

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION	(X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: 675909	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. Building B. Wing	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED 03/26/2026
NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER Harker Heights Nursing & Rehabilitation		STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE 415 Indian Oaks Dr Harker Heights, TX 76548	

For information on the nursing home's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the nursing home or the state survey agency.

(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (Each deficiency must be preceded by full regulatory or LSC identifying information)
<p>F 0684</p> <p>Level of Harm - Minimal harm or potential for actual harm</p> <p>Residents Affected - Some</p>	<p>Provide appropriate treatment and care according to orders, resident's preferences and goals.</p> <p>**NOTE- TERMS IN BRACKETS HAVE BEEN EDITED TO PROTECT CONFIDENTIALITY** Based on observation, interview, and record review, the facility failed to ensure that residents received treatment and care in accordance with the comprehensive person-centered care plan and the residents' choices for 5 of 13 residents (Resident #1, Resident #2, Resident #3, Resident #4, and Resident #5) reviewed for quality of care. The facility failed to implement interventions, according to the comprehensive plan of care, to check and change on rounds and as needed to keep Resident #1, Resident #2, Resident #3, Resident #4 and Resident #5's skin clean and dry. The failure placed residents with self-care deficit, falls, and skin concerns at risk of decline or decrease in their quality of life and quality of care. Findings included: Record review of Resident #2's face sheet, dated 1/26/2026, revealed an 81-years-old female, admitted on [DATE] and readmitted [DATE] with diagnosis hemiplegia (severe paralysis affecting one side of the body, often caused by brain injury) and hemiparesis (weakness or partial paralysis affecting one side of the body, commonly caused brain injuries) following cerebral infarction (a type of stroke caused by a blockage of blood flow to the brain resulting in brain tissue death) affecting left non-dominant side, dementia (a progressive syndrome, not a normal part of aging, characterized by cognitive decline), type 2 diabetes mellitus (a chronic metabolic disorder characterized by insulin resistance and relative insulin deficiency, leading to high blood sugar) and anxiety disorder. Record Review of Resident #2's quarterly MDS, dated [DATE], revealed BIMS score of 3, severe cognitive impairment. The MDS indicated occasional incontinence and occasional bowel incontinence with need for assistance with personal care. Record review of Resident #2's care plan, dated 10/27/2025, revealed the risk for self-care deficit, falls, skin concerns, pain, infection, nutrition/hydration concerns and emotional distress. Personal hygiene x1 person assist, turning and repositioning: on rounds and as needed, transfer and toilet transfer x2 person assistance. Fall risk was identified with interventions: routine rounds to help with safety checks by all team members. She has incontinence related to dementia diagnosis with interventions in place to check and change on rounds and as needed. Record review of nursing notes for Resident #2, dated 3/23/26, revealed that resident family call for resident perineal (around genitals and bottom region) care. The note reflected, Resident's family proceeded to make a complaint that resident was not changed for hours and was soaking wet with urine. CNA went into resident room to perform perineal care on resident. Resident brief is noted to be dry and free from urine and BM by this nurse, CNA and DON. Observation on 3/26/2026 at 10:15 a.m. revealed Resident #2 was lying in bed and crying for help. When the call light button was pushed, nobody answered Resident #2's call light for 14 minutes and 9 seconds until a surveyor went to the nursing station and brought it to the nursing staff's attention. No nursing staff was visible in the 500 hall. The housekeeper was cleaning a room across the hall and did not attempt to answer the light. The sound of the call light system was heard through the hallway, but nobody came to Resident #2's room to assist her. The DON was walking by the nursing station and was informed about the call light going on for 14 minutes and 9 seconds. The DON found CNA C who was in another resident's room to answer the call light. Attempted to interview Resident #2 on 3/26/2026 at 10:21 a.m. and Resident #2 could not provide the comprehensive information about help she needed. She stated she had pain pointing to her stomach and needed her (continued on next page)</p>

Any deficiency statement ending with an asterisk (*) denotes a deficiency which the institution may be excused from correcting providing it is determined that other safeguards provide sufficient protection to the patients. (See instructions.) Except for nursing homes, the findings stated above are disclosable 90 days following the date of survey whether or not a plan of correction is provided. For nursing homes, the above findings and plans of correction are disclosable 14 days following the date these documents are made available to the facility. If deficiencies are cited, an approved plan of correction is requisite to continued program participation.

