p> <p>The Admission Minimum Data Set (MDS) assessment dated [DATE] indicated Resident #76 was cognitively intact and was coded as not being a current tobacco user.</p> <p>The smoking assessment dated [DATE] indicated Resident #76 did not require supervision while smoking and was considered an unsupervised smoker.</p> <p>Review of Resident #76's care plans were reviewed and did not include a care plan or interventions in the area of tobacco use/smoking.</p> <p>An interview with the MDS Coordinator/Nurse #1 on 12/4/24 at 2:37 PM revealed Resident #76 was a smoker when she was admitted to the facility. The MDS Coordinator stated Resident #67 did not have a care plan identifying smoking as an area of concern and one should have been developed.</p> <p>Resident #76 was interviewed on 12/4/24 at 3:34 PM. She stated she was a smoker and had smoked at the facility since admission.</p> <p>An interview with the Director of Nursing and the Administrator on 12/5/24 at 3:35 PM revealed Resident #76 was a tobacco user/smoker since her admission. They indicated Resident #76 should have had a care plan developed with interventions due to her tobacco use.</p> <p>46725</p> <p>2. Resident #5 was admitted to the facility on [DATE] with diagnoses that included dementia and muscle weakness.</p> <p>The admission Minimum Data Set (MDS) dated [DATE] revealed Resident #5 had intact cognition and required substantial to maximum assistance with toileting hygiene, personal hygiene, shower/bathing, upper/lower body dressing, putting on/taking off footwear, bed mobility, and transfers.</p> <p>(continued on next page)</p>

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION	(X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: 345116	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. Building B. Wing	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED 12/05/2024
NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER Piedmont Hills Center for Nursing and Rehab		STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE 109 S Holden Rd Greensboro, NC 27407	

For information on the nursing home's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the nursing home or the state survey agency.

(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (Each deficiency must be preceded by full regulatory or LSC identifying information)
<p>F 0656</p> <p>Level of Harm - Minimal harm or potential for actual harm</p> <p>Residents Affected - Few</p>	<p>Review of the Care Area assessment dated [DATE] revealed Resident #5 triggered to have ADL function care planned.</p> <p>Resident #5's comprehensive care plans, last revised on 09/29/24, did not include a plan that addressed her need for assistance with ADL care.</p> <p>Multiple attempts were made to interview the previous MDS Nurse #2 but attempts were unsuccessful.</p> <p>An interview was conducted with MDS Nurse #1 on 12/4/24 at 10:14 AM. She indicated that when a resident is coded to require assistance with ADL care and the CAA is triggered to proceed to the care plan then an ADL care plan should be developed and implemented at that time.</p> <p>During an interview on 12/4/24 at 3:59 PM, the Director of Nursing reviewed Resident #5's care plan and confirmed the care plan did not include interventions relating to care needs, so that staff would know what level of care to provide. The DON stated it was an oversight, and Resident #5's ADL care plan should have reflected her care needs.</p> <p>During an interview on 12/5/24 at 2:45 PM, the Administrator stated she would expect care plans to be developed to accurately reflect the resident's needs.</p>

