

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION	(X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: 505289	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. Building B. Wing	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED 03/26/2026
NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER Birch Creek Post Acute & Rehabilitation		STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE 5601 S Orchard Street Tacoma, WA 98409	
For information on the nursing home's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the nursing home or the state survey agency.			
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (Each deficiency must be preceded by full regulatory or LSC identifying information)		
<p>F 0689</p> <p>Level of Harm - Actual harm</p> <p>Residents Affected - Few</p> <p>Note: The nursing home is disputing this citation.</p>	<p>Ensure that a nursing home area is free from accident hazards and provides adequate supervision to prevent accidents.</p> <p>**NOTE- TERMS IN BRACKETS HAVE BEEN EDITED TO PROTECT CONFIDENTIALITY** Based on interview and record review the facility failed to provide adequate supervision, identify fall trends and implement progressive resident centered interventions for 1 of 3 residents (Resident 6) reviewed for falls. Resident 6, who had 5 falls since [DATE] and a decline in their strength/condition, experienced harm when they fell and broke their ankle that required transfer to the emergency department for evaluation and treatment. This failure placed residents at risk of repeated falls and injuries. Findings included. Review of the facility Falls and Fall Risk Management policy, dated [DATE], showed staff would identify interventions related to residents' specific risks and causes to try to prevent the resident from falling and to try to minimize complications from falling. Review of the admission Minimum Data Set (MDS - an assessment tool), dated [DATE], showed Resident 6 was independent with transfers, required supervision walking up to 50 feet, was occasionally incontinent of urine, had fallen prior to admission and had one noninjury fall in the facility since their admission on [DATE]. The Care Area Assessment (CAA), dated [DATE], showed Resident 6 was at the facility related to cancer which put the resident at risk for pain, falls, and ADL (Activities of Daily Living) decline. According to the CAA, falls and urinary incontinence would be addressed in the care plan with the overall objective of improvement and to minimize risks. The rationale documented was for staff to assist with ADLS at routine intervals and as needed. Resident was working with therapies for strengthening, gait training, and ADL retraining. Verbal reminders will be provided as needed for the resident to use call lights and wait for staff assistance. Review of the Care Plan (CP), dated [DATE], showed no urinary or ADL care plan, and no plan for toileting. The CP did address that Resident 6 was at risk for falls and instructed staff to remind the resident to use their call light to ask for assistance with ADLS. In addition, the resident should wear footwear to prevent slipping, bedside table/items within reach and bed locked in lowest position. Review of the resident's record showed that on [DATE], Resident 6 fell next to their bed. According to the resident they slipped. The environment was noted to have two sets of shoes next to bedside where the resident fell, there was water on the floor, and the resident's socks were slightly askew from gripper area. The area was cleaned up and extra shoes placed into closet, water cleaned up, and socks were replaced with new ones. Review of the Incident Investigation, dated [DATE], showed the investigation identified environmental factors of clutter, crowding, and items on the floor, and that the resident was using their own skid socks and the walker was in place, but the CP was updated with new intervention to encourage use of their walker with transfers and ambulation. Review of the Fall CP showed a [DATE] additions to remind the resident to use their FWW [front wheeled walker] to perform ADLS. Two additional interventions were added on [DATE], both of which were the same as the original CP interventions, non-skid socks while out of bed, place bed in lowest position while out of bed. During an interview on [DATE] at 3:06 PM, when asked where the walker was located during the fall that occurred on [DATE], Staff B, interim Director of Nursing, stated they didn't know as they were not at the facility at that time, and added that maybe Resident 6 was trying to walk without their assistive device. Review of the Quarterly MDS, dated [DATE], showed Resident 6 had moderate cognitive impairment, was assessed as independent with (continued on next page)</p>		

Any deficiency statement ending with an asterisk (*) denotes a deficiency which the institution may be excused from correcting providing it is determined that other safeguards provide sufficient protection to the patients. (See instructions.) Except for nursing homes, the findings stated above are disclosable 90 days following the date of survey whether or not a plan of correction is provided. For nursing homes, the above findings and plans of correction are disclosable 14 days following the date these documents are made available to the facility. If deficiencies are cited, an approved plan of correction is requisite to continued program participation.