LABORATORY DIRECTOR'S OR PROVIDER/SUPPLIER REPRESENTATIVE'S SIGNATURE	TITLE	(X6) DATE
---	-------	-----------

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION	(X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: 675909	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. Building B. Wing	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED 03/26/2026
NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER Harker Heights Nursing & Rehabilitation		STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE 415 Indian Oaks Dr Harker Heights, TX 76548	
For information on the nursing home's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the nursing home or the state survey agency.			
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (Each deficiency must be preceded by full regulatory or LSC identifying information)		
<p>F 0684</p> <p>Level of Harm - Minimal harm or potential for actual harm</p> <p>Residents Affected - Some</p>	<p>family member. During interview on 3/26/2026 at 2:27 p.m. with RP E for Resident #2, she stated the care for Resident #2 was not too good. The RP E said nursing staff left Resident #2 wet and soiled when she was sleeping. The RP E said there was a brown stain on her brief a few days ago. Th RP said it was not all the time and it's just with some nurses. The RP E said they say they've already changed her and it's brown, it happens about once a week. During interview on 3/26/26 at 11:05 a.m. with CNA C, she stated she was occupied in a different room with another resident. She stated that answering call lights was everybody's responsibility and if not answered promptly that could lead to residents' falls and skin breakdown. She stated that she had training on answering call lights in the last two months. CNA C stated that there were two CNAs per hallway, but she was the only one during lunch time. She stated that they supposed to round on residents every two hours and change briefs at that time if it was wet or soiled. She did not remember specifically when she changed Resident #2 last time during her shift. CNA C stated she tried to answer the call lights promptly when she was not busy with other residents. She stated with 28 residents on 500 hall, she was responsible for 14 residents. Record review of Resident #1's face sheet, dated 3/26/2026, revealed a 78-years-old male, originally admitted [DATE] and readmitted [DATE], with diagnosis of type 2 diabetes mellitus (a chronic metabolic disorder characterized by insulin resistance and relative insulin deficiency, leading to high blood sugar), epilepsy (a chronic neurological disorder characterized by recurrent, unprovoked seizures caused by sudden, abnormal electrical activity in the brain), and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (a progressive, treatable lung disease, commonly caused by smoking, that makes breathing difficult due to airflow blockage). Record Review of Resident #1's quarterly MDS, dated [DATE], revealed BIMS score of 14, intact cognition. The MDS indicated Resident #1's had incontinence of bowel and bladder with need for assistance with personal care. Record review of Resident #1's care plan, dated 10/03/2025, revealed Resident #1 has bowel incontinence and interventions to check and change on regular rounds and as needed. Incontinence care assistance should be provided every shift and as needed. No current skin issues were identified. Interview and observation of Resident #1 on 3/26/2026 at 10:48 a.m. revealed resident lying in bed stating he had concerns that staff did not check on him every two hours as they needed to. He said sometimes nobody checked on him all night. He stated that he wanted to be checked on more often. Resident #1 stated that he needed to be changed and repositioned in bed. He stated that he told nursing staff regarding his concerns before, but nothing changed. Record review of Resident #3's face sheet, dated 3/26/2026, revealed a 78-years-old female, originally admitted [DATE] and readmitted [DATE], with diagnosis of type 2 diabetes mellitus (a chronic metabolic disorder characterized by insulin resistance and relative insulin deficiency, leading to high blood sugar), vascular dementia (a decline in thinking skills caused by conditions that block or reduce blood flow to the brain, robbing brain cells of oxygen), hypertension (high blood pressure), and depression. Record Review of Resident #3's quarterly MDS, dated [DATE], revealed BIMS score of 8, moderate cognitive impairment. The MDS indicated the resident had frequent bladder incontinence and occasionally bowel incontinence with a need for assistance with personal care. Record review of Resident #3's care plan, dated 09/21/22, indicated Resident #3 was incontinent of bowel and bladder, required assistance with toileting with interventions to monitor for incontinence every 2-3 hours and as needed, apply skin protective barrier. Record Review of Resident #3's progress notes indicated maximum assistance with ADLs. No skin issues were identified. Interview and observation of Resident #3 on 3/26/2026 at 11:07 a.m., revealed Resident #3 was sitting in a wheelchair and stated that she had been in the facility for three years. She stated that it took staff 30-45minurs on different shifts to answer call lights to assist her with being changedInterview on 3/26/2026 at 1:25 p.m. with RP D for Resident #3 revealed it took too long for staff to respond to requests like warming the food or changing her. She stated that Resident #3 felt uncomfortable asking for help because most of the time staff said they would be right back and then don't end up coming back. The RP D said one hour was nothing, but every time she was the facility she witnessed staff not answering call lights or not coming back. She stated typically (continued on next page)</p>		