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION	(X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: 345116	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. Building B. Wing	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED 12/05/2024
NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER Piedmont Hills Center for Nursing and Rehab		STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE 109 S Holden Rd Greensboro, NC 27407	
For information on the nursing home's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the nursing home or the state survey agency.			
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (Each deficiency must be preceded by full regulatory or LSC identifying information)		
<p>F 0657</p> <p>Level of Harm - Minimal harm or potential for actual harm</p> <p>Residents Affected - Few</p>	<p>Develop the complete care plan within 7 days of the comprehensive assessment; and prepared, reviewed, and revised by a team of health professionals.</p> <p>**NOTE- TERMS IN BRACKETS HAVE BEEN EDITED TO PROTECT CONFIDENTIALITY** 38920</p> <p>Based on record review and staff interviews, the facility failed to update a care plan for 1 of 3 residents (Resident #12) reviewed for care plans.</p> <p>The findings included:</p> <p>Resident #12 was admitted to the facility on [DATE].</p> <p>The quarterly Minimum Data Set (MDS) dated [DATE] revealed Resident #12 was cognitively intact.</p> <p>Upon reviewing Resident #12's care plan, it was observed that Resident #12's care plan had not been reviewed since 7/3/24. There was documentation under the care plan section of the electronic medical record for Resident #12 to have her care plan reviewed on 10/22/24.</p> <p>During an interview with MDS Nurse #1 on 12/3/24 at 2:48pm, the MDS Nurse explained she would have been responsible for ensuring Resident #12's care plan had been reviewed but stated she had not been placed in the MDS Nurse role until September 2024. The MDS Nurse stated she would not have reviewed the care plan in October 2024 as indicated in Resident #12's record unless there was a change in condition. She explained she only reviews care plans annually and/or if there is a change in condition.</p> <p>The Director of Nursing (DON) was interviewed on 12/4/24 at 9:42am. The DON discussed being the DON for only 3 months. She explained that she had been reviewing residents' care plans and had realized there were residents' who had not had a review of their care plans. She explained it was the responsibility of the MDS Nurse to track when care plan reviews were due. The DON stated residents should have their care plans reviewed every 3 months and/or if there was a change in the residents' condition. She stated she was unaware of Resident #12's care plan not being reviewed since July 2024.</p> <p>An interview with the Administrator occurred on 12/5/24 at 1:30pm. The Administrator discussed resident care plans should be reviewed when there is a change in condition and/or every 3 months. She explained the interdisciplinary team would review the care plan first and then the Social Worker would schedule the care plan meeting. The Administrator stated she did not know why Resident #12's care plan had not been reviewed since July 2024.</p>		

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION	(X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: 345116	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. Building B. Wing	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED 12/05/2024
NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER Piedmont Hills Center for Nursing and Rehab		STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE 109 S Holden Rd Greensboro, NC 27407	
For information on the nursing home's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the nursing home or the state survey agency.			
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (Each deficiency must be preceded by full regulatory or LSC identifying information)		
<p>F 0658</p> <p>Level of Harm - Minimal harm or potential for actual harm</p> <p>Residents Affected - Few</p>	<p>Ensure services provided by the nursing facility meet professional standards of quality.</p> <p>**NOTE- TERMS IN BRACKETS HAVE BEEN EDITED TO PROTECT CONFIDENTIALITY** 38920</p> <p>Based on record review, and staff, and Physician interview, the facility staff failed to confirm residents had taken their medication and left the medication on their meal tray. The medication was found by dietary staff. This occurred for 2 of 2 residents (Resident #12 and Resident #58) reviewed for medication storage.</p> <p>The findings included:</p> <p>Resident #12 was admitted to the facility on [DATE] with multiple diagnoses that included diabetes and congestive heart failure.</p> <p>The quarterly Minimum Data Set (MDS) dated [DATE] revealed Resident #12 was cognitively intact.</p> <p>Resident #58 was admitted to the facility on [DATE] with multiple diagnoses that included hemiplegia and hemiparesis.</p> <p>The quarterly Minimum Data Set (MDS) dated [DATE] revealed Resident #58 was cognitively intact.</p> <p>Review of the facility's timeline revealed on 8/16/24 the Administrator was sent pictures by the previous Dietary manager of medication that were left on Resident #58's meal tray. Again on 8/18/24 the Administrator received pictures from Medication Aide (MA) #4 of medication that was left on Resident #12's meal tray. The only other information present on the timeline was that education was provided to the two MAs (MA #4 and MA #3).</p> <p>Resident #12 was interviewed on 12/2/24 at 10:51am. Resident #12 stated she had not left any of her medication on her meal tray that she could remember. She explained the MAs would often leave her medication on her meal tray for her so she could take them during her meal but said she did not remember ever leaving her medication on her meal tray without taking them.</p> <p>Resident #58 was interviewed on 12/4/24 at 9:30pm. Resident #58 stated he could not remember if he had ever left medication on his meal tray.</p> <p>During an interview with Dietary Aide (DA) #3 on 12/2/24 at 2:25pm, DA #3 stated she recalled the medication being found on resident trays two times in August 2024. She stated she did not find the medication but saw DA #4 with them in her hand. DA #3 explained DA #4 gave the medication each time to the previous Dietary Manager. She stated she did not know what the previous Dietary Manager did with the medication.</p> <p>A telephone interview occurred with the previous Dietary Manager on 12/2/24 at 2:54pm. The Previous Dietary Manager recalled two times in August 2024 when meal trays were returned to the kitchen with medication on the trays. He stated he recalled one resident was Resident #12 and the other was Resident #58. The previous Dietary Manager explained he immediately called the Administrator both times who he said told him to give the medication to the Unit Manager each time. He stated he gave the medication to the previous Staff Development Coordinator (SDC), who he said was also acting as Unit Manager.</p> <p>(continued on next page)</p>		