LABORATORY DIRECTOR'S OR PROVIDER/SUPPLIER REPRESENTATIVE'S SIGNATURE	TITLE	(X6) DATE
---	-------	-----------

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION	(X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: 505289	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. Building B. Wing	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED 03/26/2026
NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER Birch Creek Post Acute & Rehabilitation		STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE 5601 S Orchard Street Tacoma, WA 98409	
For information on the nursing home's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the nursing home or the state survey agency.			
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (Each deficiency must be preceded by full regulatory or LSC identifying information)		
<p>F 0689</p> <p>Level of Harm - Actual harm</p> <p>Residents Affected - Few</p> <p>Note: The nursing home is disputing this citation.</p>	<p>mobility and toileting, always continent, did not have a condition or chronic disease that would result in a life expectancy of less than six months, and had one non-injury fall since the previous MDS. Review of progress notes showed on [DATE] at 1:00 AM, Resident 6 was found lying on the floor next to their bed. Upon questioning, the resident stated they were getting out of bed to go use the restroom and fell on the floor. The resident's brief was dry. Staff assisted the resident to the bathroom and back to bed. Review of the [DATE] incident investigation showed they concluded the resident was becoming increasingly weaker and had poor insight into their limitations. Staff educated the resident to request assistance as needed. Planned interventions to prevent reoccurrence included keeping wheelchair close by and accessible to resident. During an interview on [DATE] at 3:06 PM, Staff B stated Resident 6 was educated to ask for assistance because they attempted to go to the toilet by themselves. Additionally, Staff B stated they had an Interdisciplinary Team (IDT) meeting regarding the resident's frequent falls. Review of the Risk Review Note, dated [DATE], showed the resident was reviewed because they had a fall in the previous 7-day lookback. Interventions in place were bedside table within reach, footwear to prevent slipping, environment free of clutter, nonskid socks while out of bed. Identified risk factors were progressive disease process of cancer, resident recently decompensated, is also doing radiation treatment. New interventions were planned to keep front wheel walker and wheelchair accessible while in the room. During an interview on [DATE] at 1:38 PM, Staff E, Director of Rehabilitation, stated they were in the IDT meeting, and they tried to discourage Resident 6 from using their walker, to use the wheelchair instead, but Resident 6 always insisted on getting up and walking. Review of the Fall CP, dated [DATE], showed the intervention related to placement of the wheelchair was not added as planned, but the care plan was revised [DATE] to place the bed in lowest position while resident is in bed. Review of an incident investigation, dated [DATE], showed Resident 6 had an unwitnessed fall in their bathroom around 7:00 AM. Resident 6 was found lying on the floor in the bathroom, on their back, with their wheelchair about two feet behind them. Resident 6 stated they were standing to use the toilet and fell backwards. The conclusion written stated the resident was alert but had some confusion, per nurse, the resident was found with skin tears which were treated. The resident had history of cancer and had some weakness which may have contributed to the fall. Per staff, the resident was also known to be impulsive with poor safety awareness. The resident had several interventions put in place including use of proper footwear, non-skid socks, bed in lowest position, items within reach. Per staff interventions at this time included education to request assistance as needed. Review of the Post Fall Assessment, dated [DATE], showed Resident 6 sustained three skin tears. Review of the Fall CP showed on [DATE] the intervention was added to provide resident education regarding safe transfers, ambulation and assistive device use. During an interview on [DATE] at 3:06 PM, Staff B stated staff provided additional education and reorientation to request assistance probably because they were not using the call bell. Review of Progress note, dated [DATE] at 6:05 PM, showed Resident 6 was found in their room, close to the bathroom door. Staff assisted the resident to their wheelchair and then into bed. The post fall assessment showed the resident sustained a skin tear to their left elbow. Review of the investigation, dated [DATE], showed the resident stated their socks were slippery so they fell walking to the bathroom. The conclusion was the resident was noted with impulsive behavior, attempting to stand or use bathroom on their own without assistance, but resident had weakness which inhibited their ability to complete ADLs on their own such as using the bathroom. The above was deduced to have resulted in the fall. Interventions include ensuring resident has proper foot wear when ambulating and gripper socks if footwear is off. Review of the Fall CP showed, on [DATE], the CP was revised to ensure the resident wore shoes when ambulating and provide resident with gripper socks. Review of progress notes, dated [DATE] at 7:22 AM, showed the resident was found in the bathroom on the floor with both legs around the toilet, holding onto the grab bar with their right hand, leaning up against the wall. Resident 6 stated they were in the bathroom taking a pee when they fell. Stated it was their left ankle that made them fall. The staff assisted the (continued on next page)</p>		

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION	(X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: 505289	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. Building B. Wing	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED 03/26/2026
NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER Birch Creek Post Acute & Rehabilitation		STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE 5601 S Orchard Street Tacoma, WA 98409	
For information on the nursing home's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the nursing home or the state survey agency.			