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION	(X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: 675909	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. Building B. Wing	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED 03/26/2026
NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER Harker Heights Nursing & Rehabilitation		STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE 415 Indian Oaks Dr Harker Heights, TX 76548	
For information on the nursing home's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the nursing home or the state survey agency.			
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (Each deficiency must be preceded by full regulatory or LSC identifying information)		
<p>F 0684</p> <p>Level of Harm - Minimal harm or potential for actual harm</p> <p>Residents Affected - Some</p>	<p>Resident #3 waited 45 minutes to an hour for the call light to be answered. She said it was not always an hour, but it happened multiple times when she was visiting. She stated Resident #3 complained to her that they take a while when she was not at the facility as well. Interview and observation of Resident #3 on 3/26/2026 at 11:07 a.m., revealed Resident #3 was sitting in wheelchair and stated that she has been in the facility for three years. She stated that it takes staff a while on different shifts to answer call lights. Record review of Resident #4's face sheet, dated 3/26/2026, revealed an 81-years-old female, originally admitted [DATE] with diagnosis of acute respiratory failure with hypercapnia (excessive buildup of carbon dioxide with symptoms range from headaches and dizziness to confusion and severe breathing difficulties), hemiplegia (severe paralysis affecting one side of the body, often caused by brain injury) and hemiparesis (weakness or partial paralysis affecting one side of the body, commonly caused brain injuries) following cerebral infarction (a type of stroke caused by a blockage of blood flow to the brain resulting in brain tissue death) affecting left non-dominant side, and need for assistance with personal care. Record Review of Resident #4's none above MDS, dated [DATE], revealed no BIMS level indicated. The MDS reflected the resident was frequently incontinent of bladder and occasionally had bowel incontinence with need for assistance with personal care. Record Review of Resident #4's care plan, dated 8/15/2024, revealed Resident #4 has self-care deficit related to hemiparesis affecting left non-dominant side with interventions in place: Incontinent Care: check and change upon rounds and as indicated. Toileting/incontinent Care x1 person assistance. She is at risk for a decline in physical functions: chronic poor health and medical conditions. She is at risk for falls related to weakness, unsteady gait and poor balance. With interventions: encourage resident to use call light for assistance. Actual or at risk for skin impairment. With interventions: Keep clean and dry and apply skin barrier cream as indicated. She has incontinence related to impaired mobility with intervention to ask and assist resident to toilet during waking hours as indicated. Check and change on rounds and as needed. Incontinent Care assistance every shift and as needed. Interview and observation of Resident #4 on 3/26/2026 at 12:21 p.m. revealed she was sitting up in bed, and she stated that her call light was not answered promptly. She said a few days ago, she was wet and called to get changed and the CNA did not come for one hour and 26 minutes as she timed her. She felt mad at herself that she had to urinate again and could not control her bladder. She stated that she had to wait for nursing staff to assist her to get up from the commode for 15-30 minutes sometimes as she needs assistance due to her left hamstring pain and left leg gave up sometimes, so she was afraid to slip and fall. She stated she did not fall recently. Record review of Resident #5's face sheet, dated 3/26/2026, revealed a 61-years-old female, admitted [DATE], with diagnosis of type 2 diabetes mellitus (a chronic metabolic disorder characterized by insulin resistance and relative insulin deficiency, leading to high blood sugar), end stage renal disease (the final, permanent stage of kidney failure where kidneys can no longer function independently), dependence on renal dialysis, and heart failure. Record Review of Resident #5's admission MDS, dated [DATE], revealed BIMS score of 15, intact cognition. The MDS indicated the resident had bowel and bladder incontinence and was maximum x2 assistance with personal care. Record Review of Resident #5's care plan, dated 2/03/2026, revealed Resident #5 has self-care deficit related to actual or at risk for skin impairment with interventions to keep clean and dry and apply skin barrier cream as indicated. She is incontinent related to complete paraplegia (impairment or loss of motor and sensory function in the lower half of the body) with interventions to check and change on rounds and as needed with incontinent care assistance every shift and as needed. Record Review of Resident #5's skin assessment dated [DATE], revealed history of skin issues: Sacrum. Moisture Associated with Skin Damage (MASD). Incontinence Associated Dermatitis. Resolved: Wound healed and/or closed. During interview on 3/26/2026 at 1:00 p.m. with Resident #5, she stated she got bed sores on her bottom from not being changed in a timely manner. She stated that she had the sore for 2-3 months, it was healing well and looked dry and once they didn't change her it broke out again. She stated that she wasn't changed overnight last week when one CNA had the whole hall (continued on next page)</p>		