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION	(X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: 345116	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. Building B. Wing	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED 12/05/2024
NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER Piedmont Hills Center for Nursing and Rehab		STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE 109 S Holden Rd Greensboro, NC 27407	
For information on the nursing home's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the nursing home or the state survey agency.			
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (Each deficiency must be preceded by full regulatory or LSC identifying information)		
<p>F 0658</p> <p>Level of Harm - Minimal harm or potential for actual harm</p> <p>Residents Affected - Few</p>	<p>During a telephone interview with the previous SDC on 12/2/24 at 3:48pm, the previous SDC stated she recalled one of the incidences when medication was found on a meal tray in August 2024. She explained she had received a call at home (could not remember from who) that there was medication found on a meal tray in the kitchen. The previous SDC stated she came back to the facility immediately and looked at the medication that was in the previous Dietary Managers possession to see if any of the medications were narcotics. She explained she did not remember who the medications belonged to, nor did she know what the previous Dietary Manager did with the medication. The previous SDC stated she never took the medication from the previous Dietary Manager. She explained the next thing she did was to check all the resident rooms to ensure no other medication was left in a resident room. The previous SDC stated that was all she could remember but said there should be an investigation file in the Administrator's office.</p> <p>An interview occurred with the Regional Consultant, Regional Nurse Consultant and the Director of Nursing (DON) on 12/3/24 at 9:40am. The Regional Consultant explained the previous SDC had not completed an investigation. She stated the only thing completed was a disciplinary action form for MA #3. The Regional Consultant, Regional Nurse Consultant, and the DON all stated they did not know what happened to the medication that was found and that there was never an investigation completed as to what happened to the medication, audits, further education with staff, or follow up with the residents who did not receive their medication. The DON discussed a timeline that had been completed by the Administrator. The Regional Consultant explained in November 2024, the DON realized there had been a systemic problem with medications being left at the bedside and that the DON began a performance improvement plan.</p> <p>A telephone interview occurred on 12/4/24 at 12:31pm with MA #3. MA #3 remembered leaving medication on Resident #58's meal tray. She explained when she was passing the medication, Resident #58 requested his medication be left on his tray. MA #3 stated when she returned to his room, the meal and the medication were gone. She stated Nurse #7 came to her and explained the dietary department had told her there were medications left on Resident #58's meal tray. MA #3 discussed later that day in August 2024, Unit Manager (UM) #1 counseled her and supervised one medication pass. She explained she never saw the medication that was left on the meal tray and did not know what was done with the medication after it was found by the dietary department.</p> <p>During a telephone interview with MA #4 on 12/5/24 at 2:25pm, MA #4 recalled the incident in August 2024 when there were medications left on a meal tray. She explained they belonged to Resident #12. MA #4 discussed never sending any photos to management regarding the medication. MA #4 explained she worked night shift (11:00pm to 7:00am) and never passed any medication but said management believed she had left them on Resident #12's meal tray. She stated management had given her a talking to about leaving medications on a meal tray.</p> <p>During an interview with UM #1 on 12/4/24 at 1:00pm, UM #1 stated she did not recall anyone ever telling her that there had been medication left on a meal tray back in August 2024. She also stated she did not recall ever counseling MA #3.</p> <p>DA #4 was interviewed on 12/4/24 at 1:23pm. DA #4 explained she remembered there had been two times in August where she found medications left on meal trays. She stated she could not remember the residents but stated she gave the cup of medications each time to the previous Dietary Manager. DA #4 discussed not knowing what the previous Dietary Manager did with the medications.</p> <p>(continued on next page)</p>		