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (Each deficiency must be preceded by full regulatory or LSC identifying information)		
<p>F 0689</p> <p>Level of Harm - Actual harm</p> <p>Residents Affected - Few</p> <p>Note: The nursing home is disputing this citation.</p>	<p>resident up into a wheelchair and transferred into bed. Resident reeducated on the importance of the use of call light for any assistance with transfers, resident with understanding at this time. Intervention for nursing staff to round on resident frequently to assisted resident to bathroom, limiting self-transfers and falls. Discussed plan with resident, and resident agreeable. Review of the Fall CP, dated [DATE], showed neither of the interventions regarding frequent rounding and toileting were implemented as planned. During an interview on [DATE] at 3:06 PM, Staff B stated while reading the investigation, showed the facility were not able to do any additional interventions because the resident was transported to the hospital. Review of the [DATE] fall investigation conclusion showed statements obtained from residents aide indicates resident was observed sleeping in his bed less than 30 minutes prior to incident, at which time resident only requested a blanket and did not request to use bathroom. Resident also did not make use of call bell which further indicates resident may have impulsively decided to use restroom on his own, resulting in fall. Previous interventions put in place include keeping items within reach, making sure resident had proper foot wear and non skid socks when appropriate. Education and reorientation given, as additional intervention. Review of the census showed the resident was in the bed furthest away from the bathroom during their whole stay at the facility. Resident 6 fell after getting up to go to the bathroom on [DATE], fell in the bathroom on [DATE], found [DATE] on the floor close to the bathroom door, and on [DATE] found in the bathroom on the floor. None of the facility investigations identified this trend or addressed toileting options. Review of the Comprehensive CP, dated [DATE], showed no toileting plan. A [DATE] Nursing Note showed Resident 6 had an X-ray taken and they had a left ankle fracture. The provider was notified and the resident sent to the emergency room for further evaluation. Review of the discharge return anticipated MDS, dated [DATE], showed the resident had an unplanned hospital transfer, was occasionally incontinent of urine, did not have a condition or chronic disease that would result in a life expectancy of less than six months, and sustained two or more falls since last MDS, one with a minor injury and one with a major injury. Review of Emergency Department (ED) notes, dated [DATE] at 6:56 AM, showed the resident was seen in the emergency department yesterday for evaluation of left ankle pain after a fall. Patient denied head injury or loss of consciousness. ED workup notable for acute mildly displaced fracture of the left distal fibula. A splint was placed on patient's left lower extremity and he was advised to be non weightbearing on the left lower extremity. He was referred to follow-up with orthopedic surgery as outpatient. Patient was discharged back to his care facility. However, he became agitated and aggressive with EMS during transport and therefore brought back to the emergency department for assistance in management of aggressive behavior. Patient arrived in the ED agitated, combative, confused. He was placed in soft restraints and received medications for agitation. Record review showed Resident 6 readmitted to the facility on [DATE]. A [DATE] provider note showed the resident had multiple medical conditions, including cancer, recently hospitalized for acute encephalopathy, ground-level fall found to have an acute mildly displaced right ankle fracture and pelvic fractures. Hospital course complicated by delirium with agitation and increased pain, requiring pharmacological restraints. Orthopedic was consulted for ankle and pelvic fracture but recommended against any surgical interventions. Palliative care was consulted and patient opted for hospice care. He was discharged to the facility with plan for hospice care services. Resident was deceased in the early hours of the morning on [DATE]. During an interview on [DATE] at 2:26 PM, Resident 17 stated Resident 6 would get up to go to the bathroom and trip. Often their underwear would be around their ankle. Resident 17 stated they would call out for staff, and they would come, put Resident 6 into bed and remind them to call before getting up. When asked if Resident 6 would call, Resident 17 replied, No. During an interview on [DATE] at 2:38 PM, Staff G, Licensed Practical Nurse (LPN), stated staff were working with Resident 6 to use the call light to go to the bathroom, but Resident 6 was used to being independent and doing things themselves, so they would get up. Staff G stated Resident 6 could demonstrate how to use the call light, they just wouldn't use it. During an interview on [DATE] at 12:01 PM, Staff F, Resident Care Manager, stated most of the time, Resident (continued on next page)</p>		

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION	(X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: 505289	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. Building B. Wing	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED 03/26/2026
NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER Birch Creek Post Acute & Rehabilitation		STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE 5601 S Orchard Street Tacoma, WA 98409	
For information on the nursing home's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the nursing home or the state survey agency.			