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION	(X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: 675909	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. Building B. Wing	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED 03/26/2026
NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER Harker Heights Nursing & Rehabilitation		STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE 415 Indian Oaks Dr Harker Heights, TX 76548	
For information on the nursing home's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the nursing home or the state survey agency.			
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (Each deficiency must be preceded by full regulatory or LSC identifying information)		
<p>F 0684</p> <p>Level of Harm - Minimal harm or potential for actual harm</p> <p>Residents Affected - Some</p>	<p>to himself and she was waiting until the next shift to be changed. She stated that she waited sometimes for 7-8 hours during night shifts sitting in her own filth before getting changed. She pressed the call light and nobody ever showed up. She stated staff came in and turned the call light off and say they'll be back when they have time. She stated that she was usually waiting 30 minutes to an hour after pressing the light to be helped. During interview on 3/26/25 at 2:25 p.m. with CNA A, she stated that she had been working here for almost 1 year. She stated that she had call light in-services sometimes this year. She stated that call lights needed to be answered immediately, and anyone could answer a call light as it was everyone's responsibility. She stated that call lights should be answered within a minute or two. She stated that call light beeped when call light lit up. She stated that there was a panel near the nursing station where they could see who's call light was on. She stated the potential risks for residents if call lights were not answered promptly, someone could be on the floor, dying, having a heart attack, ready to fall, or skin breakdown. CNA A stated that it was a neglect to not answer a call light for a long period of time. CNA A stated nursing staff should be doing rounds on residents every two hours and with 10-14 residents per CNA it could be hard to do sometimes. During interview on 3/26/26 at 3:00 p.m. with CNA B, she stated that call lights were supposed to be answered right away, may take a minute or 5 if nursing staff were busy. She stated it was everyone's responsibility to answer call lights. She stated that nursing staff should frequently check on residents who were unable to press their call lights. She stated that potential risks of unanswered call lights was that anything could happen, someone could be choking, almost falling out of bed, and skin could become red if they stay wet for a long time. She stated it was neglect given a call light isn't answered in over an hour. CNA B stated nursing staff should be rounding on each resident every two hours and depending on residents' needs it hard to attend each call light and completed all duties timely. During interview on 3/26/26 at 3:12 p.m. with the LVN, she stated she had in-service on call lights a few months ago. She stated call lights should be answered in a timely manner. She stated that call light should not be turned off until the problem was resolved. The LVN stated it was everybody's responsibility to answer call lights. She stated that potential risks associated with unanswered call lights could be falls and skin breakdown. She stated that it could be a form of neglect if a call light was not answered for an hour and 26 minutes. During interview on 3/26/26 at 3:29 p.m. with the RN, she stated she had worked for 6 months at the facility. She stated that she received an in-service on call lights within 2-3 months. She stated that call lights should be answered immediately after the call light turned on and even when not pressed there should be timely checks on residents. The RN stated that it was everyone's responsibility to answer call lights. She stated the potential risks for residents were falls and skin breakdown if not changed timely. She stated that it could be considered resident neglect not to answer a call light for over an hour. Observation of Resident #5's peri care, and interview, on 3/26/2026 at 4:00 p.m., revealed that Resident #5 had skin breakdown around sacrum (a triangular bone in the lower back) and medial (being situated in the middle or center) thighs. The skin breakdown appeared pink in color and appeared dry. No drainage or odor was noted. During interview on 3/26/2026 at 4:15p.m. with the DON, she stated she had training on the call light policy sometimes last year. She stated that there was no specific time for answering call lights per the facility's policy. She stated call lights should be answered in a timely manner or if nursing staff were in the middle of doing some tasks, they needed to let the residents know. She stated that an hour and 26 minutes for answering call lights was not acceptable. She stated that timing for answering call lights depended on a specific resident's situation. She stated that the potential risk for residents if call lights were not answered promptly could be falls or skin breakdown or cause anxiety if the resident needed to be changed or assisted to get up from the commode. She stated that she started a call light in-service today for all team members. She stated that all team members were responsible for answering call lights and not just direct care staff. She stated that when a call light was on, the sound of the call light was loud for everybody to hear and answer the call light. She stated that the call light panel was located near the (continued on next page)</p>		