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION	(X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: 345116	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. Building B. Wing	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED 12/05/2024
NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER Piedmont Hills Center for Nursing and Rehab		STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE 109 S Holden Rd Greensboro, NC 27407	

For information on the nursing home's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the nursing home or the state survey agency.

(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (Each deficiency must be preceded by full regulatory or LSC identifying information)
<p>F 0658</p> <p>Level of Harm - Minimal harm or potential for actual harm</p> <p>Residents Affected - Few</p>	<p>A telephone interview occurred with Nurse #7 on 12/5/24 at 9:18am. Nurse #7 stated she did not recall any incidences of medication being left on meal trays or receiving any medications from dietary.</p> <p>The Medical Director was interviewed on 12/5/24 at 2:37pm. The Medical Director explained he was never made aware of the incident where medications had been left on meal trays two times in August 2024. He stated staff should have identified what the medications were and notified himself or the Nurse Practitioner to see if there would have been any consequences to the resident not taking their medications. The Medical Director stated he should have been informed of the situation.</p>

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION	(X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: 345116	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. Building B. Wing	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED 12/05/2024
NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER Piedmont Hills Center for Nursing and Rehab		STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE 109 S Holden Rd Greensboro, NC 27407	
For information on the nursing home's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the nursing home or the state survey agency.			
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (Each deficiency must be preceded by full regulatory or LSC identifying information)		
<p>F 0687</p> <p>Level of Harm - Minimal harm or potential for actual harm</p> <p>Residents Affected - Few</p>	<p>Provide appropriate foot care.</p> <p>**NOTE- TERMS IN BRACKETS HAVE BEEN EDITED TO PROTECT CONFIDENTIALITY** 46725</p> <p>Based on observations, record review, and staff interviews, the facility failed to provide foot care and arrange podiatry services for 1 of 10 dependent residents reviewed for activities of daily living (ADL) care. Resident #5 was discovered to have long and jagged toenails on both feet that extended 1/4 to 1/2 beyond the tip of her toes (Resident #5).</p> <p>The findings included:</p> <p>Resident #5 was admitted on [DATE] with the diagnoses included diabetes and dementia.</p> <p>The admission Minimum Data Set (MDS) dated [DATE] indicated Resident #5 was cognitively intact, and dependent (helper does all the effort) on staff for personal hygiene.</p> <p>Resident #5's comprehensive care plans, last revised on 09/29/24, did not include interventions that addressed her need for assistance with activities of daily living.</p> <p>Review of the podiatry schedules on 7/31/24, 9/5/24, and 11/5/24 revealed no consultation report or notation was made in Resident #5's chart that she had been seen by the podiatrist or had been scheduled to be seen.</p> <p>A review of Resident #5's electronic medical record from 6/14/24 through 12/5/24 revealed no documentation that indicated Resident #5 had received toenail trimming by staff or podiatry.</p> <p>Review of Resident #5's skin assessments done by nursing on the following dates 10/3/24, 10/26/24, 11/9/24, 11/16/24 revealed there was no information documented on the assessment about the condition of Resident #5's toenails.</p> <p>An observation and interview were conducted on 12/2/24 at 10:40 AM, Resident #5 was in her room lying in bed with her bare feet exposed. The toenails on both feet were jagged and had grown approximately 1/4 to 1/2 inch beyond the tip of her toes. Resident #5 indicated that she would like to have her toenails cut but nobody had done it.</p> <p>A follow-up observation was conducted on 12/4/24 at 9:02 AM. Resident #5 was lying in bed and there was no change of condition of Resident #5's toenails</p> <p>An interview was conducted on 12/4/24 at 9:52 AM, with NA #2. She stated she had worked with Resident #5 on a regular basis, and she recalled reporting to a nurse that Resident #5's toenails were long and needed to be seen by the podiatrist. NA #2 was not able to recall the name of the staff member she reported to or how long ago it was reported.</p> <p>An interview was conducted with Social Worker (SW) #1 on 12/4/24 at 10:06 AM and indicated she was responsible for coordinating the podiatry list and did not recall receiving a podiatry referral from nursing staff for Resident #5. She also indicated that podiatry referrals can be given to her verbally or in writing by the nursing staff. Once she receives the referral for podiatry she would contact the podiatry provider with the referral information.</p> <p>(continued on next page)</p>		