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (Each deficiency must be preceded by full regulatory or LSC identifying information)		
<p>F 0689</p> <p>Level of Harm - Actual harm</p> <p>Residents Affected - Few</p> <p>Note: The nursing home is disputing this citation.</p>	<p>6 was falling trying to go to the bathroom. When asked what interventions were implemented, Staff F stated they were having the nursing assistants (NAs) go into the room and offer to assist Resident 6 to use the bathroom. Staff F was informed that intervention was not on the care plan, and when asked how the NAs would know to do that, Staff F replied, We talk to them. During an interview on [DATE] at 1:38 PM, Staff E stated they were working with Resident 6 on walking and safety with transfers. Staff E noted that Resident 6 was impulsive with wanting to get to the bathroom. Staff E stated as Resident 6's body declined they had increased weakness and staff encouraged the resident to use the call light, which according to Staff E, the resident used, occasionally. During an interview on [DATE] at 3:06 PM, Staff B stated with Resident 6 that it would be difficult to ensure they did not fall without providing one-on-one supervision. Even if staff were to ask Resident 6 if they needed to go to the bathroom, they would say no and then impulsively would get up without calling for assistance. During an interview on [DATE] at 4:35 PM, Staff A, Administrator, stated they did not feel there were any meaningful interventions that could have been implemented to minimize Resident 6's fall risk as the root cause was cancer; treatments and subsequent adverse effects. Reference WAC 388-97-1060(3)(g).</p>		

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION	(X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: 505289	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. Building B. Wing	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED 03/26/2026
NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER Birch Creek Post Acute & Rehabilitation		STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE 5601 S Orchard Street Tacoma, WA 98409	
For information on the nursing home's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the nursing home or the state survey agency.			
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (Each deficiency must be preceded by full regulatory or LSC identifying information)		
<p>F 0690</p> <p>Level of Harm - Actual harm</p> <p>Residents Affected - Few</p> <p>Note: The nursing home is disputing this citation.</p>	<p>Provide appropriate care for residents who are continent or incontinent of bowel/bladder, appropriate catheter care, and appropriate care to prevent urinary tract infections.</p> <p>**NOTE- TERMS IN BRACKETS HAVE BEEN EDITED TO PROTECT CONFIDENTIALITY** Based on interview and record review, the facility failed to ensure 1 of 3 residents (Resident 1) reviewed for urinary catheters (a flexible tube inserted into the bladder through the urethra to drain urine) were assessed for complications associated with indwelling urinary catheters. Failure of the facility to coordinate with urology and monitor for urinary retention following catheter removal, resulted in harm to Resident 1 when they experienced prolonged pain and discomfort as evidenced by crying out and a change in level of consciousness. Findings included. Review of Taber's Cyclopedic Medical Dictionary, 19th edition, showed a bladder has a normal storage capacity of approximately 500 milliliters (ML). Review of the undated Urinary Catheter Care Policy; staff would observe the resident for complications associated with urinary catheters. If the resident indicates that their bladder is full or that they need to urinate, notify the physician or supervisor. Report any complaints a resident may have of burning, tenderness, or pain. <RESIDENT 1> Review of the Minimum Data Set (MDS - an assessment tool), dated 11/26/2025, showed Resident 1 was frequently incontinent of urine, and required substantial assistance with toileting hygiene. Resident 1 was hospitalized [DATE]-[DATE]. Review of the MDS dated [DATE], showed Resident 1 had an indwelling urinary catheter with a diagnosis of obstructive uropathy (a condition where urine flow is blocked, causing it to back up and potentially damage one or both kidneys). Review of a hospital discharge note, dated 12/03/2025, showed the resident had acute kidney injury due to urinary retention, which improved after urinary catheter placement. Discharge instructions included to follow-up with urology for urinary retention and given the resident's significant retention and Acute Renal Failure (ARF), staff should not be attempting Foley removal in the Skilled Nursing Facility. Review of the hospital discharge orders, dated 12/03/2025, showed the indication for catheter use was Acute Urinary Retention, the catheter was placed on 12/03/2025 and was deemed permanent. The orders directed urinary catheter management per nursing protocol. Review of the Catheter Care Plan (CP), dated 12/03/2026, showed the staff were directed to empty catheter as needed and record MLs. Review of the last 30 days of documentation for bladder elimination showed Continence not rated due to indwelling catheter. Further review of the nursing assistant documentation and the licensed nurse documentation on the February 2026 and March 2026 Treatment Administration Records (TAR) showed no documented urinary output in MLs. During an interview on 03/26/2026 at 11:50 AM, Staff F, Resident Care Manager (RCM), reviewed Resident 1's medical record for documented output in MLs and stated, I don't see any. Review of an appointment reminder, dated 12/31/2025, showed Resident 1 had a Urology appointment scheduled for 02/26/2026 at 9:00 AM. Review of progress notes showed a Nursing Note, dated 02/26/2026 at 11:44 AM, that Resident 1 returned from the appointment with no new orders. An Alert Note, timed 2:24 PM, showed the urinary catheter was discontinued at the appointment and staff were monitoring for retention or pain. Review of the progress notes showed no documented bladder assessment, or urinary output. Review of Bladder Elimination documentation by Nursing Assistants showed documentation on 02/26/2026 at 9:10 PM, that Resident 1 did not void on evening shift. Review of the February 2026 Treatment Administration Record (TAR) showed nursing staff documented provision of catheter care on 02/26/2026 second shift, on 02/27/2026 first and second shift, when there was not a catheter in place. An Alert Note, dated 02/28/2026 at 4:18 AM, showed Resident 1 had emesis (vomited) twice (02/27/2026 at 5:00 PM, and 02/28/2026 at 3:30 AM). The provider was notified and SBAR (Situation, Background, Appearance, Review and Notify) reported at 4:00 AM. Review of the SBAR, dated 02/28/2026, showed the resident's pulse was high at 119 beats per minute, Respiratory rate was high at 20 breaths per minute, the resident had an altered level of consciousness, with edema (swelling), nausea and/or vomiting and decreased urine output. Review of a Nursing Note, dated 02/28/2026 at 5:07 PM, showed Resident 1 was in bed and lethargic, unable to (continued on next page)</p>		

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION	(X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: 505289	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. Building B. Wing	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED 03/26/2026
NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER Birch Creek Post Acute & Rehabilitation		STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE 5601 S Orchard Street Tacoma, WA 98409	
For information on the nursing home's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the nursing home or the state survey agency.			