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION	(X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: 675909	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. Building B. Wing	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED 03/26/2026
NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER Harker Heights Nursing & Rehabilitation		STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE 415 Indian Oaks Dr Harker Heights, TX 76548	
For information on the nursing home's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the nursing home or the state survey agency.			
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (Each deficiency must be preceded by full regulatory or LSC identifying information)		
<p>F 0684</p> <p>Level of Harm - Minimal harm or potential for actual harm</p> <p>Residents Affected - Some</p>	<p>nursing station indicating room numbers that call lights were on. DON stated that round should be conducted every two hours on each resident or as needed. She stated that each hallway staffed with two CNAs and one charge nurse. She stated she was not aware of those complaints regarding staff not conducting rounds. During interview on 3/26/2026 at 4:31 p.m. with the ADM, he stated he had a call light in-service sometime last year. He stated that per the policy when somebody saw a call light on, they needed to answer it in a timely manner. He said when a call light was on, it showed outside of the residents' rooms and at the nursing station on the wall panel. He stated that during residents' mealtime one CNA stayed in each hall and nurses should answer the call light. He stated that everybody was responsible for answering call lights, including housekeepers, and report to appropriate staff the residents' needs. He stated that the potential risk for residents if call lights were unanswered could be skin issues and falls. ADM stated he was not aware of rounds not being done or care was not provided to residents timely. Record review of Routine Resident Care Policy, implemented 3/14/2019 and revised January 2024, revealed Residents should receive the necessary assistance to maintain good grooming, personal/oral hygiene and safety. 9. Resident call lights should be answered timely and resident requests are addressed, if permitted. Record review of in-services Staff Responsiveness and Timely Care, dated 1/5/26, revealed The purpose: to reinforce expectations for timely, respectful and appropriate responses to resident needs in order to ensure safety, dignity, and quality care. What is responsiveness? Prompt acknowledgement and action taken when a resident, family member, or situation requires assistance, attention, or intervention. Staff expectations: All staff are expected to: Respond promptly to call lights and residents requests. Acknowledge residents even if assistance is delayed. Immediate response required for: Call lights and toileting needs. Safety concerns. family or responsible party concerns. Why responsiveness matters: Delayed or poor responsiveness can lead to falls or injuries, increased agitation or behaviors, resident and family dissatisfaction, survey deficiencies, disciplinary action. This in-service was signed by 27 nursing staff members including CNA C.</p>		