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION	(X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: 345116	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. Building B. Wing	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED 12/05/2024
NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER Piedmont Hills Center for Nursing and Rehab		STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE 109 S Holden Rd Greensboro, NC 27407	
For information on the nursing home's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the nursing home or the state survey agency.			
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (Each deficiency must be preceded by full regulatory or LSC identifying information)		
<p>F 0687</p> <p>Level of Harm - Minimal harm or potential for actual harm</p> <p>Residents Affected - Few</p>	<p>An interview was conducted on 12/5/24 at 9:17 AM, with Nurse #1 who stated she had completed the skin checks for Resident #5 on 11/2/24, 11/9/24, and 11/16/24 and did not notice if foot care was needed and must have been an oversight. She further revealed she thought Resident #5 had already been referred to podiatry as she was a diabetic and would have needed a podiatrist to provide the appropriate foot care.</p> <p>An interview was conducted on 12/4/24 at 3:59 PM, with the Director of Nursing (DON) who stated the podiatrist was scheduled every 3 months and it was expected that any residents who needed podiatry service be added to the schedule. She said the nurse aides were responsible for reporting to nursing when resident's toenails were extremely long or sharp, and/or needed podiatry to trim/cut the nails. The DON further stated the nurses were responsible for completing the weekly full body assessments which would include the condition of resident's toenails. The interview further revealed the nurses were responsible for notifying the SW verbally or in writing when a resident required podiatry services. The DON further revealed that Resident #5 was a diabetic and therefore should have been referred to the podiatrist for services and felt it was an oversight by the nursing staff.</p>		