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (Each deficiency must be preceded by full regulatory or LSC identifying information)		
<p>F 0690</p> <p>Level of Harm - Actual harm</p> <p>Residents Affected - Few</p> <p>Note: The nursing home is disputing this citation.</p>	<p>eat meals and drink medications. Vital signs at noon showed pulse in the 120s, a low Blood Pressure of 99/70. Provider was onsite and assessed Resident 1. Resident 1 did not urinate during the shift. Bladder scan was completed and noted greater than 2000 ML. Indwelling urinary catheter was inserted by treatment nurse, noted 1000 ML. Review of the meal monitor showed Resident 1 ate less than 25% of dinner on 02/27/2026, refused breakfast, lunch and dinner on 02/28/2026. Review of the provider note on 02/28/2026 at 9:32 PM, showed the provider spoke to the daytime nursing staff and it appeared the resident had not eaten anything since the prior night before leaving to go to the hospital yesterday morning at 8:00 AM, to remove the urinary catheter and has not been able to hold down any fluids with intermittent nausea/vomiting. When asked if the resident had any wet depends (incontinence brief) today, the provider was told no, and staff were unsure if night shift reported resident urinating in their depends. Nursing staff were asked to scan the resident's bladder which revealed over 2500 ML of urine. Nursing staff were directed to place a urinary catheter and give fluids intravenously (IV- fluids injected into a vein) due to severe dehydration. Prior to leaving facility the resident had put out over 800 ML of urine. The provider spoke to the Director of Nursing (DON) who showed documentation that the resident had a wet incontinence brief at 5:00 AM, but no wet diapers since their arrival back from the hospital yesterday afternoon. The provider advised the DON that they were unsure if the documented output was correct due to the resident having so much urine in their bladder during the scan, and that it should require further investigation. Review of progress notes dated 03/01/2026 at 5:30 AM, and 7:01 PM, showed Resident 1 was moaning, staff offered pain medication. Review of a note, dated 03/01/2026 at 3:17 PM, showed there was 300 ML in the urine bag. Staff C, Registered Nurse (RN), documented they flushed the catheter and replaced it an hour later due to continued complaints from Resident 1. A 03/02/2026 late entry showed Resident 1's roommate reported concerns with resident's urinary care. Resident noted with a urology office visit on 02/26/2026, catheter was removed at clinic. Resident 1 returned to facility with no follow-up instructions or orders. Resident placed on alert and monitoring by nurses with documentation on 02/26/2026 and 02/27/2026 with no adverse effects noted. However, Resident 1 had a change of condition on 02/27/2026 on night shift leading into 02/28/2026 day shift. Resident 1 was assessed by provider and noted with urinary retention, catheter was reinserted and IV fluids started. Investigation initiated. Resident now at baseline making needs known. Review of the investigation documents showed the investigation was not initiated until 03/02/2026, following the roommate's concerns, not on 02/28/2026 following the provider's concerns as requested. The care plan was revised on 03/05/2026 to add instructions to monitor signs of urinary retention or bladder discomfort and report changes to the provider. During an interview on 03/20/2026 at 11:17 AM, Resident 2 stated they noticed Resident 1 had not been peeing and commented the day before Resident 1 had their catheter removed at their appointment. Resident 2 stated Resident 1 was moaning and so they told the nurse, Staff C, that Resident 1 didn't feel well, was moaning in pain, and something was just not right. Resident 2 stated the provider saw Resident 1, ordered an ultrasound and said they were surprised Resident 1's bladder didn't burst and the aids should have known. They put a catheter in, and Resident 1 was okay. The next day, there was only 1/4 of a bag of urine, about two inches, and there should have been more urine overnight. Resident 2 was moaning, placing their hands over their stomach. Resident 2 stated they told Staff C and Staff D, Certified Nursing Assistant (NAC). Resident 2 stated Staff C flushed the catheter. During an interview on 03/20/2026 at 11:35 AM, Resident 1 stated the catheter was taken out at their appointment, and three days later it was put back in. Resident 1 stated, I couldn't help crying out. During an interview on 03/20/2026 at 12:08 PM, Staff C stated Resident 1's roommate alerted multiple people, said the catheter was not working properly, but it was draining. Staff C stated they had got another nurse to assist them to put the catheter back in. Staff C stated there was nothing wrong with the catheter, they took it out and put it in another one and there was no gushing of a large amount of urine. Staff C stated it all occurred on the same shift. During an interview on 03/20/2026 at 12:28 PM, Staff D stated they were working evening shift (continued on next page)</p>		

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION	(X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: 505289	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. Building B. Wing	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED 03/26/2026
NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER Birch Creek Post Acute & Rehabilitation		STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE 5601 S Orchard Street Tacoma, WA 98409	
For information on the nursing home's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the nursing home or the state survey agency.			