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION	(X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: 345116	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. Building B. Wing	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED 12/05/2024
NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER Piedmont Hills Center for Nursing and Rehab		STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE 109 S Holden Rd Greensboro, NC 27407	
For information on the nursing home's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the nursing home or the state survey agency.			
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (Each deficiency must be preceded by full regulatory or LSC identifying information)		
<p>F 0695</p> <p>Level of Harm - Minimal harm or potential for actual harm</p> <p>Residents Affected - Few</p>	<p>Provide safe and appropriate respiratory care for a resident when needed.</p> <p>**NOTE- TERMS IN BRACKETS HAVE BEEN EDITED TO PROTECT CONFIDENTIALITY** 31146</p> <p>Based on observation, record review, and staff interview and physician interviews, the facility failed to follow physician orders for oxygen administration for 2 of 4 sampled residents reviewed for respiratory care (Resident #40 and Resident #14).</p> <p>The findings included:</p> <p>1. Resident #40 was admitted to the facility on [DATE] with a diagnosis that included Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD), respiratory failure and vascular dementia.</p> <p>Physician order dated 5/16/24 stated continuous oxygen at 2 liters via nasal cannula (NC) and as needed (PRN) to maintain {oxygen} saturation (SATS) greater than 90%.</p> <p>Care plan last revised 6/15/24 stated Resident #40 had oxygen therapy. The goal stated Resident #40 would have no signs or symptoms of poor oxygen absorption. The interventions included provide oxygen per physician order.</p> <p>Review of quarterly Minimum Data Set (MDS) assessment dated [DATE] indicated Resident #40 had moderate cognitive impairment and received oxygen. She required extensive assistance with bed mobility and had no rejection of care coded during the look back period.</p> <p>Review of Resident #40's vital signs for 12/2/24, 12/3/24 and 12/4/24 revealed her oxygen SATS to be greater 90%.</p> <p>Resident #40 was observed on 12/2/24 at 10:27 AM. She was observed to be laying in bed and receiving oxygen via NC. The oxygen concentrator was observed to be set at .5 liters. Resident #40 had no signs or symptoms of respiratory distress.</p> <p>Resident #40 was observed on 12/3/24 at 3:44 PM revealed Resident #40 to have oxygen via NC. The oxygen concentrator was observed to be set at .5 liters. During the observation, Resident #40 showed no signs or symptoms of respiratory distress.</p> <p>Resident #40 was observed on 12/4/24 at 8:54 AM. She was observed to laying in bed and receiving oxygen via NC. The oxygen concentrator was observed to be set at .5 liters. Resident #40 had no signs or symptoms of respiratory distress.</p> <p>Interview with Nurse #2 on 12/4/24 at 11:00 AM indicated she had entered Resident #40's room in the morning of 12/4/24. She stated she observed Resident #40's oxygen to be set at .5 liters. She stated when she observed the oxygen was not set according to the physician order, she adjusted Resident #40's oxygen concentrator to 2 liters. Nurse #2 further stated Resident #40 would not be able to adjust her oxygen setting independently.</p> <p>Interview with the Director of Nursing (DON) on 12/4/4 at 11:00 AM revealed nurses should check supplemental oxygen settings daily.</p> <p>(continued on next page)</p>		

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION	(X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: 345116	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. Building B. Wing	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED 12/05/2024
NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER Piedmont Hills Center for Nursing and Rehab		STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE 109 S Holden Rd Greensboro, NC 27407	
For information on the nursing home's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the nursing home or the state survey agency.			
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (Each deficiency must be preceded by full regulatory or LSC identifying information)		
<p>F 0695</p> <p>Level of Harm - Minimal harm or potential for actual harm</p> <p>Residents Affected - Few</p>	<p>In a follow up interview with DON on 12/5/24 at 3:28 PM revealed nursing staff should ensure residents oxygen orders were followed as written by the physician.</p> <p>Interview with the Physician on 12/5/24 at 2:59 PM stated it was his expectation that staff follow physician orders as written until they were modified or discontinued. He stated Resident #40 had no STATS lower than 90% due to receiving .5 liters of oxygen.</p> <p>39613</p> <p>2. Resident #14 admitted to the facility on [DATE]. Resident #14's diagnoses included chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), congestive heart failure, and anxiety disorder.</p> <p>Resident #14 had a physician order in place dated 11/27/2023 which read in part: continuous oxygen at 2 liters.</p> <p>Resident #14 had a care plan in place revised on 4/24/2024 related to oxygen therapy for COPD. Interventions included oxygen settings via nasal cannula at 2 liters per minute continuously.</p> <p>Resident #14's annual Minimum Data Set (MDS) assessment dated [DATE] revealed she was cognitively intact, no mood or behaviors indicated and received oxygen therapy.</p> <p>An observation on 12/02/2024 at 10:26 AM revealed Resident #14 sitting edge of bed. Resident #14 had her nasal cannula (NC) in her nares. An observation completed of the in-room oxygen concentrator revealed the oxygen setting at 3.5 liters (L). No signs or symptoms of distress observed.</p> <p>A follow up observation of Resident #14 was completed on 12/02/2024 at 12:36 PM which revealed Resident #14's in-room oxygen concentrator setting remained at 3.5L. Resident #14 was eating lunch at this time. No signs or symptoms of distress noted.</p> <p>Resident #14 was observed at breakfast on 12/03/2024 09:38 AM which revealed her in-room oxygen concentrator remained at 3.5L. No signs or symptoms of distress noted.</p> <p>An interview with Medication Aide (MA) #5 was completed on 12/04/2024 at 10:08 AM. MA #5 stated Resident #14 received supplemental oxygen and was compliant with her supplemental oxygen. MA #5 further stated Resident #14 did not adjust her in-room oxygen concentrator settings at will. MA #5 verbalized Resident #14 should be on 2 or 3 liters of supplemental oxygen. MA #5 verified the physician order in the electronic medication administration record (eMAR) which revealed Resident #14 was ordered continuous oxygen at 2L via nasal cannula.</p> <p>An observation with Medication Aide (MA) #5 was completed on 12/04/2024 at 10:11 AM. MA #5 observed the in-room oxygen concentrator setting at 3.5L. MA #5 was observed to adjust the in-room oxygen concentrator setting to 2L per the physician order. MA #5 explained nurse aides (NA) do not adjust oxygen settings. Nurses or the assigned MA were responsible for checking and ensuring the residents were on the correct ordered liter. MA #5 stated her process was to check the settings when delivering medications and if the resident verbalized they were not feeling any air flowing. MA #5 did not recall when she last checked Resident #14's in-room oxygen concentrator settings.</p> <p>(continued on next page)</p>		

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION	(X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: 345116	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. Building B. Wing	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED 12/05/2024
NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER Piedmont Hills Center for Nursing and Rehab		STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE 109 S Holden Rd Greensboro, NC 27407	
For information on the nursing home's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the nursing home or the state survey agency.			
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (Each deficiency must be preceded by full regulatory or LSC identifying information)		
<p>F 0695</p> <p>Level of Harm - Minimal harm or potential for actual harm</p> <p>Residents Affected - Few</p>	<p>An interview was completed with Resident #14 on 12/04/2024 at 10:15 AM. Resident #14 verbalized she had received supplemental oxygen for a long time since being at the facility. She stated the oxygen setting should have been at 2L and was not certain when the setting changed. Resident #14 verbalized that she did not manipulate her in-room oxygen concentrator settings.</p> <p>An interview with Unit Manager #1 on 12/04/2024 10:30 AM revealed nurses should be monitoring their residents on supplemental oxygen and ensuring the in-room oxygen concentrators were on the correct ordered liter. NAs were not responsible for manipulating oxygen settings or monitoring. Nurses should be checking the in-room oxygen concentrators every shift to make sure the correct ordered liter was still in place for their residents on supplemental oxygen. Unit Manager #1 verbalized she had not seen Resident #14 manipulate her oxygen settings on her in-room oxygen concentrator.</p> <p>An interview with the Director of Nursing (DON) on 12/04/2024 at 11:00 AM stated nurses should be checking supplemental oxygen settings daily to ensure residents were on the correct ordered liter.</p> <p>An interview with the Physician was completed on 12/05/2024 at 3:17 PM. The Physician explained Resident #14's in-room oxygen concentrator should have been set at the correct ordered liter. The Physician continued to state if Resident #14 required an increase, then he could have assessed her.</p>		

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION	(X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: 345116	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. Building B. Wing	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED 12/05/2024
NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER Piedmont Hills Center for Nursing and Rehab		STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE 109 S Holden Rd Greensboro, NC 27407	

For information on the nursing home's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the nursing home or the state survey agency.