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (Each deficiency must be preceded by full regulatory or LSC identifying information)		
<p>F 0690</p> <p>Level of Harm - Actual harm</p> <p>Residents Affected - Few</p> <p>Note: The nursing home is disputing this citation.</p>	<p>when Resident 2 raised questions about Resident 1's catheter not draining. Staff D stated when they checked the catheter bag there was no fluid, no urine coming out. Staff D said they notified Staff C repeatedly. Later Staff C tried to flush the catheter, but it did not work. So, Staff D called for another nurse, and they put in another catheter and then it was able to drain the urine. During an interview on 03/26/2026 at 11:50 AM, Staff F, RCM, stated if a resident returned from an appointment without any directives the staff would ask the resident and/or call the provider and see if there were any updates or new orders. Staff F stated typically when an urinary catheter is taken out they implement orders for Post Void Residuals (PVRs - determine how much urine is left in the bladder after voiding) every shift and if greater than 300 MLs, then straight catheter (insert a single-use tube to empty the bladder and immediately remove). On the third straight catheter the nurse would notify the provider and put the urinary catheter back in. During an interview on 03/26/2026 at 3:35 PM, Staff B, interim Director of Nursing, stated they would expect staff to try to reach the urologist's office to obtain orders, put on alert, perform PVRs using a bladder scan (non-invasive ultrasound) and document monitoring. Reference WAC 388-97-1060 (3)(c).</p>		

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION	(X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: 505289	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. Building B. Wing	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED 03/26/2026
NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER Birch Creek Post Acute & Rehabilitation		STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE 5601 S Orchard Street Tacoma, WA 98409	
For information on the nursing home's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the nursing home or the state survey agency.			
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (Each deficiency must be preceded by full regulatory or LSC identifying information)		
<p>F 0726</p> <p>Level of Harm - Minimal harm or potential for actual harm</p> <p>Residents Affected - Some</p>	<p>Ensure that nurses and nurse aides have the appropriate competencies to care for every resident in a way that maximizes each resident's well being.</p> <p>**NOTE- TERMS IN BRACKETS HAVE BEEN EDITED TO PROTECT CONFIDENTIALITY** Based on observation, interview and record review, the facility failed to ensure sufficient nursing staff with the appropriate competencies and skill sets to provide nursing and related services to assure resident safety and attain or maintain the highest practicable physical, mental and psychosocial well-being of each resident as determined by resident assessments and individual plans of care. The facility failed to ensure the nursing staff (Staff H, Licensed Practical Nurse (LPN), Staff I, Registered Nurse (RN) & Staff G, LPN) administered medications according to professional standards of practice for 10 of 10 residents (Residents 7, 9, 8, 3, 16, 15, 11, 13, 14, & 1) reviewed for medication administration. This failure placed facility residents at risk of medication errors and poor outcomes. Findings included. Review of the facility 01/2023 Medication Administration Policy and Procedure showed medications would be administered as prescribed in accordance with manufacturers' specifications, and good nursing principles and practices. Medications are administered in accordance with written orders from the prescriber. No expired medication will be administered to a resident, certain products such as multi-dose vials have specified shortened end-of-use dating, once opened, to ensure medication purity and potency. Medications are administered within 60 minutes of scheduled time. The individual who administers the medication dose, records the administration on the resident's Medication Administration Record (MAR) immediately following the medication being given. <RESIDENT INTERVIEWS> During an interview on [DATE] at 10:43 AM, Resident 7 stated, sometimes when they had agency nurses their medications were not given at the time they usually got their medications. During an interview on [DATE] at 10:55 AM, Resident 9 stated, the agency nurses don't read the full instructions regarding their medications. During an interview on [DATE] at 11:09 AM, Resident 8 stated, their meds were administered a little bit late, but they only complained a couple of times. During an interview on [DATE] at 12:32 PM, Resident 3 stated, they always received their medications late and they frequently had to ask for them. Resident 3 understood they had to request their as needed medications but said they should get their routinely scheduled medications without asking. During an interview on [DATE] at 10:19 AM, Resident 16 stated that the nurses were frequently slow about bringing