(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (Each deficiency must be preceded by full regulatory or LSC identifying information)
<p>F 0806</p> <p>Level of Harm - Minimal harm or potential for actual harm</p> <p>Residents Affected - Few</p>	<p>Ensure each resident receives and the facility provides food that accommodates resident allergies, intolerances, and preferences, as well as appealing options.</p> <p>**NOTE- TERMS IN BRACKETS HAVE BEEN EDITED TO PROTECT CONFIDENTIALITY** 38920</p> <p>Based on observation, record review, and resident and staff interviews, the facility failed to provide a resident with an alternate preference during the lunch meal for 1 of 4 residents (Resident #12) reviewed for food preferences.</p> <p>The findings included:</p> <p>Resident #12 was admitted to the facility on [DATE] with multiple diagnoses that included stage 3 pressure ulcer and diabetes.</p> <p>The quarterly Minimum Data Set (MDS) dated [DATE] revealed Resident #12 was cognitively intact and was independent with eating. Resident #12 was documented as being on a therapeutic diet.</p> <p>Resident #12 was interviewed on 12/2/24 at 10:32am. The resident discussed not liking the food at the facility. She explained she would often ask for an alternate meal or a sandwich and would not receive any alternate or sandwich. Resident #12 stated that is why I keep food in my room and pointed to a shelf that had canned food.</p> <p>The lunch meal was observed with Resident #12 on 12/2/24 at 12:15pm. Nursing Assistant (NA) #3 was observed to provide Resident #12 with her lunch tray. Resident #12 requested a ham and cheese sandwich because she did not like her meal. NA #3 was observed telling Resident #12 she would go to the kitchen and get her sandwich.</p> <p>At 2:55pm on 12/2/24 Resident #12 was observed in the hallway in her wheelchair. Resident #12 stated she never received any lunch today and was hungry. The resident explained the sandwich she had asked for was never brought to her, so she had nothing to eat for lunch.</p> <p>During an interview with NA #3 on 12/2/24 at 3:00pm, the NA confirmed she had been the NA who had requested the sandwich from the kitchen for Resident #12. She explained she went to the kitchen right after Resident #12 told her that she wanted a ham and cheese sandwich and informed one of the dietary aides. She stated she could not remember who the dietary aide was. NA #3 stated she was unaware Resident #12 never received her lunch and thought the kitchen staff would have brought the resident her sandwich.</p> <p>An interview with Dietary Aide #1 occurred on 12/2/24 at 3:15pm. Dietary Aide stated he was the one who was told Resident #12 wanted a ham and cheese sandwich for lunch. Dietary Aide #1 produced the wrapped ham and cheese sandwich that he stated he made for Resident #12. He explained he thought NA #3 would come back and deliver the sandwich to Resident #12 and was unaware this did not happen until now. Dietary Aide #1 stated he was also unaware Resident #12 did not receive anything for lunch and questioned the surveyor if he should offer Resident #12 something to eat now. The surveyor informed Dietary Aide #1 Resident #12 was hungry, so Dietary Aide #1 was observed to approach Resident #12 and asked the resident if she wanted her sandwich. Resident #12 was heard telling Dietary Aide #1 she would like her sandwich because she was hungry.</p> <p>(continued on next page)</p>

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION	(X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: 345116	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. Building B. Wing	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED 12/05/2024
NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER Piedmont Hills Center for Nursing and Rehab		STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE 109 S Holden Rd Greensboro, NC 27407	
For information on the nursing home's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the nursing home or the state survey agency.			
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (Each deficiency must be preceded by full regulatory or LSC identifying information)		
<p>F 0806</p> <p>Level of Harm - Minimal harm or potential for actual harm</p> <p>Residents Affected - Few</p>	<p>Observation of Resident #12 occurred on 12/2/24 at 3:30pm. Resident #12 was observed eating her sandwich she had requested.</p> <p>The Administrator was interviewed on 12/2/24 at 3:18pm. The Administrator discussed the floor staff and dietary staff working together to ensure that residents received requested food items. She stated she would have expected the floor staff to ensure the residents receive a lunch meal.</p> <p>During an interview with the Assistant Dietary Manager on 12/5/24 at 10:18am, the Assistant Dietary Manager explained if a resident wanted an alternate meal, then the NA or a dietary staff would ask the resident what they wanted and if the kitchen had the food available, they would fix the resident what they requested. She further explained, once the food was prepared the Dietary Aide or the Assistant Dietary Manager would deliver the requested food items to the resident. The Assistant Dietary Manager stated when NA #3 requested the ham and cheese sandwich for Resident #12, the NA did not provide the Dietary Aide with the information of who the sandwich was for, so they were unable to provide the meal to Resident #12.</p>		