their medications. <RESIDENT 15> Review of Resident 15's Diabetes Mellitus Care Plan, dated [DATE], showed an intervention to administer Insulin as ordered. Review of the MAR, dated [DATE], showed an order for sliding scale Humalog/Lispro Insulin to be administered before meals and at bedtime. The Insulin was ordered to be administered at 11:30 AM. On [DATE] at 1:06 PM, Staff H, LPN, was observed during medication observation to draw the physician ordered units of Humalog/Lispro Insulin from a multi-dose vial, that was dated as opened 02/16 (no year included) and thus expired. The Insulin was administered at 1:11 PM, almost one hour and 45 minutes after the dose was due. <RESIDENT 11> Review of Resident 11's CP, dated [DATE], instructed staff to administer medications as ordered. Review of the MAR, dated [DATE], showed orders for Gabapentin oral tablet for pain, orders for Oxybutynin tablet for neurogenic bladder, and orders for Baclofen tablets for Multiple Sclerosis (MS) each to be given three times a day at 8:00 AM, 2:00 PM, and 8:00 PM; and Tizanidine tablet to be given four times a day for spasticity, at 8:00 AM, 12:00 PM, 4:00 PM and 8:00 PM. On [DATE] at 1:14 PM, Staff H was observed to pour all four medications and administer them to Resident 11. In an interview at that time, Staff H acknowledged the Tizanidine was a little late, but that Resident 11 wanted them at that time. During an interview on [DATE] at 1:18 pm, Resident 11 stated that the nurses typically gave all those medications together and that it was not something they requested. <RESIDENT 13> Review of the Diabetes CP, dated [DATE], showed the intervention to administer Insulin as ordered. Review of the MAR, dated [DATE], showed orders for sliding scale Humalog/Lispro Insulin to be administered at 12:00 PM. On [DATE] at 1:51 PM, Staff I, RN, was observed to take Resident 13's blood sugar, and draw up corresponding dose of Insulin, (continued on next page)</p>		

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION	(X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: 505289	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. Building B. Wing	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED 03/26/2026
NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER Birch Creek Post Acute & Rehabilitation		STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE 5601 S Orchard Street Tacoma, WA 98409	
For information on the nursing home's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the nursing home or the state survey agency.			
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (Each deficiency must be preceded by full regulatory or LSC identifying information)		
<p>F 0726</p> <p>Level of Harm - Minimal harm or potential for actual harm</p> <p>Residents Affected - Some</p>	<p>almost two hours after it was due. Resident 13 refused the insulin when offered. In an interview at that time, Resident 13 stated they had finished eating lunch earlier.<RESIDENT 14>Review of Resident 14's Pain CP, dated [DATE], showed interventions to administer medication as ordered.Review of the MAR, dated [DATE], showed orders for Methocarbamol tablet four times a day, scheduled to be administered at 8:00 AM, 12:00 PM, 4:00 PM and 8:00 PM.On [DATE] at 1:59 PM, Resident 14 approached the medication cart and requested methocarbamol and Tylenol for pain. Staff I was observed to administer as needed Tylenol as ordered. Resident 14 then asked, Where's the methocarbamol? and Staff I told Resident 14 they had already given it to them. Resident 14 stated they were supposed to get it at noon, as it was ordered four times a day. Staff I was then observed to administer the Methocarbamol, two hours after it was due.<RESIDENT 1>Review of Resident 1's Risk for pain CP, dated [DATE], showed interventions to administer medication as ordered.Review of the [DATE] MAR showed orders for Tramadol tablet three times a day for pain, scheduled at 8:00 AM, 2:00 PM and 8:00 PM.On [DATE] at 11:54 AM, Staff G, LPN, was observed to retrieve an as needed pain medication requested by Resident 1. Looking at the computer, none of Resident 1's 8:00 AM medications were documented as administered. In an interview at that time, Staff G stated they gave them they just had not yet documented they were administered.During an interview on [DATE] at 12:05 PM, Resident 1 stated their medications were sometimes late, but they had received their morning medication on time.On [DATE] at 2:05 PM, Staff I was observed to prepare the Tramadol dose that was due at 2:00 PM. Review of the narcotic book showed the last dose was documented as administered on [DATE] at 8:00 PM and 42 pills remained, but looking at the card of pills showed only 40 pills remaining.In an interview at that time, Staff I stated they gave the Tramadol at 8:00 AM, as ordered, but did not sign it out at that time. Staff I then proceeded to sign out both the 8:00 AM and 2:00 PM doses.During an interview on [DATE] at 4:35 PM, Staff A, Administrator, stated many of the medication concerns brought forward by residents involved agency/temporary nurses and they were actively recruiting nursing staff. REFERENCE: WAC 388-97-1080(1)</p>		